

# World Journal of *Gastroenterology*

*World J Gastroenterol* 2022 May 7; 28(17): 1725-1874



### REVIEW

- 1725** Gut homeostasis, injury, and healing: New therapeutic targets  
*Oncel S, Basson MD*
- 1751** New insights in diagnosis and treatment of gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms  
*Yin F, Wu ZH, Lai JP*

### MINIREVIEWS

- 1768** Current status and future of targeted peptide receptor radionuclide positron emission tomography imaging and therapy of gastroenteropancreatic-neuroendocrine tumors  
*Grey N, Silosky M, Lieu CH, Chin BB*

### ORIGINAL ARTICLE

#### Basic Study

- 1781** Forkhead Box q1 promotes invasion and metastasis in colorectal cancer by activating the epidermal growth factor receptor pathway  
*Zhang JJ, Cao CX, Wan LL, Zhang W, Liu ZJ, Wang JL, Guo Q, Tang H*
- 1798** Sirtuin1 attenuates acute liver failure by reducing reactive oxygen species *via* hypoxia inducible factor 1 $\alpha$   
*Cao P, Chen Q, Shi CX, Wang LW, Gong ZJ*
- 1814** Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- $\alpha$  activation and dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibition target dysbiosis to treat fatty liver in obese mice  
*Silva-Veiga FM, Miranda CS, Vasques-Monteiro IML, Souza-Tavares H, Martins FF, Daleprane JB, Souza-Mello V*

#### Retrospective Cohort Study

- 1830** Epidemiological characteristics of Asian children with inflammatory bowel disease at diagnosis: Insights from an Asian-Pacific multi-centre registry network  
*Huang JG, Wong YKY, Chew KS, Tanpowpong P, Calixto Mercado KS, Reodica A, Rajindrajith S, Chang KC, Ni YH, Treepongkaruna S, Lee WS, Aw MM*

#### Retrospective Study

- 1845** Clinical outcomes of endoscopic papillectomy of ampullary adenoma: A multi-center study  
*Choi SJ, Lee HS, Kim J, Choe JW, Lee JM, Hyun JJ, Yoon JH, Kim HJ, Kim JS, Choi HS*
- 1860** Biliary metal stents should be placed near the hilar duct in distal malignant biliary stricture patients  
*Sugimoto M, Takagi T, Suzuki R, Konno N, Asama H, Sato Y, Irie H, Okubo Y, Nakamura J, Takasumi M, Hashimoto M, Kato T, Kobashi R, Yanagita T, Hikichi T, Ohira H*

**LETTER TO THE EDITOR**

- 1871** Could microbiome analysis be a new diagnostic tool in gastric carcinogenesis for high risk, *Helicobacter pylori* negative patients?

*Turshudzhyan A, Rezaizadeh H*

**ABOUT COVER**

Associate Editor of *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, Marc D Basson, MD, PhD, MBA, FACS, Senior Associate Dean for Medicine and Research, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of North Dakota, 1301 N Columbia Road, Grand Forks, ND 58201, United States. marc.basson@und.edu

**AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of *World Journal of Gastroenterology* (WJG, *World J Gastroenterol*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastroenterology and hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online. WJG mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastroenterology and hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including gastroenterology, hepatology, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastrointestinal surgery, gastrointestinal oncology, and pediatric gastroenterology.

**INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The WJG is now indexed in Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports®, Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed, PubMed Central, and Scopus. The 2021 edition of Journal Citation Report® cites the 2020 impact factor (IF) for WJG as 5.742; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.79; IF without journal self cites: 5.590; 5-year IF: 5.044; Ranking: 28 among 92 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q2. The WJG's CiteScore for 2020 is 6.9 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2020: Gastroenterology is 19/136.

**RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: *Ying-Yi Yuan*, Production Department Director: *Xiang Li*, Editorial Office Director: *Ze-Mao Gong*.

**NAME OF JOURNAL**

*World Journal of Gastroenterology*

**ISSN**

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

**LAUNCH DATE**

October 1, 1995

**FREQUENCY**

Weekly

**EDITORS-IN-CHIEF**

Andrzej S Tarnawski

**EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS**

<http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/editorialboard.htm>

**PUBLICATION DATE**

May 7, 2022

**COPYRIGHT**

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

**INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

**GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

**GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

**PUBLICATION ETHICS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

**PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

**ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

**STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

**ONLINE SUBMISSION**

<https://www.f6publishing.com>



## Could microbiome analysis be a new diagnostic tool in gastric carcinogenesis for high risk, *Helicobacter pylori* negative patients?

Alla Turshudzhyan, Houman Rezaizadeh

**Specialty type:** Gastroenterology and hepatology

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Peer-review report's scientific quality classification**

Grade A (Excellent): 0  
Grade B (Very good): 0  
Grade C (Good): 0  
Grade D (Fair): 0  
Grade E (Poor): 0

**P-Reviewer:** Kawabata H, Japan; Poddighe D, Kazakhstan

**Received:** January 21, 2022

**Peer-review started:** January 21, 2022

**First decision:** February 24, 2022

**Revised:** February 28, 2022

**Accepted:** March 27, 2022

**Article in press:** March 27, 2022

**Published online:** May 7, 2022



**Alla Turshudzhyan**, Department of Medicine, University of Connecticut, Farmington, CT 06030, United States

**Houman Rezaizadeh**, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of Connecticut, Farmington, CT 06030, United States

**Corresponding author:** Houman Rezaizadeh, MD, Assistant Professor, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of Connecticut, 263 Farmington Ave, Farmington, CT 06030, United States. [rezaizadeh@uchc.edu](mailto:rezaizadeh@uchc.edu)

### Abstract

*Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) has long been believed to be the major colonizer of the stomach, but recent advances in genetic sequencing have allowed for further differentiation of the gastric microbiome and revealed the true complexity of the gastric microbiome. One of the few studies specifically evaluated the microbiome in the *H. pylori* negative patient population. They concluded that various stages of gastric carcinogenesis are associated with distinct bacterial taxa that could service both a predictive and diagnostic purpose. While the study has some limitations, the conclusions they make are intriguing and should prompt a larger prospective study to be done that spans multiple geographic regions.

**Key Words:** Gastric cancer; Gastric carcinogenesis; Microbiome; Dysplasia; Intestinal metaplasia

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core Tip:** Gastric tumorigenesis in *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) negative patients remained a mystery for many years until genetic sequencing allowed for a closer look at the composition of the gastric microbiome. Primary colonizers of the stomach in *H. pylori* negative patients at various stages of gastric tumorigenesis and were able to conclude that there are distinct bacterial taxa associated with these stages. Their study is comprehensive but needs a larger prospective study to further support this hypothesis, particularly in other geographic areas with varying risk profiles.

**Citation:** Turshudzhyan A, Rezaizadeh H. Could microbiome analysis be a new diagnostic tool in gastric carcinogenesis for high risk, *Helicobacter pylori* negative patients? *World J Gastroenterol* 2022; 28(17): 1871-1874

**URL:** <https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v28/i17/1871.htm>

**DOI:** <https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v28.i17.1871>

## TO THE EDITOR

We read with great interest the case control study by Sun *et al*[1]. These authors performed a genetic analysis of gastric mucosa from 134 *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) negative patients, which included a variety of gastric pathology: 56 cases of superficial gastritis, 9 cases of atrophic gastritis, 27 cases of intestinal metaplasia, 29 cases of dysplasia, and 13 cases of gastric cancer[1]. Additionally, gastric juice samples from 18 cases of superficial gastritis, intestinal metaplasia, and dysplasia were included and analyzed[1]. Genetic analysis was performed using a 16S rRNA[1]. The goal of the study was to understand whether there is a distinct pattern in the microbiome of various gastric disease types.

The study demonstrated that microbiota of the gastric mucosa varies across different stages of gastric carcinogenesis[1]. Specifically, Sun *et al*[1] found that as the stages of carcinogenesis progress, there is less microbiota variability within gastric mucosa[1]. Of note, their data established that different stages of gastric carcinogenesis had distinguishable microbiota taxa for both gastric mucosa and gastric juice [1]. For example, intestinal metaplasia and dysplasia had predominantly *Ralstonia* and *Rhodococcus* while *Streptococcaceae* and *Lactobacillaceae* were more prominent in pre-cancerous lesions and gastric cancer [1]. Sun *et al*[1] concluded that their results may facilitate prediction of intestinal metaplasia and dysplasia progression to gastric cancer.

It was long believed that due to the highly acidic environment, *H. pylori* was the predominant colonizer of the stomach. In the recent years, however, genetic sequencing allowed further differentiation of the gastric microbiota[2]. Similar to the study by Sun *et al*[1], prior studies established that microbial diversity decreased significantly with gastric carcinogenesis[3,4]. There were a few studies, however, that were arguing that the opposite is true. The studies by Castaño-Rodríguez *et al*[5] and Eun *et al*[6] suggested that gastric cancer was associated with increased diversity of microbiome[5,6]. These results were supported by the more recent studies. A recently published study by Dai *et al*[7] analyzed gastric microbiome of 37 patients with gastric cancer using the same 16S rRNA gene sequencing[7]. They concluded that pre-cancerous and cancerous gastric lesions had an increased diversity in microbiome and specifically an abundance of *Lactobacillus*, *Streptococcus*, *Bacteroides*, and *Prevotella*[7]. While their conclusions on the increased microbial diversity in gastric cancer argues against conclusions set forth by Sun *et al*[1], they do agree on the distinguishable bacterial taxa associated with gastric cancer that could be used as a predictive marker of neoplastic conversion in pre-malignant lesions. Perhaps these observational disparities could be attributed to geographic, environmental, and patient population differences or even variability of the microbiome throughout various stages of gastric cancer itself.

Sun *et al*[1] concluded that there are certain bacteria that predispose patients to development of gastric cancer. With this, we wonder, if there are bacteria that would instead be protective against gastric cancer. Goldin and Gorbach[8] were one of the first to establish an association between probiotics and cancer prevention back in 1980[8]. Since then, multiple studies have attempted to investigate probiotics as a possible adjunct to cancer therapy. Lee *et al*[9] found that *Bacillus polyfermenticus* was able to reduce gastric adenocarcinoma cell proliferation by more than 90% *in vitro*[9]. Similarly, Han *et al*[10] found that *Lactococcus lactis* was able to reduce gastric adenocarcinoma cell proliferation by more than 80% *in vitro*[10]. While both studies were done *in vitro*, they proposed interesting conclusions that should be further investigated for efficacy *in vivo*. If proved to be successful and safe *in vivo*, targeted probiotics could be a new exciting adjunctive therapy for patients with gastric cancer.

The study conducted by Sun *et al*[1] was retrospective. The patients in the study had a known diagnosis of gastric cancer. Subsequently, it is important to consider a theory that the observed bacterial taxa were a result of neoplastic changes rather than bacteria being responsible for cancer development (*i.e.* reactive changes rather than causal association). This theory can be better investigated by a prospective study in which patients at high risk for developing gastric cancer are followed over time and changes in their microbiome are documented along with histopathological or endoscopic findings.

Sun *et al*[1] rightfully excluded patients who were on active antibiotic therapy, however, it is unclear how many of them had significant antibiotic exposure prior to the study. The association between antibiotic use and cancer remains unclear, however, there is literature reporting cases of antibiotic use and subsequent development of malignancy. Petrelli *et al*[11] conducted a systematic review with meta-analysis and concluded that antibiotics were an independent risk factor for cancer development (OR: 1.18, 95%CI: 1.12-1.24,  $P < 0.001$ )[11]. This is the reason we believe a thorough antibiotic use history should be collected on patients in studies investigating microbiome and its effects on cancer development.

Gastric cancer is a prominent malignancy affecting many people worldwide but has a notoriously higher incidence rate in Asia[12]. As a result, many of the studies on this topic originate from Asia. Sun *et al*[1] study, for example, was limited to Peking University Hospitals patient population in China, which may have introduced a geographic confounding variable. This may make their conclusions less applicable to the patients of other geographic areas. The study recruitment period was limited to September 2019 to October 2020. Lastly, gastric juice data was only collected for superficial gastritis, intestinal metaplasia, and dysplasia patients, and not for atrophic gastritis or gastric cancer patients. Despite some of the limitations, the study by Sun *et al*[1] had a comprehensive analysis and proposed very interesting conclusions that should be further replicated in larger studies.

In summary, the authors should be commended for their work. They investigated the microbiome in a large group of patients at different stages of gastric cancer tumorigenesis in an *H. pylori* negative patient population, which is generally understudied. Sun *et al*[1] study set comprehensive exclusion criteria limiting many confounding factors. They have demonstrated a well conducted analysis that showed there are distinct bacterial taxa associated with each of the stages of gastric carcinogenesis that could be of great clinical value and help triage gastric lesions. Going forward, large prospective randomized controlled trials that encompass multiple geographic areas could help solidify the conclusions set forth by Sun *et al*[1].

## FOOTNOTES

**Author contributions:** Turshudzhyan A wrote the letter; Rezaizadeh H revised the letter.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** Dr. Turshudzhyan and Dr. Rezaizadeh have no relevant conflicts of interest to disclose.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

**Country/Territory of origin:** United States

**ORCID number:** Alla Turshudzhyan 0000-0001-6867-7569; Houman Rezaizadeh 0000-0002-1066-5394.

**S-Editor:** Fan JR

**L-Editor:** A

**P-Editor:** Fan JR

## REFERENCES

- 1 Sun QH, Zhang J, Shi YY, Fu WW, Ding SG. Microbiome changes in the gastric mucosa and gastric juice in different histological stages of *Helicobacter pylori*-negative gastric cancers. *World J Gastroenterol* 2022; **28**: 365-380 [PMID: 35110955 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v28.i3.365]
- 2 Qin J, Li R, Raes J, Arumugam M, Burgdorf KS, Manichanh C, Nielsen T, Pons N, Levenez F, Yamada T, Mende DR, Li J, Xu J, Li S, Li D, Cao J, Wang B, Liang H, Zheng H, Xie Y, Tap J, Lepage P, Bertalan M, Batto JM, Hansen T, Le Paslier D, Linneberg A, Nielsen HB, Pelletier E, Renault P, Sicheritz-Ponten T, Turner K, Zhu H, Yu C, Jian M, Zhou Y, Li Y, Zhang X, Qin N, Yang H, Wang J, Brunak S, Doré J, Guarner F, Kristiansen K, Pedersen O, Parkhill J, Weissenbach J; MetaHIT Consortium, Bork P, Ehrlich SD, Wang J. A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. *Nature* 2010; **464**: 59-65 [PMID: 20203603 DOI: 10.1038/nature08821]
- 3 Coker OO, Dai Z, Nie Y, Zhao G, Cao L, Nakatsu G, Wu WK, Wong SH, Chen Z, Sung JJY, Yu J. Mucosal microbiome dysbiosis in gastric carcinogenesis. *Gut* 2018; **67**: 1024-1032 [PMID: 28765474 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2017-314281]
- 4 Gantuya B, El Serag HB, Matsumoto T, Ajami NJ, Uchida T, Oyuntsetseg K, Bolor D, Yamaoka Y. Gastric mucosal microbiota in a Mongolian population with gastric cancer and precursor conditions. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2020; **51**: 770-780 [PMID: 32133670 DOI: 10.1111/apt.15675]
- 5 Castaño-Rodríguez N, Goh KL, Fock KM, Mitchell HM, Kaakoush NO. Dysbiosis of the microbiome in gastric carcinogenesis. *Sci Rep* 2017; **7**: 15957 [PMID: 29162924 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-16289-2]
- 6 Eun CS, Kim BK, Han DS, Kim SY, Kim KM, Choi BY, Song KS, Kim YS, Kim JF. Differences in gastric mucosal microbiota profiling in patients with chronic gastritis, intestinal metaplasia, and gastric cancer using pyrosequencing methods. *Helicobacter* 2014; **19**: 407-416 [PMID: 25052961 DOI: 10.1111/hel.12145]
- 7 Dai D, Yang Y, Yu J, Dang T, Qin W, Teng L, Ye J, Jiang H. Interactions between gastric microbiota and metabolites in gastric cancer. *Cell Death Dis* 2021; **12**: 1104 [PMID: 34819503 DOI: 10.1038/s41419-021-04396-y]
- 8 Goldin BR, Gorbach SL. Effect of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* dietary supplements on 1,2-dimethylhydrazine dihydrochloride-induced intestinal cancer in rats. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1980; **64**: 263-265 [PMID: 6766509 DOI: 10.1093/jnci/64.2.263]

- 9 **Lee NK**, Son SH, Jeon EB, Jung GH, Lee JY, Paik HD. The prophylactic effect of probiotic *Bacillus polyfermenticus* KU3 against cancer cells. *J Funct Food* 2015; 513-518 [DOI: [10.1016/j.jff.2015.02.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2015.02.019)]
- 10 **Han KJ**, Lee NK, Park H, Paik HD. Anticancer and Anti-Inflammatory Activity of Probiotic *Lactococcus lactis* NK34. *J Microbiol Biotechnol* 2015; **25**: 1697-1701 [PMID: [26165315](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26165315/) DOI: [10.4014/jmb.1503.03033](https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.1503.03033)]
- 11 **Petrelli F**, Ghidini M, Ghidini A, Perego G, Cabiddu M, Khakoo S, Oggionni E, Abeni C, Hahne JC, Tomasello G, Zaniboni A. Use of Antibiotics and Risk of Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies. *Cancers (Basel)* 2019; **11** [PMID: [31416208](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31416208/) DOI: [10.3390/cancers11081174](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers11081174)]
- 12 **Bray F**, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2018; **68**: 394-424 [PMID: [30207593](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30207593/) DOI: [10.3322/caac.21492](https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21492)]





Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**  
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-3991568

**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

**Help Desk:** <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

