

Dear editor,

Thank you for your interest and revision of our work.

Our paper titled "Cystic teratoma of the parotid gland: a case report and literature review" (No: 72415) has been revised according to the comments.

As you summarized, our work highlights the CT and MRI findings of the teratoma of the parotid gland and we review the current literature.

The point-by-point responses to the comments are listed as following:

Reviewer #1

1. The Abstract and Core tip do not clearly explain the specific purpose and main findings of this paper.

**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We have modified the Abstract and Core tip and display the specific purpose and main findings of this paper.

2. The manuscript is confusing, the data are sometimes incomplete or not adequately reported, and adherence to the CARE guidelines was only partially respected.

**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We have read the CARE guidelines again and the corresponding data has been supplemented as required.

3. The role of CT and MRI as diagnostic tools for this specific disease is not well-explained throughout the text and I believe that it should also be investigated in the literature review.

**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. The teratoma in the parotid gland is extremely rare and there have been only nine related literature which we could searched. The characteristics of CT and MRI were rarely described. The characteristics of teratoma in other sites were referenced.

4. The English language quality of the manuscript should be improved overall.

**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We have asked help from "MedE Editing Group" to polish our manuscript.

Reviewer #2:

1. I suggest adding them to increase the quality of this literature review. They

are cited in my review report [Moguilevsky et al., 1955, Daghfous et al., 1987, Aynehchi et al., 2010].

**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. Although we tried our best, we have not found these two articles.

2. English language: There are frequent English language mistakes. There are grammar as well as sentence structure mistakes. I recommend it to be reviewed by a native English speaker.

**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. Although we tried our best, we have not found these three articles. We have asked help from "MedE Editing Group" to polish our manuscript.

Reviewer #3:

1. Please consider replacing the phrase 'parotid gland deep lobe' with the 'deep lobe of the parotid gland' where ever it occurs in the abstract or main manuscript.

**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We have amended the phrase in the Abstract or main manuscript as suggestion.

2. Please consider either removing the word 'complete' or replacing it with 'combine' in the phrase ' was diagnosed based on complete preoperative imaging CT and MRI data' in the abstract and main manuscript.

**Answer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We have changed the word 'complete' in the abstract and main manuscript.

Once again, thank you for your revision and look forward acceptance.

Sincerely,

Run-Qin Yang MM.

Department of Otolaryngology, Xijing Hospital,  
The Fourth Military Medical University,

Xi'an, Shanxi Province, 710032,

P.R.China.

Phone: 86-29-84773427;

Fax: 86-29-84773427;

E-mail: 274077380@qq.com