PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Hepatology*

Manuscript NO: 72905

Title: Retraction Note: Screening and identification of bioactive compounds from citrus against non-structural protein 3 protease of hepatitis C virus genotype 3a by fluorescence resonance energy transfer assay and mass spectrometry

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05432523

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: DPhil, MD

Professional title: Attending Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Pakistan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-23 13:54

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-23 14:02

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection

Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a Retraction Note

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Hepatology*

Manuscript NO: 72905

Title: Retraction Note: Screening and identification of bioactive compounds from citrus against non-structural protein 3 protease of hepatitis C virus genotype 3a by fluorescence resonance energy transfer assay and mass spectrometry

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05190007

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: Pakistan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-23 18:35

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-26 22:34

Review time: 3 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection

Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Khan et al are writing to retract the above article for further consideration due to some misunderstandings in communication. The core tip explains frankly the reason for their decision of article retraction. The mistakes performed in the original article gives misleading results and conclusions. So retraction is acceptable to correct the study and resubmit after corrections of the aforementioned mistakes.