

Dear Reviewers,

We thank you for your feedback on our manuscript. Below are the point-wise responses to your comments.

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: The manuscript can be accepted in this style !

Authors' reply:

Thank you for the feedback.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: 1. The trials involved are all from Iran and China, which means the conclusion of the study could be only applied to Asia population. I suggest to revise the title to point out this, like Participant attrition and perinatal outcomes in prenatal vitamin D supplemented gestational diabetes mellitus patients in Asia: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. And so does the manuscript. 2. What does CS risk mean? the rate of CS occurrence? or the risk of CS, such as postpartum bleeding. It should be identified.

Authors' reply:

Thank you for the feedback.

The upgraded title of the revised manuscript reads as the following-
“Participant attrition and perinatal outcomes in prenatal vitamin D supplemented gestational diabetes mellitus patients in Asia: A meta-analysis.”

CS stands for cesarean section. We apologize for the confusion created due to the phrase ‘CS risk.’ By CS risk, we intend to mean the incidence of CS. We have reworded it in the revised manuscript to ensure clarity.

Thank you.