**Name of Journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 75345

**Manuscript Type:** CASE REPORT

**Loss of human epidermal receptor-2 in human epidermal receptor-2+ breast cancer after neoadjuvant treatment: A case report**

Yu J *et al*. Loss of HER-2 in HER-2+ breast cancer

Jing Yu, Nan-Lin Li

**Jing Yu, Nan-Lin Li,** Department of Thyroid, Breast and Vascular Surgery, Xijing Hospital, Air Force Medical University, Xi’an 710032, Shaanxi Province, China

**Author contributions:** Yu J analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript; Li NL and Yu J performed the research; all authors have read and approve the final manuscript.

**Corresponding author: Nan-Lin Li, MD, Associate Chief Physician,** Department of Thyroid, Breast and Vascular Surgery, Xijing Hospital, Air Force Medical University, No. 127 West Changle Road, Xi’an 710032, Shaanxi Province, China. yuyujing0729@163.com

**Received:** January 25, 2022

**Revised:** March 18, 2022

**Accepted: April 4, 2022**

**Published online:**

**Abstract**

BACKGROUND

Human epidermal receptor-2 (HER-2) expression has been reported to be discordant between primary tumor and metastatic tissue.

CASE SUMMARY

We presented a case diagnosed with the HER-2+ breast cancer patient who exhibited changes in the expression of HER-2 receptors on tumour samples from surgical specimens obtained after neoadjuvant treatment (NAT) compared with initial biopsy. The patient underwent a HER-2-targeted therapy consequently, in spite of HER+ gene loss. After the surgery, the patient subsequently underwent endocrine therapy and radiotherapy.

CONCLUSION

Changes in HER-2 expression after NAT should be retested by physicians and pathologists before systemic treatment instead of avoiding further HER-2-targeted therapy, and we will perform immunohistochemical multiple-spot biopsy analyses of other important clinical issues to better define prognosis and tailor subsequent adjuvant therapy.

**Key Words:** Human epidermal receptor-2+ breast cancer; Human epidermal receptor-2 loss; Neoadjuvant treatment; Case report

Yu J, Li NL. Loss of human epidermal receptor-2 in human epidermal receptor-2+ breast cancer after neoadjuvant treatment: A case report. *World J Clin Cases* 2022; In press

**Core Tip:** We presented a case diagnosed with the human epidermal receptor(HER)-2+ breast cancer patient who exhibited changes in the expression of HER-2 receptors on tumour samples from surgical specimens obtained after neoadjuvant treatment (NAT) compared with initial biopsy. Changes in HER-2 expression after NAT should be retested by physicians and pathologists before systemic treatment instead of avoiding further HER-2-targeted therapy. In general, the exact mechanisms of HER-2 discordance remain unknown and further investigations are needed.

**INTRODUCTION**

The Human epidermal receptor-2 (HER-2) gene is over-expressed in approximately 15%-20% of primary breast cancers, and HER-2-targeted therapies are associated with significantly improved survival. Neoadjuvant treatment (NAT) is the standard of care for HER-2–positive early breast cancer, especially for positive pathologic axillary lymph nodes. However, HER-2 expression has been reported to be discordant between the primary tumour and the remaining tumour after NAT and is associated with poor prognosis and treatment. Data regarding HER-2 Loss suggest that 2.3%-43% of post-treatment tumours lose HER-2 positivity, which is associated with worse survival[1-3]. Herein, by reviewing the relevant literature, we present a case of HER-2 Loss with HER-2+ breast cancer undergoing NAT, to determine the prognostic impact of HER-2 Loss and improve disease management.

**CASE PRESENTATION**

***Chief complaints***

She complained of a mass in her left breast for several months.

***History of present illness***

A 55-year-old postmenopausal female patient presented to the Xi Jing Hospital of Air Force Medical University in September 2019. She complained of a mass in her left breast for several months.

***History of past illness***

The patient was healthy with no previous comorbidity.

***Personal and family history***

Her family history of cancer was negative.

***Physical examination***

She found two irregular nodules in the upper external quadrant of the left breast.

***Laboratory examinations***

All tumuor markers, including serum carcinoembryonic antigen, neuron-specific enolase, and alpha fetoprotein, were within normal ranges. Histopathology revealed invasive breast carcinoma and infiltrating ductal carcinoma in the axillary lymph nodes. Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining was positive for ER, progesterone receptor, Ki-67 30% and suspicious positive for HER-2. Because of the suspicious positivity for HER-2, the fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) method was strongly recommended. FISH testing was positive, as shown in Figure 1. Breast cancer and metastatic lymph nodes were identical in FISH expression. The physical and imaging examinations showed no primary lesions in either breast or in other organs.

***Imaging examinations***

Ultrasonographic examination showed two irregular nodules in the upper external quadrant of the left breast. The largest mass was 2.6 cm × 0.9 cm × 1.1 cm in diameter, with invasion of deeper structures. Doppler ultrasound showed a fixed, 2 cm lymph nodule.

**FINAL DIAGNOSIS**

The patient was diagnosed with the HER-2+ early breast cancer.

**TREATMENT**

The multidisciplinary board of the Breast Centre recommended “neoadjuvant” chemotherapy: T(HP)-EC scheme: 4 cycles of docetaxel 90 mg/m2, trastuzumab, and pertuzumab, followed by 4 cycles of epirubicin 90 mg/m2 and cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m2, every 3 wk for 8 cycles) before total mastectomy and axillary lymph node dissection. Histopathologic analysis of these specimens showed residual ductal carcinoma disease. Histopathology revealed grade 3 invasive breast carcinoma, (RCB-II, 6 scores). Microscopically, the residual invasive breast cancer was approximately 7 mm, and 5 out of 20 dissected axillary lymph nodes showed metastasis. With all of this, the tumour was classified as ypT1bN2aM0. Immunohistochemistry was performed, and the results were as follows: 90% positivity for estrogen receptors with high intensity, 90% positivity for progesterone receptors with high intensity, and suspicious positivity for HER-2 (++). FISH testing was negative, as shown in Figure 2. We retrospectively reevaluated these results from the central laboratory FISH screening. On May 8, 2020, the patient received T-DM1 at a dose of 3.6 mg per kilogram of body weight intravenously every 3 wk for 14 cycles. Simultaneously, adjuvant radiotherapy was also recommended because of her axillary lymph node features on excisional biopsy. At the follow-up, 1 year after diagnosis and treatment initiation, the patient had no sign of local or distant recurrence. Considering the hormone sensitivity of the tumour, the guidelines decided for endocrine adjuvant therapy were as follows: (abemaciclib 150 mg twice daily + anastrozole 1mg once daily).

**OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP**

At the time of drafting the present report (January 2022), routine follow-up of the patient continued, showing no complications.

**DISCUSSION**

The current standard presurgical systemic treatment in HER-2-positive breast cancer patients is NAT, especially for positive pathologic axillary lymph nodes. The addition of anti-HER-2 treatment to neoadjuvant chemotherapy significantly improves the pathological complete response (pCR) rate, which is a surrogate for disease-free survival (DFS), overall survival and distant recurrence–free survival (DRFS)[4]. Mittendorf *et al*[5] found that according to FISH analysis, approximately one-third of their patients with sufficient residual disease to warrant repeat HER-2 testing had lost HER-2 gene amplification. Furthermore, patients who lose HER-2 gene amplification have significantly lower relapse-free survival than those whose tumours retain HER-2 gene amplification[6]. Notably, we found that this case exhibited changes in the expression of HER-2 receptors on tumour samples from surgical specimens obtained after NAT compared with initial biopsy. This is in agreement with early studies in which the rate of HER-2 gain and loss was observed to be 6.5% and 14.2%, respectively, and loss of HER-2 expression was more commonly observed than gain of HER-2 expression[3,7].

In the neoadjuvant setting, the addition of trastuzumab and, more recently, pertuzumab to chemotherapy significantly improves the pCR rate compared to chemotherapy alone[8]. The KATHERINE study showed that among patients with HER-2-positive early breast cancer who had residual invasive disease after completion of neoadjuvant therapy, the risk of recurrence of invasive breast cancer or death was 50% lower with adjuvant trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1) than with trastuzumab alone. Further subgroup analyses showed that 8.3% of posttreatment tumours lost HER-2 positivity after NAT (*n* = 70); invasive-disease events occurred in 11 patients in the adjuvant trastuzumab group; and no events occurred in the T-DM1 group, reminding us that adjuvant T-DM1 remains beneficial in tumour loss in the HER-2 population[9]. This case was HR+, HER-2+ breast cancer. The ExteNET trial demonstrated that neratinib given for 1 year following trastuzumab-based therapy significantly improved invasive DFS (iDFS) in patients with HER-2+ breast cancer, with the greatest efficacy seen in patients who initiated treatment within 1 year of prior trastuzumab therapy and in those with HR+ disease[10]. Meanwhile, the most recently results showed that neratinib achieved an 11.9% iDFS benefit for the HR+ subgroup within 1 year of prior trastuzumab therapy in the 5-year analysis. In comparison with the previously reported KATHERINE trial, the iDFS benefit was 11.3% at the 3-year analysis in patients with T-DM1. However, patients in the KATHERINE trial had a substantially worse baseline prognosis than those enrolled in the ExteNET trial. The KATHERINE trial focused on higher-risk patients with residual invasive breast cancer after completion of neoadjuvant chemotherapy administered with trastuzumab-containing therapy. We considered that the patient could benefit from T-DM1 rather than neratinib, owing to adverse events, group characteristics and accessibility. Subsequently, the MonarchE study showed that there was a 25% reduction in the risk of developing an iDFS event in the abemaciclib plus endocrine therapy (ET) group relative to ET alone, as well as a 3.5% absolute improvement in 2-year IDFS rates (92.2% *vs* 88.7%).The addition of abemaciclib to ET also resulted in an improvement in DRFS (distant relapse-free survival) compared with ET alone, with 2-year DRFS rates of 93.6% in the abemaciclib arm and 90.3% in the control arm[11,12].

In general, the exact mechanisms of HER-2 discordance remain unknown and further investigations are needed. The interval between the last HER-2-targeted treatment, the time of surgical excision of the tumour after NAT, and biopsy of the metachronous metastasis or intratumoural heterogeneity of the HER-2 gene were associated with a significant change in HER-2 expression. Nevertheless, FISH testing is associated with less discordance than the IHC method[1,13]. Despite observations revealing HER-2 Loss between initial biopsy and surgical specimens, management of patients with HER-2+ breast cancer is frequently based on primary tumor characteristics. Furthermore, Several studies suggested that all subgroups of women seem to benefit from trastuzumab in exploratory subgroup analysis, regardless of changes in HER status[13-16]. In our opinion, changes in HER-2 expression after NAT should be retested by physicians and pathologists before systemic treatment instead of avoiding further HER-2-targeted therapy. Furthermore, if the HER-2 status changes between the primary tumour and the remaining tumour undergoing NAT, the actual guidelines recommend the use of HER-2 status in metastatic tissue, and the FISH method is strongly recommended. In this way, changes in HER-2 expression influenced by HER-2 internalization and degradation may be avoided. Finally, we will perform IHC multiple-spot biopsy analyses of other important clinical issues to better define prognosis and tailor subsequent adjuvant therapy.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, our study presented a case of HER+ gene loss in a patient with the HER-2+ breast cancer after NAT and we went on to do a literature review of this phenomenon which has been shown in about 10% of patient in case series. Although HER-2 Loss after NAT is considered extremely rare, repeating HER-2 test or IHC multiple-spot biopsy analyses is required for accurate prognosis and therapy.

**REFERENCES**

1 **Ignatov T**, Gorbunow F, Eggemann H, Ortmann O, Ignatov A. Loss of HER2 after HER2-targeted treatment. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2019; **175**: 401-408 [PMID: 30806922 DOI: 10.1007/s10549-019-05173-4]

2 **Branco FP**, Machado D, Silva FF, André S, Catarino A, Madureira R, Pinto JM, Godinho JP, Simões PD, Brito M, Casa-Nova M, Moreira AR, Passos-Coelho JL. Loss of HER2 and disease prognosis after neoadjuvant treatment of HER2+ breast cancer. *Am J Transl Res* 2019; **11**: 6110-6116 [PMID: 31632579 DOI: 10.1016/s0959-8049(18)30510-0]

3 **Niikura N**, Tomotaki A, Miyata H, Iwamoto T, Kawai M, Anan K, Hayashi N, Aogi K, Ishida T, Masuoka H, Iijima K, Masuda S, Tsugawa K, Kinoshita T, Nakamura S, Tokuda Y. Changes in tumor expression of HER2 and hormone receptors status after neoadjuvant chemotherapy in 21,755 patients from the Japanese breast cancer registry. *Ann Oncol* 2016; **27**: 480-487 [PMID: 26704052 DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdv611]

4 **Press MF**, Sauter G, Buyse M, Fourmanoir H, Quinaux E, Tsao-Wei DD, Eiermann W, Robert N, Pienkowski T, Crown J, Martin M, Valero V, Mackey JR, Bee V, Ma Y, Villalobos I, Campeau A, Mirlacher M, Lindsay MA, Slamon DJ. HER2 Gene Amplification Testing by Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization (FISH): Comparison of the ASCO-College of American Pathologists Guidelines With FISH Scores Used for Enrollment in Breast Cancer International Research Group Clinical Trials. *J Clin Oncol* 2016; **34**: 3518-3528 [PMID: 27573653 DOI: 10.1200/jco.2016.66.6693]

5 **Mittendorf EA**, Wu Y, Scaltriti M, Meric-Bernstam F, Hunt KK, Dawood S, Esteva FJ, Buzdar AU, Chen H, Eksambi S, Hortobagyi GN, Baselga J, Gonzalez-Angulo AM. Loss of HER2 amplification following trastuzumab-based neoadjuvant systemic therapy and survival outcomes. *Clin Cancer Res* 2009; **15**: 7381-7388 [PMID: 19920100 DOI: 10.1158/1078-0432.ccr-09-1735]

6 **Niikura N**, Liu J, Hayashi N, Mittendorf EA, Gong Y, Palla SL, Tokuda Y, Gonzalez-Angulo AM, Hortobagyi GN, Ueno NT. Loss of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) expression in metastatic sites of HER2-overexpressing primary breast tumors. *J Clin Oncol* 2012; **30**: 593-599 [PMID: 22124109 DOI: 10.1200/jco.2010.33.8889]

7 **Yeung C**, Hilton J, Clemons M, Mazzarello S, Hutton B, Haggar F, Addison CL, Kuchuk I, Zhu X, Gelmon K, Arnaout A. Estrogen, progesterone, and HER2/neu receptor discordance between primary and metastatic breast tumours-a review. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* 2016; **35**: 427-437 [PMID: 27405651 DOI: 10.1007/s10555-016-9631-3]

8 **Gianni L**, Pienkowski T, Im YH, Tseng LM, Liu MC, Lluch A, Starosławska E, de la Haba-Rodriguez J, Im SA, Pedrini JL, Poirier B, Morandi P, Semiglazov V, Srimuninnimit V, Bianchi GV, Magazzù D, McNally V, Douthwaite H, Ross G, Valagussa P. 5-year analysis of neoadjuvant pertuzumab and trastuzumab in patients with locally advanced, inflammatory, or early-stage HER2-positive breast cancer (NeoSphere): a multicentre, open-label, phase 2 randomised trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2016; **17**: 791-800 [PMID: 27179402 DOI: 10.1016/s1470-2045(16)00163-7]

9 **Haran JP**, Wilsterman E, Zeoli T, Goulding M, McLendon E, Clark MA. Deviating from IDSA treatment guidelines for non-purulent skin infections increases the risk of treatment failure in emergency department patients. *Epidemiol Infect* 2018; **147**: e68 [PMID: 30516120 DOI: 10.1056/nejmoa1814017]

10 **Chan A**, Moy B, Mansi J, Ejlertsen B, Holmes FA, Chia S, Iwata H, Gnant M, Loibl S, Barrios CH, Somali I, Smichkoska S, Martinez N, Alonso MG, Link JS, Mayer IA, Cold S, Murillo SM, Senecal F, Inoue K, Ruiz-Borrego M, Hui R, Denduluri N, Patt D, Rugo HS, Johnston SRD, Bryce R, Zhang B, Xu F, Wong A, Martin M; ExteNET Study Group. Final Efficacy Results of Neratinib in HER2-positive Hormone Receptor-positive Early-stage Breast Cancer From the Phase III ExteNET Trial. *Clin Breast Cancer* 2021; **21**: 80-91.e7 [PMID: 33183970 DOI: 10.1016/s0960-9776(21)00093-x]

11 **Harbeck N**, Rastogi P, Martin M, Tolaney SM, Shao ZM, Fasching PA, Huang CS, Jaliffe GG, Tryakin A, Goetz MP, Rugo HS, Senkus E, Testa L, Andersson M, Tamura K, Del Mastro L, Steger GG, Kreipe H, Hegg R, Sohn J, Guarneri V, Cortés J, Hamilton E, André V, Wei R, Barriga S, Sherwood S, Forrester T, Munoz M, Shahir A, San Antonio B, Nabinger SC, Toi M, Johnston SRD, O'Shaughnessy J; monarchE Committee Members. Adjuvant abemaciclib combined with endocrine therapy for high-risk early breast cancer: updated efficacy and Ki-67 analysis from the monarchE study. *Ann Oncol* 2021; **32**: 1571-1581 [PMID: 34656740 DOI: 10.1200/jco.20.02514]

12 **Burstein HJ**, Somerfield MR, Barton DL, Dorris A, Fallowfield LJ, Jain D, Johnston SRD, Korde LA, Litton JK, Macrae ER, Peterson LL, Vikas P, Yung RL, Rugo HS. Endocrine Treatment and Targeted Therapy for Hormone Receptor-Positive, Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2-Negative Metastatic Breast Cancer: ASCO Guideline Update. *J Clin Oncol* 2021; **39**: 3959-3977 [PMID: 34324367 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.21.01392]

13 **Yoshida A**, Hayashi N, Suzuki K, Takimoto M, Nakamura S, Yamauchi H. Change in HER2 status after neoadjuvant chemotherapy and the prognostic impact in patients with primary breast cancer. *J Surg Oncol* 2017; **116**: 1021-1028 [PMID: 28767137 DOI: 10.1002/jso.24762]

14 **Lindström LS**, Karlsson E, Wilking UM, Johansson U, Hartman J, Lidbrink EK, Hatschek T, Skoog L, Bergh J. Clinically used breast cancer markers such as estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor, and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 are unstable throughout tumor progression. *J Clin Oncol* 2012; **30**: 2601-2608 [PMID: 22711854 DOI: 10.1200/jco.2011.37.2482]

15 **Smith I**, Procter M, Gelber RD, Guillaume S, Feyereislova A, Dowsett M, Goldhirsch A, Untch M, Mariani G, Baselga J, Kaufmann M, Cameron D, Bell R, Bergh J, Coleman R, Wardley A, Harbeck N, Lopez RI, Mallmann P, Gelmon K, Wilcken N, Wist E, Sánchez Rovira P, Piccart-Gebhart MJ; HERA study team. 2-year follow-up of trastuzumab after adjuvant chemotherapy in HER2-positive breast cancer: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2007; **369**: 29-36 [PMID: 17208639 DOI: 10.1016/s0140-6736(07)60028-2]

16 **Gianni L**, Eiermann W, Semiglazov V, Manikhas A, Lluch A, Tjulandin S, Zambetti M, Vazquez F, Byakhow M, Lichinitser M, Climent MA, Ciruelos E, Ojeda B, Mansutti M, Bozhok A, Baronio R, Feyereislova A, Barton C, Valagussa P, Baselga J. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy with trastuzumab followed by adjuvant trastuzumab versus neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone, in patients with HER2-positive locally advanced breast cancer (the NOAH trial): a randomised controlled superiority trial with a parallel HER2-negative cohort. *Lancet* 2010; **375**: 377-384 [PMID: 20113825 DOI: 10.1016/s0140-6736(09)61964-4]

**Footnotes**

**Informed consent statement:** Informed written consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this report and any accompanying images.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**CARE Checklist (2016) statement:** The authors have read the CARE Checklist (2016), and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the CARE Checklist (2016)

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Peer-review started:** January 25, 2022

**First decision:** March 16, 2022

**Article in press:**

**Specialty type:** Medicine, research and experimental

**Country/Territory of origin:** China

**Peer-review report’s scientific quality classification**

Grade A (Excellent): 0

Grade B (Very good): B, B


Grade C (Good): 0

Grade D (Fair): 0


Grade E (Poor): 0

**P-Reviewer:** Chia CL, Singapore; Sheikh Hassan M, Somalia **S-Editor:** Wang LL **L-Editor:** A **P-Editor:** Wang LL

**Figure Legends**



**Figure 1 The fluorescence in situ hybridization results before neoadjuvant treatment.**



**Figure 2 The fluorescence in situ hybridization results after neoadjuvant treatment.**