

## Replied to Reviewers

### **Reviewer #1:**

**Scientific Quality:** Grade C (Good)

**Language Quality:** Grade A (Priority publishing)

**Conclusion:** Accept (High priority)

**Specific Comments to Authors:** Dear Author Upon reading the article I had similar concerns to the article worth a query to the authors through a letter to the editor and i forward your query further to the editors

**Reply:** Thanks for your comment and for accepting the letter for publication.

### **Reviewer #2:**

**Scientific Quality:** Grade C (Good)

**Language Quality:** Grade A (Priority publishing)

**Conclusion:** Accept (General priority)

**Specific Comments to Authors:** Yes - agree with the letter to the Editor. Until we have very large data base studies or multiple prospective randomized studies, we will not have an answer to this question. Nonoperative care is the successful historical treatment and the present safest treatment. Operative care is overused in adolescents for clavicle fractures. So we need good studies to answer this question.

**Reply:** Thanks for your comment and for accepting the letter for publication. I agree with the successful nonoperative care for adolescent midshaft clavicle fracture. We added this to the end of the manuscript.

### ***(1) Science editor:***

Most juvenile clavicular fractures are usually treated non-operatively, especially those affecting the central axis of the clavicle. So we need more research and Thinking on this problem.

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Scientific Quality: Grade A (Excellent)

**Reply: Thanks for your comment and I agree that more research is needed in this area. We added this to the end of the manuscript.**

***(2) Company editor-in-chief:***

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Orthopedics, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.

**Reply: Thanks for your comment and for reviewing the manuscript.**