



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Meta-Analysis*

Manuscript NO: 74907

Title: Responses to Disrupted Operative Care during the Coronavirus (COVID) Pandemic at a Caribbean Hospital

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05318117

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD, RN

Professional title: Adjunct Professor, Nurse, Professor, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: Trinidad and Tobago

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-12

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-12 03:24

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-18 08:11

Review time: 6 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

General comments: Thank you for the opportunity to review this timely article on an important topic for surgical specialty's, especially in the COVID-19 pandemic times, bringing as an experience a resource-poor health care facilities in the Caribbean. This paper discusses the surgical specialty's response in order to identify positive changes that may continue post-pandemic. I recommend that in the abstract the authors replace "discursive paper" for "In this experience report, we examined"



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Meta-Analysis*

Manuscript NO: 74907

Title: Responses to Disrupted Operative Care during the Coronavirus (COVID) Pandemic at a Caribbean Hospital

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 05742869

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Research Scientist, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Kazakhstan

Author’s Country/Territory: Trinidad and Tobago

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-12

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-26 05:24

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-01 03:57

Review time: 5 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1 Title. The title does not reflect the essence of the article. The article is called "Robot Surgery and Digital Responses to Disrupted Operative Care during the COVID Pandemic at a Caribbean Hospital", but little has been written about robotic surgery, more has been written about the functioning of an outpatient clinic and emergency surgery.

2 Abstract. The summary is only about the problems associated with the pandemic, but it does not talk about robotic surgery. The abstract doesn't reflect the work described in the manuscript

3 Key words. The key words reflect the focus of the title of paper.

4 Background. The background section describes the situation during the pandemic in the Caribbean healthcare system, however it doesn't describe present status and significance of the study.

5 Methods. Manuscript doesn't describe methods in details

6 Results. No results in the manuscript.

7 Discussion. The manuscript doesn't interpret the findings adequately and appropriately. Key points are absent. There is no analysis of the using of the robotic surgery.

8 Illustrations and tables. the figures sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents.

9 Biostatistics. no biostatistics in manuscript.

10 Units. Not necessary to use SI units.

11 References. Particular attention should be paid to the fact that author over used self-citing. The list of references contains 17 sources, 11 of which are self-citing

12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. The style, language and grammar are accurate and appropriate.

13 Research methods and reporting. The author didn't prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting.

14 Ethics statements. No ethical violations The review is missing an aim. The functioning of one hospital during the pandemic is described and there is no



Baishideng Publishing Group

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

comparison.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Meta-Analysis*

Manuscript NO: 74907

Title: Responses to Disrupted Operative Care during the Coronavirus (COVID) Pandemic at a Caribbean Hospital

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02476743

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Director, Statistician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Trinidad and Tobago

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-12

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-27 02:46

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-06 00:47

Review time: 9 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review by authors was conducted to elucidate the role of robot surgery and digital responses to disrupted operative care during the covid pandemic. From the evidence-based medicine viewpoint, it contains valuable concepts about the clinical science. However, a literature search was performed such as using PubMed, EMBASE and Cochrane Library Central database are suggested to describe. In addition, some references are also needed to update. Totally, I would like to congratulate the authors for the enthusiasm invested in this study.