

February 2, 2022

Dear editor,

Title: Breast-conserving surgery and sentinel lymph node biopsy in the treatment of breast cancer and their correlation with the expression of polyligand proteoglycan-1

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 72510

Thank you for your careful guidance of this article. Revision has been made according to the suggestions of the reviewer:

Reviewer: 06074980

Dear editor, the results of the article are extremely beneficial for the early diagnosis, treatment, effective control of the recurrence of breast cancer, and certain reference value. Currently, the relationship between the expression level of SDC-1 and patients with breast cancer before and after surgery has not been indicated in clinical studies. In this study, breast preservation surgery and sentinel lymph node biopsy were performed on patients, immunohistochemistry was used to detect the positive expression of SDC-1, and the relationship between SDC-1 and clinicopathological factors of breast cancer was analyzed. Also, the writing language of the article is very successful. This article is a guide for future work. This article should be published and shared data as soon as possible. BEST REGARDS

Reviewer: 06143333

Thank you for giving us the precious opportunity for reading an interesting report. In this observational study, the authors assess the breast-conserving surgery and sentinel lymph node biopsy for breast cancer treatment and their correlation with polyligand proteoglycan-1. The paper is good and well written, the introduction gives a good overview about the study background and the authors raised clearly the hypothesis of the study. The description of material studied is accurate. The aim of the study is fulfilled. The material studied is large enough and allows to draw the conclusions. The discussion section gave

emphasis to the new contribution of the study in its field. I have the following questions and comments: Comments 1: In page 9, line 13, what dose 'EMS' mean? Comments 2: Figure 1 should be mentioned in the text. Comments 3: Discussion provides a detailed analysis and discussion of this study; I suggest the authors also could allow comprehensively discuss the different treatment methods and their correlation with SDC-1 expression based on similar studies published previously.

After receiving the comments, we read the article carefully and found some small loopholes in the language of the article and made modifications and new language proofs are also provided. Figure 1 was mentioned in the text and we discuss the different treatment methods and their correlation with SDC-1 expression based on similar studies published previously.

According to the suggestion, we changed the relavant description.

Thank you again for publishing our manuscript in the World Journal of Clinical Cases.

Sincerely Yours,

Fu-Ming Li