

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 75437

**Title:** Multiple primary malignancies – hepatocellular carcinoma combined with splenic

lymphoma: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05752753

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-24

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-02 15:06

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-14 07:31

Review time: 11 Days and 16 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [ ] Anonymous [Y] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, 1. The article needs to be revised to make it concise. 2. The article needs a major language revision specifically while describing the clinical and radiological findings in case presentation



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 75437

**Title:** Multiple primary malignancies – hepatocellular carcinoma combined with splenic

lymphoma: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05393105

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: Doctor, FRCP, MBBS, MD

**Professional title:** Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-24

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-28 08:58

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-07 16:08

Review time: 10 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

AN interesting case report. The following are the queries: 1. The basis of diagnosis of HCC needs clarity 2. Whether Bone marrow studies were done or not? The language and grammar needs correction