

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 74925

Title: Delayed diagnosis of arytenoid cartilage dislocation after tracheal intubation in the

intensive care unit: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06245843

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Ethiopia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-13 11:34

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-13 15:53

Review time: 4 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have seen a case report entitled "Delayed diagnosis of arytenoid cartilage dislocation after tracheal intubation in the intensive care unit: A case report". The case report seems reported with concise language and grammar, except only few. The authors have to address the following issues: 1. State standard practice to intubation and extubation of trachea with scientifically tested evidences. 2. The authors final diagnosis was that "endotracheal intubation causes the dislocation of the left arytenoid cartilage, if so it was a poor practice and unethical to cause significant harm on patients by using 7.5mm endotracheal tube inserted into the trachea of 20-years old female (potentially the size was large for age and sex) for 13days. 3. Why not the tube was changed for those procedures like lumbar and laparotomy surgeries, the former procedure is most likely done in prone position. This may be a cause of CAJ dislocation if the tube was stayed for longer. 4. The authors said that "Definitive diagnosis was made at the 15th day after extubation. After extubation, the patient remained in a state of sedation and analgesia and could not communicate effectively. After 10 days, hoarseness and coughing with liquids were observed. We considered these symptoms due to a common laryngeal edema after tracheal intubation". The coherence is very important, with time from of a case report, the 10th day event should be reported prior to the 15th day event.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 74925

Title: Delayed diagnosis of arytenoid cartilage dislocation after tracheal intubation in the

intensive care unit: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06246948

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-12 10:20

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-22 02:00

Review time: 9 Days and 15 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [Y] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author's article is very meaningful, arytenoid dislocation is a very rare and easily overlooked complication. It mostly occurs in general anesthesia intubation, tracheal intubation for too long, and neck trauma. In the past, there were many reports in otolaryngology, which were easily ignored by clinicians or anesthesiologists. The author found and timely treated arytenoid cartilage dislocation in the ICU, and achieved good therapeutic effects. These experiences are worth promoting to our peers. I need to thank the authors for their work. But some points are to be mentioned in the paper to improve the quality.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 74925

Title: Delayed diagnosis of arytenoid cartilage dislocation after tracheal intubation in the

intensive care unit: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06246948

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-11

Reviewer chosen by: Li-Li Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-17 10:48

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-17 11:40

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author answered every question very well, and the idea of the article is clear. Many very good supplementary documents are also provided, and this medical record report gives us a clear understanding of the diagnosis and treatment process of this disease.