

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 74185

Title: Catheter-related infections caused by Mycobacterium abscessus in a patient with motor neurone disease: a case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05180942

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-12-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-19 06:27

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-19 11:52

Review time: 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Author; 1. Physical examination findings were repeated under the title of Laboratory examinations. It is convenient to remove. 2. The site of the peripherally inserted central venous catheter should be specified (jugular, subclavian, femoral?) 3. It should be stated how many days the peripherally placed central venous catheter has been inserted at the time of admission to the hospital (it should be clearly written as 30 days in the text)

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 74185

Title: Catheter-related infections caused by Mycobacterium abscessus in a patient with motor neurone disease: a case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02549888

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: DNB, FRCS (Gen Surg), MBBS, MNAMS

Professional title: Full Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-12-16

Reviewer chosen by: Xin Liu (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-26 09:38

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-26 10:28

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Title is apt and conveys the right insight. Abstract seems to be too brief. A background on the importance of this not so common pathogen needs to be mentioned in the abstract.

Introduction is satisfactory but should include the incidence and severity of disease caused by the pathogen. Case report is well elaborated. Discussion needs to stress on the pathogenicity of the organisms as well as more elaboration on clinical features of this infection. Grammar check for correction of tenses is necessary. Conclusion is satisfactory. Figures are quite illustrative. Overall a very interesting case report.