

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 73083

**Title:** Successful treatment of stage IIIB intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma using neoadjuvant therapy with the PD-1 inhibitor camrelizumab

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 03261315

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** FACE, PhD

**Professional title:** Academic Research, Chief Doctor, Doctor, Postdoc, Professor, Senior Researcher

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Romania

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-11-09

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-11-09 19:03

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-11-18 18:25

**Review time:** 8 Days and 23 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection

<b>Re-review</b>	[ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The case adds new therapeutic strategy for intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. Here my comments: 1. The authors should add the medical history of patient ( for example the fact that patient has liver cirrhosis is missing) 2. Physical exam of the liver, spleen and the abdomen is missing 3. The status of portal hypertansion is missing . 4. Regarding the aortic metastasis , the authors should provide more informations ( they were resected? ) 5.why did they perform the liver biopsy ? ( the risk of peritoneal metastasis is high and the guidelines not recommand it if the patient undergo surgery)6. At the disussion section there are some contradiction regarding the status of lymph node and the survival rate . 7. The conclusions section is missing. 8. The abstract is too long and containe abbreviations 9. The picures are accurate and well picked.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 73083

**Title:** Successful treatment of stage IIIB intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma using neoadjuvant therapy with the PD-1 inhibitor camrelizumab

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 03741771

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Doctor, Postdoctoral Fellow, Senior Researcher

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Romania

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-11-09

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-11-22 15:39

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-11-25 15:32

**Review time:** 2 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Dear Authors, A very nice case. I only have 1 comment: 1) Did you checked for PD-L1/PD-1 expression (by means of IHC) on liver biopsy or on the resected specimen? Could you please provide this data as well. I think it is important and it will increase the novelty of this case