

Cover letter and Response for the comments

Prof. **Lian-Sheng Ma**

Company Editor-in-Chief

World Journal of Clinical Cases

RE: World Journal of Clinical Cases (Manuscript NO: 72689)

Dear Prof. **Lian-Sheng Ma**

Thanks for managing and coordinating the review process for our manuscript entitled “**Nanotechnology in diagnosis and therapy of gastrointestinal cancer**” by Liang *et al.*

We appreciate the valuable and insightful comments from the reviewers and editor. More explanations were supplemented as requested by the reviewers, accordingly. We hope that the revisions to the manuscript based on the reviewers’ comments will strengthen the quality of this paper to meet the publication standards of *World Journal of Clinical Cases*. Based on these comments, we replied here to the reviewer’s comments point by point as follows (The contents in **BLUE-color** are our response):

Reviewer #1

THE PROPERTIES, ADVANTAGES, DISADVANTAGES AND LIMITATIONS OF VARIOUS NANODEVICES USED IN GI CANCERS SHOULD BE SUMMARIZED IN A TABLE TO MAKE IT EASIER FOR READERS TO FOLLOW. THE STATEMENT “HIGHER ZETA POTENTIAL CAUSED STRONGER ELECTROSTATIC REPULSION BETWEEN NPs, RESULTING IN A MORE STABLE SUSPENSION” IS INCORRECT.

Response:

Thanks for your valuable suggestion. We have added a new table to summarize the nanodevices in the revised version. Please see Table 1 in the revised manuscript. And thanks a lot for your correction. We apologized for misunderstanding. We have modified this statement into “Higher ζ can produce a stronger electrostatic repulsion between NPs, and thus the NP suspension will become more stable.” to make it clearly.

Reviewer #2

Nil

Response:

We are grateful for your positive comments.

Editorial Office's comments

This is a review study on a relevant and contemporary theme. The study was well carried out and provides a complete compilation of the literature. I think images can be improved in content and design. The reviewer's suggestion to include a new table is also interesting.

Response:

We are grateful for your comments and suggestions. We have improved the content and design of the images as well as added a new table as the reviewer's suggestion. Please see Table 1 and Figure 1, 2 in the revised manuscript.

We thank the reviewers and the editor for the careful and helpful comments, which improved the quality of this paper and helped us to publish this work in the best way. This revised manuscript has not been published previously by any of the authors and/or is not under consideration for publication in another journal at the time of this revision. All the listed authors have read the revised manuscript and approved its revision. We hope that the revised version of the manuscript is now acceptable for publication in *World Journal of Clinical Cases*.

Thanks very much for your consideration. We are looking forward to hearing from you soon.

Sincerely yours

Shuo Li, MD

Department of Otolaryngology, Huazhong University of Science and Technology
Union Shenzhen Hospital Shenzhen 518053, China. shuoli@email.szu.edu.cn