

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 73471

Title: Effect of obesity on post-operative outcomes following colorectal cancer surgery

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04966996 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: Australia

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-23 16:57

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-23 17:36

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It would be useful a more detailed presentation of postoperative complications pulling apart right colon, left colon and rectum; and doing the same by type of surgical procedure.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 73471

Title: Effect of obesity on post-operative outcomes following colorectal cancer surgery

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05625004 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Chief Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Australia

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-26 11:38

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-05 14:20

Review time: 9 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com **https:**//www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. This retrospective study that mainly evaluated the effect of obesity on postoperative colorectal cancer patients. The incidence of postoperative complications such as anastomotic fistula wound infection and reoperation rate in more than 600 patients with different body mass indexes during the ten years was retrospectively analyzed with large sample size. The results suggest that surgical treatment is safe for obese colorectal cancer patients, and there is no significant difference in surgical complications between obese (BMI≥30) and non-obese (BMI < 30) patients. Subgroup analysis showed that the high incidence of postoperative complications in obese patients seemed to occur only in the laparoscopic surgery group (P=0.05). 2. The results of this study seem to break our conventional understanding and have specific clinical guiding significance. generally assumed that obese patients may have more extended surgery, higher intraoperative risk, higher postoperative complications, and slower recovery than non-obese patients, but this is not the case. 3. The study design was relatively simple and is a single-center retrospective study, single with BMI as obesity division to analyze its influence on patients with postoperative, between different countries. Different RACES may not represent the postoperative complications of colorectal cancer patients, suggesting that future design prospective controlled multicenter research has more guidance value. In addition, body mass index (BMI) is based on an individual's height and weight, which cannot reflect particular body mass contents such as muscle mass. More and more studies have confirmed that lean body weight, body fat percentage, and some nutritional indicators strongly correlated with tumors' occurrence, development, and prognosis. It is suggested to include body composition analysis, NRS-2002, PG-SGA, and nutritional prognostic indicators.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 73471

Title: Effect of obesity on post-operative outcomes following colorectal cancer surgery

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04966996 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: Australia

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-22

Reviewer chosen by: Jing-Jie Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-03 16:46

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-03 16:59

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The points raised have been responded to, with appropriate changes included in the resubmitted revision