

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 72589

Title: CD8-positive Indolent T-Cell Lymphoproliferative Disorder of the Gastrointestinal

Tract: A Case Report and Literature Review.

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05817547 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

**Professional title:** Postdoctoral Fellow

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-06 18:31

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-14 09:27

**Review time:** 7 Days and 14 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



# Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1- The manuscript must be carefully proof-read for grammar and spelling issues. 2- The quality of Table 1 is very low. It is recommended that authors improve it in design and writing.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 72589

Title: CD8-positive Indolent T-Cell Lymphoproliferative Disorder of the Gastrointestinal

Tract: A Case Report and Literature Review.

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 01551432 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-16 14:36

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-20 11:50

Review time: 3 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for your submitting the manuscript entitled, "CD8-positive Indolent T-Cell Lymphoproliferative Disorder of the Gastrointestinal Tract: Case Report and Literature Review". The topic of this case report is interesting, and the discussion section is well written and very nice. However, several criticisms should be addressed as below. Major 1) line 140-163; For the 15 papers and 29 cases in Table 1, the characteristics of each item (for example, gender, age, gastrointestinal tract site, clinical symptoms, etc.) are shown as the number of cases and their proportion. Please add a new Table 2. In addition, perform a significant difference test between each group to calculate and describe the significant differences (P-values). Regarding its significance and limitations, while discussing on the selection bias of the selected cases, pay attention to the validity of the statistical analysis, and add a new consideration to the result and Minor 1) line 64; The position of the sentence of "The patient's discussion sections. 2) informed consent was obtained to report this case." is incorrect, I think. Please correct it to the appropriate position. 2) line 119; Please add a new figure that presents the TCR $\beta$  / y clonal gene arrangement in situ hybridization. This is important. 3) line 123; It says that the follow-up has been completed for financial reasons, but can you follow this patient in the future? Please briefly describe your positive attitude.