

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 73929

**Title:** Malignant transformation of primary mature teratoma of colon: A case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05755618

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** FACP, MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-12-19

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-12-26 01:58

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-12-27 10:15

**Review time:** 1 Day and 8 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article described the teratoma with a malignant component of the sigmoid colon. It is a rare case report which is valuable in the field of study. However, there are some concerns in this article. 1. The authors described it as "The biopsy showed squamous epithelium. However, computed tomography (CT) showed a localized thickening of the sigmoid wall, suggesting colon cancer. Considering the result of CT, the patient underwent radical resection of the tumor." However, some questions arise. Did the authors perform EUS? Did the authors consider the endoscopic treatments (e.g., ESD, EMR)? 2. The magnifying image of the endoscopic picture of the lesion is dim and out of focus. Did the authors obtain NBI or Indigo Carmine images? 3. Histopathological images have no magnifying value. 4. There are no CT images in this article. Are ovaries no findings? 5. The authors frequently state "transformation"; however, they did not follow the lesion before the diagnosis. For these reasons, "transformation" may be just the authors' speculation. The authors could reflect this point in the title and main article. 6 Please quote the reference the sentence; "At present, only one case has been seen of malignant transformation of a rectal mature teratoma that was distinguished from the rupture of a locally involved teratoma of an adjacent organ" in the discussion.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 73929

**Title:** Malignant transformation of primary mature teratoma of colon: A case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05478444

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** BSc, MSc, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Saudi Arabia

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-12-19

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-01-09 20:12

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-01-18 08:18

**Review time:** 8 Days and 12 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The case study: (Malignant transformation of primary mature teratoma of colon: A case report) presents a very important and interesting study of this very rare case in the colon, yet there few points to be addressed before publication: -Page 2, Line 28: add the in the following sentence to define that specific symptom (The patient had no obvious cause for the sudden). -Page 4, line 21: delete (Figure 2). -Figure 2: lens magnification should be mentioned in the legend. -Ethics committee approval and patient consent: mention number/date of the consent. -Making serological markers test is recommended in the future for more confirmation of the case. -Discussion: re-write the following sentence to make it clear you mean the "present case study": (example: The present case shows the only reported case of malignant transformation of a rectal mature teratoma that was distinguished from the rupture of a locally involved teratoma of an adjacent organ).

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 73929

**Title:** Malignant transformation of primary mature teratoma of colon: A case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05776309

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MSc, PhD

**Professional title:** Doctor, Research Scientist

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Egypt

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-12-19

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-01-11 21:30

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-01-19 21:07

**Review time:** 7 Days and 23 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The manuscript is well presented and written