

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 72934

Title: Future therapies for pancreatic carcinoma: letter to "Current and emerging therapeutic strategies in pancreatic cancer: Challenges and opportunities"

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06120440

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-05 02:55

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-08 03:29

Review time: 3 Days

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Just as a letter to the editor, authors summarized the main idea of the review. Moreover, the authors also added more therapeutic methods for PC patients to be evaluated in the future. For example, it carefully focused on the clinical trials' outcomes of new combination therapy and immunotherapy for PC, especially the combination of erlotinib and gemcitabine and the efficacy of PD-1 antagonist. The results on PD-1, as the authors mentioned, is a bit promising and we will keep an eye on future relative trials.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 72934

Title: Future therapies for pancreatic carcinoma: letter to "Current and emerging therapeutic strategies in pancreatic cancer: Challenges and opportunities"

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00069601

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: South Korea

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-11 01:16

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-11 23:18

Review time: 22 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a manuscript made to help clinicians by stating additional considerations to the existing review paper. It is still difficult to apply clinically, but I think it is a sufficient manuscript to be published.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 72934

Title: Future therapies for pancreatic carcinoma: letter to "Current and emerging therapeutic strategies in pancreatic cancer: Challenges and opportunities"

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02729532

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MBBS, MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-11 01:24

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-14 04:14

Review time: 3 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The Letter to the Editor is on an interesting topic. However the manuscript needs editing

by an expert/software to improve language usage.