

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 73685

**Title:** Esophagogastric junctional 'neuroendocrine tumor' (NET) with adenocarcinoma:  
A rare case

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 03806663

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Egypt

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-11-30

**Reviewer chosen by:** Xin Liu (Online Science Editor)

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-02-22 18:37

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-02-22 19:37

**Review time:** 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="radio"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

this is a nice case, but there are multiple comments mentioned below: 1- the title is long and wordy, try to shorten it. 2- it is better to give a brief history about the medical status of the patient i.e is it the first upper gi endoscopy, is there any barrett esophagus... 3- please add pictures for NBI and also for histopathology after laparoscopic resection. 4- did the patient undergo EUS. 5- as regards figures, please add scale bar, annotations, magnifications. 6- can you please add the affiliations of the authors. who do the histopathology of the patient. 7- language editing is needed as i think this report is written in a hurry.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 73685

**Title:** Esophagogastric junctional 'neuroendocrine tumor' (NET) with adenocarcinoma:  
A rare case

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 03026925

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Chief Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-11-30

**Reviewer chosen by:** Xin Liu (Online Science Editor)

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-02-22 15:09

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-02-23 02:15

**Review time:** 11 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="radio"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors report a rare case of Esophageal neuroendocrine tumor (E-NET) combined with cardia moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma. Comments are given below. 1.

Commonly used terms should be used. Some unclear words are used and need to be explained. (e.g., Duct structure, white attachments, itouter etc) 2. Please specify the meaning of the numbers listed ⑤ or ⑦. 3. Please delete the following text if it is not relevant to the characteristics of the lesion. "Three months after the operation, the patient had a little abdominal pain, throat discomfort, and a large amount of sputum. He was re-admitted to the hospital. After admission, he was given symptomatic treatment, and was discharged after the symptoms improved" 4. There are not enough endoscopic pictures to provide much information. The capturing of photos (vertical) is not good. Please import as prescribed. 5. What is the depth of gastric cancer?

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 73685

**Title:** Esophagogastric junctional 'neuroendocrine tumor' (NET) with adenocarcinoma:  
A rare case

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 03468910

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor, Surgeon

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-11-30

**Reviewer chosen by:** Xin Liu (Online Science Editor)

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-02-23 09:57

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-02-25 16:08

**Review time:** 2 Days and 6 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="radio"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The topic of this manuscript falls within the scope of World Journal of Gastroenterology.

The Authors reported a case of esophageal neuroendocrine tumor combined with cardias adenocarcinoma. The patient underwent laparoscopic subtotal gastrectomy with esophagogastric anastomosis. Cardias cancer combined with esophageal neuroendocrine tumor is extremely rare. The manuscript is good. Case report is complete. Figures are good. Discussion sound well. Complete the References.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 73685

**Title:** Esophagogastric junctional 'neuroendocrine tumor' (NET) with adenocarcinoma:  
A rare case

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 03259528

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** CCST, FRCS (Gen Surg), MBBS

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** United Kingdom

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-11-30

**Reviewer chosen by:** Xin Liu (Online Science Editor)

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-02-22 16:48

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-03-02 07:16

**Review time:** 7 Days and 14 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thanks authors for efforts to bring this rare combination of two tumours in a given patient. The article high lights the rarity and appreciate the gross and microphotographs of the ESD specimen. Comments; 1. The title should be better off; Esophago-gastric junctional 'Neuro-endocrine tumour' (NET) with adenocarcinoma; a rare case 2. From the first statement, there are spelling and grammar errors, for eg. endoscopic submucosal dissecfion - should be dissection 3. I would question the validity of adding a few statements that are inexplicable in the last paragrapgh about gastric cancer types etc, that would not connect well to the core of the reported case. 4. Urge authors to add a statement on what radical surgery was undertaken , with final histology report (the same is not clear)



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 73685

**Title:** Esophagogastric junctional 'neuroendocrine tumor' (NET) with adenocarcinoma:  
A rare case

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 03413953

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MBBS, MCh, MS

**Professional title:** Professor, Surgeon

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-11-30

**Reviewer chosen by:** Xin Liu (Online Science Editor)

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-02-22 16:24

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-03-06 09:12

**Review time:** 11 Days and 16 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

It would have been better if CT Scan report and endoscopic biopsy mentioned before ESD.. I think the incidence of re admission after 3 months was not required for this case report.. Pleased elaborate the procedure that is subtotal gastrectomy and especially margins given in cms..