

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 73365

Title: Is it sufficient to evaluate only preoperative systemic inflammatory biomarkers to

predict postoperative complications after pancreaticoduodenectomy?

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02860506 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Turkey

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-21 13:40

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-29 14:14

Review time: 8 Days

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a potentially interesting letter to the editor proposing PIBs to predict complications after PD using preoperative variables. Herein, authors assessed PIBs as simple, cheap and valuable tools for predicting postoperative complications after PD. They provided in predicting the risk of complications after PD and promoting early interventions to reduce postoperative morbidity. However, ERCP and biliary drainage history of the patient study group are more significant in contrast to CRP in role of predicting complications after PD. In hence, it may lack sufficiency to evaluate only preoperative systemic inflammatory biomarkers to predict postoperative complications after PD. The predictive value with combination of imaging parameters may increase to guide the estimation of postoperative morbidity and management. I recommend this letter to be published on World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery. Overall, this is a well written and clearly presented submission, but there are still some concerns about this manuscript: 1. Is it possible to use a unified and quantifiable score by assigning points to each factor related to complications after PD to comprehensively evaluate patients before PD? After all, too many factors are relative to prognosis about PD. A prospective verification in multi-center, larger samples was preferred in future work. 2. PIBs usually changed before PD due to internal homeostasis. If dynamic monitoring is not carried out, the value of these clinical indicators will be further weakened. In addition, PIBs are easily affected by preoperative treatment. Therefore, dynamic monitoring of preoperative clinical indicators should be mentioned in this letter.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 73365

Title: Is it sufficient to evaluate only preoperative systemic inflammatory biomarkers to

predict postoperative complications after pancreaticoduodenectomy?

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00183279 **Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: FRCS (Ed), MD, MS

Professional title: Dean, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Turkey

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-15 13:42

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-21 02:56

Review time: 5 Days and 13 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It a good informative statement and needs to be widely disseminated.