PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 73612

Title: Develop a nomogram to predict overall survival of patients with borderline ovarian tumors

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02936529

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FRCS (Hon), MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-29

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-30 13:12

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-03 01:43

Review time: 2 Days and 12 Hours

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this research, above all,   the authors conducted the construction of a proposed nomogram which could accurately predict 1, 3, 5-year overall survival in patients with borderline ovarian cancer. The methodology are adequate and detailed, the tables and figures are illustrative and well displayed,and the authors interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically.   Excellent manuscript. No english polishing is required.

Baishideng Publishing Group

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 73612

Title: Develop a nomogram to predict overall survival of patients with borderline ovarian tumors

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05382551

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-29

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-07 18:18

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-07 21:41

Review time: 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is within the scope of the journal, and the subject is interesting. It is well written and easy to read. Likewise, the content has been properly organized. The experiment described is well developed and the results obtained are valuable for the area of knowledge. A discussion is held about the research carried out. The article could be improved if the conclusions are extended to synthesize the scientific contribution of the article, and to describe in more detail the lines of future work.