

Dear Sir,

Esteem reviewers,

We thank the esteem reviewers for their time and effort to review the manuscript, the comments and remarks were considered and had enriched the quality of the work and made it better.

## Reviewer #1

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Conclusion: Major revision

Specific Comments to Authors: It is considered to be current and important in terms of the subject of the study, but it is suggested that the contribution made to the literature with this study should be put forward in a more concrete way and the suggestions should be expressed more clearly through the results.

**1-Comment:** Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

**Answer:** Thank you for your instructive comment, the language had been revised by native English speaker and the grammar, spelling, punctuation, spacing and editing were corrected.

**2-Comment:** it is suggested that the contribution made to the literature with this study should be put forward in a more concrete way and the suggestions should be expressed more clearly through the results.

**Answer:** Thank you for your valuable comments, to improve the work and to express clearly and to be more concrete we reformulated the title, re-wrote the abstract, removed the section on genome, the results were clear and direct, paragraphs on diagnosis, management, and kidney transplantation were reformed to be clear, informative and up-to-date.

**3- Comment:** Conclusion: Major revision

**Answer:** New conclusion was written to summarize the whole work and became more informative.

## Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: Nothing to add

We thank you very much for your support.

Comment: Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Answer: language had been revised, the grammar, spelling, punctuation, spacing and editing were corrected.

Comment: Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Answer: New conclusion was written to highlight the whole work and became more informative.

### **Reviewer #3:**

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Major revision

Specific Comments to Authors: the paper by Mohamed Wishahi and Nabawya M Kamal on Kidney and COVID-19 setting the paper needs thorough revision to be understandable and conclusive. - extend introduction section, Epidemiology AKI linked to SARS-CoV-2 Infection -Management of immunosuppression in patients who had kidney transplant and COVID-19 -discussion might be evaluated for MINIREVIEWS

We appreciated the comments, critiques and instruction, all comments were answered which contributed much in improving the quality of the work.

Comment: Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Answer: language had been revised, the grammar, spelling, punctuation, spacing and editing were corrected.

Comment: Conclusion: Major revision

Answer: Language had been revised, and the grammar, spelling, punctuation, spacing and editing were corrected.

Comment: Specific Comments to Authors: the paper by Mohamed Wishahi and Nabawya M Kamal on Kidney and COVID-19 setting the paper needs thorough revision to be understandable and conclusive. -extend introduction section, Epidemiology AKI linked to SARS-CoV-2 Infection - Management of immunosuppression in patients who had kidney transplant and COVID-19 - discussion might be evaluated for MINIREVIEWS

Answer:

**a-Introduction section:** was extended.

**b-Epidemiology AKI linked to SARS-CoV-2 Infection:**

Answer: had been updated with new reference, the word epidemiology was replaced with incidence where we found it more fitting to the work.

**c-Management of immunosuppression in patients who had kidney transplant and COVID-19:**

**Answer:** This section was replaced was more detailed one with paragraphs on modification of the dose of immunosuppressant drugs and corticosteroids.

**d-Discussion might be evaluated for MINIREVIEWS**

**Answer:** Conclusion was corrected in the style of MINIREVIEW.

