

# World Journal of *Psychiatry*

*World J Psychiatry* 2022 July 19; 12(7): 860-1001



**REVIEW**

- 860 Influencing factors, prediction and prevention of depression in college students: A literature review  
*Liu XQ, Guo YX, Zhang WJ, Gao WJ*

**MINIREVIEWS**

- 874 SARS-CoV-2 consequences for mental health: Neuroinflammatory pathways linking COVID-19 to anxiety and depression  
*de Mello AJ, Moretti M, Rodrigues ALS*
- 884 Genetic variables of the glutamatergic system associated with treatment-resistant depression: A review of the literature  
*Saez E, Erkoreka L, Moreno-Calle T, Berjano B, Gonzalez-Pinto A, Basterreche N, Arrue A*
- 897 Social media and schizophrenia: An update on clinical applications  
*Fonseka LN, Woo BKP*

**ORIGINAL ARTICLE****Case Control Study**

- 904 ABCB9 polymorphism rs61955196 is associated with schizophrenia in a Chinese Han population  
*Li XW, Zhang MY, Li ZJ, Ai LZ, Jin MD, Jia NN, Xie MT, Yang YQ, Li WZ, Dong L, Yu Q*
- 915 Predicting South Korea adolescents vulnerable to depressive disorder using Bayesian nomogram: A community-based cross-sectional study  
*Byeon H*

**Observational Study**

- 929 Believing processes during the COVID-19 pandemic in individuals with bipolar disorder: An exploratory study  
*Tietz S, Wagner-Skacel J, Angel HF, Ratzenhofer M, Fellendorf FT, Fleischmann E, Körner C, Reininghaus EZ, Seitz RJ, Dalkner N*
- 944 Treatment outcome, cognitive function, and psychopathology in methamphetamine users compared to other substance users  
*Behle N, Kamp F, Proebstl L, Hager L, Riebschläger M, Schacht-Jablonowsky M, Hamdorf W, Neumann S, Krause D, Manz K, Franke AG, Koller G, Soyka M*
- 958 Clinical characteristics of pediatric patients with treatment-refractory Tourette syndrome: An evidence-based survey in a Chinese population  
*Li Y, Yan JJ, Cui YH*

- 970 Effect of distinct psychological interventions on changes in self-reported distress, depression and loneliness among older adults during COVID-19

*Shapira S, Yeshua-Katz D, Sarid O*

**SCIENTOMETRICS**

- 982 Mapping the landscape and structure of global research on binge eating disorder: Visualization and bibliometric analysis

*Zyoud SH, Shakhshir M, Abushanab AS, Koni A, Shahwan M, Jairoun AA, Al-Jabi SW*

**LETTER TO THE EDITOR**

- 995 COVID-19 survivors: Multi-disciplinary efforts in psychiatry and medical humanities for long-term realignment

*Löffler-Stastka H, Pietrzak-Franger M*

- 999 Underlying reasons for the decline in physical activity during COVID-19

*Zhang YF, Qiu LK, Li ZP, He LP, Zhou LL*

**ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Psychiatry*, Yuan-Yuan Xiao, PhD, Professor, Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics, School of Public Health, Kunming Medical University, Kunming 650500, Yunnan Province, China. xiaoyuanyuan@kmmu.edu.cn

**AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of *World Journal of Psychiatry (WJP, World J Psychiatry)* is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of psychiatry with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

*WJP* mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of psychiatry and covering a wide range of topics including adolescent psychiatry, biological psychiatry, child psychiatry, community psychiatry, ethnopsychology, psychoanalysis, psychosomatic medicine, etc.

**INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The *WJP* is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Current Contents/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 impact factor (IF) for *WJP* as 3.500; IF without journal self cites: 3.313; 5-year IF: 7.380; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.62; Ranking: 89 among 155 journals in psychiatry; and Quartile category: Q3.

**RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: *Yu-Xi Chen*; Production Department Director: *Xu Guo*; Editorial Office Director: *Jia-Ping Yan*.

**NAME OF JOURNAL**

*World Journal of Psychiatry*

**ISSN**

ISSN 2220-3206 (online)

**LAUNCH DATE**

December 31, 2011

**FREQUENCY**

Monthly

**EDITORS-IN-CHIEF**

Rajesh R Tampi, Ting-Shao Zhu, Panteleimon Giannakopoulos

**EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/2220-3206/editorialboard.htm>

**PUBLICATION DATE**

July 19, 2022

**COPYRIGHT**

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

**INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

**GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

**GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

**PUBLICATION ETHICS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

**PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

**ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

**STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

**ONLINE SUBMISSION**

<https://www.f6publishing.com>

## Observational Study

# Effect of distinct psychological interventions on changes in self-reported distress, depression and loneliness among older adults during COVID-19

Stav Shapira, Daphna Yeshua-Katz, Orly Sarid

**Specialty type:** Psychiatry**Provenance and peer review:**

Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

**Peer-review model:** Single blind**Peer-review report's scientific quality classification**

Grade A (Excellent): 0

Grade B (Very good): 0

Grade C (Good): C, C

Grade D (Fair): 0

Grade E (Poor): 0

**P-Reviewer:** Bou Khalil R,

Lebanon; Mitra AK, United States

**Received:** January 30, 2022**Peer-review started:** January 30, 2022**First decision:** April 18, 2022**Revised:** April 24, 2022**Accepted:** June 16, 2022**Article in press:** June 16, 2022**Published online:** July 19, 2022**Stav Shapira**, School of Public Health, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva 8410501, Israel**Daphna Yeshua-Katz, Orly Sarid**, The Spitzer Department of Social Work, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva 8410501, Israel**Corresponding author:** Stav Shapira, PhD, Academic Research, Lecturer, School of Public Health, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, POB 653, Beer Sheva 8410501, Israel.  
[stavshap@bgu.ac.il](mailto:stavshap@bgu.ac.il)

## Abstract

### BACKGROUND

Older adults have been considered a primary at-risk population during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, and many efforts have been and still are directed toward supporting them and enhancing their capacity to cope with the pandemic. Evidence shows that by enhancing proactive coping abilities through psychological interventions, in which cognitive-behavioral and mindfulness techniques are taught and practiced effectively, these interventions have supported older adults throughout the pandemic. However, the underlying mechanisms by which specific intervention components affect various mental states such as distress, depression and loneliness among older adults remain unclear and warrant investigation.

### AIM

To determine the effect of an intervention using cognitive-behavioral and mindfulness techniques on changes in distress, depression and loneliness.

### METHODS

We performed a secondary analysis on data from a previous study in which community-dwelling older adults attended a short-term, internet-based intervention during the first COVID-19 wave in Israel. The intervention included seven sessions during which various cognitive-behavioral and mindfulness techniques were learned and practiced. In-session changes in psychological distress were measured using the Subjective Units of Distress Scale (SUDS), which participants rated at the beginning and end of each session. Participants also filled out questionnaires that evaluated levels of depression [Patient Health Ques-

tionnaire (PHQ-9)] and loneliness (UCLA loneliness Scale) prior to and after the entire intervention process. The effect of in-session changes in the SUDS on changes in post-intervention depression and loneliness levels were assessed, as a proxy for distinct technique effectiveness.

## RESULTS

The findings indicated in-session differences in terms of a decrease in psychological distress (SUDS). Sessions that included relaxation exercises and guided imagery, as well as sessions that included cognitive restructuring and mindfulness meditation, demonstrated the largest decreases in in-session psychological distress ( $\geq 35\%$ ). Two multivariate regression models, one for levels of post-intervention depression (PHQ-9 score) and the other for levels of post-intervention loneliness (UCLA loneliness score), were fitted. The results revealed two statistically significant explanatory variables for depression: The SUDS difference for sessions in which cognitive restructuring and mindfulness meditation were practiced,  $\beta = -0.25$ , 95% CI: -1.23 to -0.1, and the pre-intervention level of depression,  $\beta = 0.62$ , 95% CI: 0.37-0.75. The second model for loneliness revealed only one significant explanatory variable: The SUDS difference for sessions in which relaxation and guided imagery were practiced,  $\beta = 0.41$ , 95% CI: 0.14-0.65.

## CONCLUSION

Different psychological techniques seem to have different effects on distress, loneliness and depression. Understanding the pathways by which distinct techniques affect negative mental symptoms has implications for future intervention design.

**Key Words:** COVID-19; Depression; Loneliness; Aged; Cognitive behavioral therapy; Subjective Units of Distress Scale; Intervention studies

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core Tip:** The present study explored how distinct cognitive, behavioral and mindfulness interventions affect depression and loneliness *via* changes in psychological distress among older adults. This study is, to the best of our knowledge, the first to explore underlying mechanisms of change in aspects of mental health against the unique backdrop of the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic among older adults. The results provide both theoretical and clinical insights into future intervention design and in regard to ways of supporting older adults during times of change and uncertainty.

**Citation:** Shapira S, Yeshua-Katz D, Sarid O. Effect of distinct psychological interventions on changes in self-reported distress, depression and loneliness among older adults during COVID-19. *World J Psychiatry* 2022; 12(7): 970-981

**URL:** <https://www.wjgnet.com/2220-3206/full/v12/i7/970.htm>

**DOI:** <https://dx.doi.org/10.5498/wjp.v12.i7.970>

## INTRODUCTION

Ever since the outbreak of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, a vast number of studies have investigated the effects of protective measures such as social distancing, quarantining and self-isolating on those individuals defined as comprising the primary at-risk population—older adults. Indeed, much evidence has pointed to elevated levels of psychological distress, depressive symptoms and loneliness among quarantined older adults, especially during the first 6 mo of the pandemic[1-4]. Conversely, later studies have suggested a much more nuanced picture with evidence indicating that the mental health of older adults remained roughly stable through the pandemic[5] and that, in fact, older adults have been more resilient to the negative mental health repercussions of the pandemic compared with younger individuals who have suffered greater economic losses[6] and struggled with managing childcare and work commitments[7]. In an effort to trace the protective factors that contributed to older adults' resilience during the pandemic, several studies have pointed to the importance of maintaining close and meaningful social connections[8], of implementing proactive coping[9] and of being able to use technology and function well in digital environments in these regards [10].

Digital environments and tools can be used not only as a means of staying connected with loved ones but also as powerful platforms to deliver designated psychological interventions to support older adults' mental health and well-being throughout the pandemic and promote proactive coping[11-13].

Indeed, remotely-delivered programs which have been developed during the pandemic have mainly focused on increasing social connectedness and combating the consequences of social isolation, as well as in augmenting coping skills[14]. One of the widespread and common therapeutic approaches used for adapting and enhancing coping abilities involves cognitive-behavioral tools (which include a wide range of techniques) in combination with other modalities such as mindfulness meditation. Previous evidence found that internet-based cognitive and behavioral interventions combined with peer support, such as interventions conducted in a group format, can effectively reduce depression[15] and loneliness [16].

Cognitive-behavioral interventions, as well as mindfulness interventions, are currently very much in use by therapists to help individuals combat depression[17] and loneliness[18]. Theoretically, these interventions focus on several mental pathways. Examples include: (1) Targeting the autonomic nervous system and sympathetic-parasympathetic responses[19,20]; by using techniques such as relaxation, breathing exercises, guided imagery and mindfulness meditation, which share key components of body-based exercises and mind-based practice, therapists aim to retrieve stressful autobiographical memories and alter those memories to be less alarming; and (2) focusing on high-order cognitive processes such as identifying maladaptive thinking patterns, altering them on a moment-to-moment basis and restructuring self-supportive talk[21]. These “bottom-up” and “top-down” processes, respectively, are of great relevance to different populations with whom therapists work. Although older adults are considered to have better regulatory emotional responses compared to younger people[22], it is important to understand which interventions are most effective in reducing distress, depression and loneliness among this cohort, as well as in different stressful situations.

We previously reported the results of a short-term, internet-based intervention which was found to alleviate symptoms of loneliness and depression among older adults during the initial COVID-19 outbreak and the first general lockdown in Israel[23-26]. Our intervention protocol aimed to provide participants with the skills to facilitate effective coping with the dire circumstances and uncertainty that typified that period-resulting from high infection and mortality rates, increasing economic pressures, along with reduced social connections and contact. Whereas we focused then on the effectiveness and acceptability of the intervention as a whole, we did not explore whether the mechanisms of change in psychological distress, loneliness and depression were related to the use of those specific techniques that constituted the full protocol. The process of developing the intervention protocol had been based on previous evidence that highlighted the importance of addressing older adults' own thoughts and emotions[27] and deficits in social cognition, as primary components of programs aiming to support older adults through times of change and uncertainty[28]. Furthermore, multifaceted interventions that incorporate a collection of therapeutic techniques, such as cognitive, behavioral and mindfulness techniques, as well as elements of social interaction and peer support through guided group discussions, have been found to be effective in assisting older adults' coping with various health conditions and stressful events[29-31]. The specific techniques that were incorporated into the intervention protocol were chosen on the basis of previous and solid evidence regarding their effectiveness in reducing depression, loneliness and distress. These included relaxation and guided imagery [32], cognitive restructuring[33,34] and mindfulness meditation[35]. Yet the specific mechanism of change for each of these techniques when delivered and practiced online has not previously been explored among older adults in the context of the pandemic.

We hypothesized that the above-mentioned online intervention would reduce psychological distress, depressive symptoms and loneliness among older adults during the initial COVID-19 outbreak. Furthermore, we explored the links between the different techniques that were learned in terms of changes in psychological distress during sessions, as well as the effect of these changes (in distress) on post-intervention depressive symptoms and loneliness.

---

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

---

The analysis described here was performed on data obtained from a randomized controlled trial pilot study. The initial study aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of a short-term, internet-based group intervention to alleviate mental health difficulties among community-dwelling older adults during the pandemic's first lockdown in Israel. The intervention protocol and findings regarding its effectiveness were previously described elsewhere[24,26]. Briefly, the intervention included seven guided online sessions over 3.5 wk *via* the videoconferencing app Zoom, for small groups of up to seven participants. Each session lasted approximately 60-90 min. During the intervention, participants learned and practiced cognitive-behavioral and mindfulness techniques such as the use of repeated self-talk mantras, cognitive restructuring, breathing exercises, guided imagery and mindfulness meditation (Figure 1). The group moderators were clinical social workers trained to guide the intervention; additionally, they received ongoing supervision by a senior clinical social worker from the research team.

### Study participants

Between March and June 2020, following approval by the institutional review board of Ben-Gurion




Figure 1 Intervention protocol: Skills and techniques learned in each session.

University of the Negev, an online invitation to participate in the study was circulated to prospective participants. The invitation was distributed *via* WhatsApp groups of a local non-governmental organization that focuses on promoting digital literacy among seniors, as well as through welfare departments of several local municipalities in Israel. Eligible participants were community-dwelling older adults (aged 65+) who were: (1) Proficient in Hebrew; and (2) Could provide informed consent. Additional inclusion criteria were: (1) Having an active internet connection; (2) Possessing at least one device that enables online communication (*i.e.* a computer or smartphone); and (3) Having a minimal ability to operate this device (*i.e.* switching it on and off). A total of 124 applicants applied and were screened for eligibility: 37 applicants were excluded due to age (< 65) (21) or non-response (16), and one applicant withdrew from the study for personal reasons, leaving 86 eligible participants. The participants were then randomized *via* a 4:1 ratio into either the intervention or the waitlist control group. We used this allocation instead of an even ratio for ethical reasons; we wanted to provide mental support as quickly as possible to the greatest number of people who were, at the time (during the initial months of the pandemic), confined to their homes for an unknown period. The current analysis will focus on data obtained solely from the intervention group ( $n = 64$ ). For detailed information on drop-out reasons and rates see Shapira *et al*[26] (2021).

### Procedure

Participants filled out pre- and post-intervention online questionnaires (web-based survey, <https://www.qualtrics.com>) that had been sent to them by the group moderator *via* email or mobile phone in accordance with their preference. Additionally, at the beginning and immediately at the end of each session, all participants rated their level of subjective mental distress (see in detail in the section below); these data were collected *via* the use of Google Forms. At the end of the study, each participant provided two measurements (pre- and post-intervention) of the study questionnaire, in addition to 14 measurements of subjective distress (two measurements at the beginning and end of each of the seven sessions).

### Measurement

**Pre- and post-intervention questionnaire:** Dependent variables: The dependent variables were depression and loneliness. Depression was assessed using a 9-item measure, which is part of the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9). The PHQ-9 is a commonly used self-administered measure of depression containing nine items that map each of The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-V) criteria for major depression[36]. The items assess the frequency of depressive symptoms over the past 2 wk and are rated on a four-point Likert-type scale: 0 (not at all) to 3 (nearly every day). The responses were summed, with a range of 0–27. The PHQ-9 was previously translated into Hebrew and tested among the Israeli population with good reliability ( $\alpha$  ranged between 0.88 to 0.93)[37]. Loneliness was assessed using the short 3-item version of the UCLA loneliness Scale [38]. The items in this scale are related to lack of companionship, social exclusion and social isolation. Participants rated their feeling of loneliness on a 3-point Likert-type scale: (1) Hardly ever; (2) Some of the time; and (3) Often. Scores for the three items were summed with a possible score of 3–9. Higher scores indicated greater loneliness. This scale was previously translated into Hebrew and used among the Israeli population with good reliability ( $\alpha = 0.87$ )[39].

**Independent variables:** The independent variables included sociodemographic data and evaluation of subjective health. Sociodemographic data included age, sex, educational level (dichotomized: Tertiary education *vs* non-tertiary education) and household composition [dichotomized: Live alone *vs* live with other(s)]. *Subjective Health* was assessed *via* one item from Israel's Central Bureau of Statistics survey of health indicators[40]. The participants were asked to rate their perception of personal health on a 4-

point Likert-type scale: 1 (poor) to 4 (excellent). Higher scores indicated better self-rated health.

**In-session evaluation of subjective mental distress:** Psychological distress was assessed using The Subjective Units of Distress Scale (SUDS)[41], at the beginning and end of each session. The SUDS provides a quick and simple way to measure distress in a given moment. The respondents were asked to estimate the severity of their emotional distress by providing a numerical value ranging from 0 (no distress) to 10 (highest distress you ever felt). The SUDS is a common tool for measuring the effect of therapeutic interventions[42] and has been previously used among older individuals[43,44].

### Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed in three steps. First, the differences between the SUDS start score and the SUDS end score for each session were calculated, resulting in seven new variables *per* participant that represented their changes in mental distress (SUDS) during each session (SUDS1 to SUDS7). Pearson's correlations were used to assess the intercorrelations between the seven SUDS differences. If the correlation coefficient between two values was higher than 0.65, a mean score was calculated for those values to avoid possible multicollinearity and potential bias in the following stages of analysis. The second analysis step included bivariate analyses to evaluate associations between the two dependent variables (post-intervention loneliness and depression levels), SUDS differences and other study variables using Pearson's correlations and Mann-Whitney U-test. Finally, two multivariate linear regression models were developed to identify significant associations between the explanatory variables that were found significant in the bivariate analyses and each outcome measure: Post-intervention depression and loneliness levels. A *P* value of  $\leq 0.05$  was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS (version 26, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States).

## RESULTS

### Descriptive statistics

Out of the 86 participants who met the inclusion criteria, a total of 64 participants completed the intervention program and provided data for the current analysis. The baseline characteristics of those participants were as follows: sex, 52 female participants (81%) and 12 male participants (19%); age,  $M = 72.1$  ( $SD = 5.3$ ) years; household composition, 24 residing alone (37.5%) and 40 residing with other(s) (62.5%); education, 48 had a tertiary education (76%) and 16 had a non-tertiary education (24%). In terms of subjective health, 33% reported their health to be "very good" or "excellent," 44% reported their health to be "fair," and the rest (23%) reported their health as "not so good" or "poor." The PHQ-9 score (depression) was 6.6 ( $SD = 5.2$ ) at baseline and decreased to 5.2 ( $SD = 4.7$ ) post-intervention. The score on the UCLA loneliness scale was 5.4 ( $SD = 2$ ) at baseline and decreased to 4.7 ( $SD = 1.6$ ) post-intervention. For detailed information on study participants and changes in outcome measures, see previous publications[25,26].

### Subjective mental distress

Subjective mental distress was evaluated by measuring the SUDS rating (on a scale from 0-10) at the beginning and end of each session. Figure 2 presents the mean values of the SUDS measure for each of the seven sessions in the program and the average percentage of change in each session.

The findings indicate that the sessions in which the average decrease in subjective mental distress was highest ( $\geq 35\%$ ) were sessions 2, 3, 6, and 7. Further analysis estimated the intercorrelations between the seven variables representing the delta differences in SUDS ratings. The results revealed a strong correlation (defined as  $r > 0.6$ ) between the delta values of sessions 2 and 3 ( $r = 0.65$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) and between the delta values of sessions 6 and 7 ( $r = 0.69$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). Given these results, the variables were merged by calculating a mean value for each of the two pairs.

### Bivariate analysis

The associations between levels of post-intervention depression and loneliness, and SUDS difference scores, were assessed. Significant associations were observed between levels of depression and the SUDS difference of sessions 2 + 3 ( $r = -0.36$ ,  $P = 0.003$ ) and of sessions 6 + 7 ( $r = -0.4$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ). Only one significant association was detected between levels of loneliness and the SUDS difference of sessions 2 + 3 ( $r = -0.33$ ,  $P = 0.009$ ). An additional association was found between levels of depression and age ( $r = -0.3$ ,  $P = 0.03$ ). Other personal characteristics did not reach statistical significance. Table 1 presents the intercorrelations between study variables.

### Multivariate analysis

Two multivariate regression models were fitted to identify statistically significant associations between the study variables: (1) Levels of post-intervention depression (PHQ-9 score); and (2) Levels of post-intervention loneliness (UCLA loneliness score). The variables entered into each model were selected on the basis of the bivariate analysis results; in addition, we controlled for levels of pre-intervention


**Table 1** Correlation matrix of study variables (*n* = 64)

	Loneliness	Depression	SUDS1	SUDS_2_3	SUDS4	SUDS5	SUDS_6_7	Age	Subjective health
Loneliness	1								
Depression	-0.01	1							
SUDS1	0.12	-0.18	1						
SUDS_2_3	-0.33 <sup>b</sup>	-0.36 <sup>b</sup>	0.57 <sup>b</sup>	1					
SUDS4	0.21	-0.30	0.21	0.52 <sup>b</sup>	1				
SUDS5	-0.02	-0.20	-0.09	0.24	0.31 <sup>a</sup>	1			
SUDS_6_7	0.07	-.40 <sup>b</sup>	0.26	0.48 <sup>b</sup>	0.42 <sup>b</sup>	0.50 <sup>b</sup>	1		
Age	-0.16	-.27 <sup>a</sup>	0.14	0.03	-0.13	-0.10	-0.08	1	
Subjective health	0.02	-0.13	0.27	0.20	0.14	0.07	0.10	-0.15	1

<sup>a</sup>*P* < 0.05.

<sup>b</sup>*P* < 0.001.

All Subjective Units of Distress Scale variables are delta differences.



**Figure 2** Mean values for the Subjective Units of Distress Scale measure at the start and end of the intervention sessions for the entire study sample (*n* = 64). The dashed lines and accompanying values represent the mean percentage of change in Subjective Units of Distress Scale scores for each session. SUDS: Subjective Units of Distress Scale.

depression/loneliness. Both models employed a standard linear regression analysis. The results revealed two statistically significant explanatory variables for depression: The SUDS difference for sessions 6 + 7, beta = -0.25, 95%CI: -1.23 to -0.1, and the level of pre-intervention depression, beta = 0.62, 95%CI: 0.37-0.75. The second model for loneliness revealed only one significant explanatory variable: The SUDS difference for sessions 2 + 3, beta = 0.41, 95%CI: 0.14-0.65 (Tables 2 and 3).

## DISCUSSION

This study examined the effectiveness of a short-term group intervention using cognitive-behavioral and mindfulness interventions for alleviating psychological distress, depression and loneliness among older adults during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic and a national lockdown in Israel. The findings indicated in-session differences in terms of decreases in psychological distress. Sessions during which the techniques of relaxation exercises and guided imagery were learned, and sessions during which cognitive restructuring and mindfulness meditation were learned, led to the highest reduction in distress and these reductions were related to significant changes in levels of post-intervention loneliness and depression, correspondingly. These results suggest that specific techniques may have different

**Table 2 Multivariate regression (with post-intervention Patient Health Questionnaire-9 score as dependent variable)**

	Unstandardized coefficients		Standardized coefficients		95% confidence interval for $\beta$		P value
	$\beta$	Std. Error	$\beta$	t	Lower bound	Upper bound	
(Constant)	8.248	7.321		1.127	-6.417	22.913	0.265
Age	-0.099	0.094	-0.110	-1.057	-0.287	0.089	0.295
Sex	-0.655	1.239	-0.055	-0.529	-3.136	1.826	0.599
SUDS_2_3	0.040	0.317	0.014	0.127	-0.594	0.675	0.899
SUDS_6_7	-0.666	0.281	-0.255	-2.367	-1.230	-0.102	0.021
PHQ_SUM_1	0.563	0.095	0.626	5.948	0.374	0.753	0.000

$n = 62$ , adjusted  $r^2 = 52.2\%$ ,  $F = 14.138$ ,  $P < 0.001$ .

**Table 3 Multivariate regression (with post-intervention UCLA loneliness score as dependent variable)**

	Unstandardized coefficients		Standardized coefficients		95% confidence interval for $\beta$		P value
	$\beta$	Std. Error	$\beta$	t	Lower bound	Upper bound	
(Constant)	7.805	3.237		2.411	1.323	14.287	0.019
Age	-0.045	0.041	-0.147	-1.100	-0.126	0.037	0.276
Sex	-0.071	0.540	-0.017	-0.132	-1.152	1.010	0.896
SUDS_2_3	-0.399	0.129	-0.416	3.092	-0.657	-0.140	0.003
Lonely_1	0.155	0.109	0.195	1.427	-0.063	0.373	0.159

$n = 62$ , adjusted  $r^2 = 11\%$ ,  $F = 2.847$ ,  $P = 0.03$ .

effects on the mental constructs that were examined (*i.e.* depression and loneliness). Possible explanations for these results are elaborated upon below.

First, the associations between psychological distress, measured by SUDS, and loneliness and depression, have been established previously[45,46]. Changes in SUDS scores have also previously been used to evaluate the effectiveness of psychological interventions and of specific intervention components[47]. The current findings strengthen the notion that changes in SUDS scores can be used as an indicator reflecting adjustments attained by a specific intervention component, and thus make an important methodological contribution to the design and evaluation of psychological interventions.

Furthermore, in relation to the specific effect of distinct cognitive-behavioral and mindfulness intervention components, the different mechanisms underlying the abovementioned therapeutic techniques and their impact on mental health outcomes should be discussed. The need to consider the underlying mechanisms involved in the effects of psychological interventions has been previously identified[28,48]. These mechanisms are not yet well understood, and some evidence suggests that observed positive changes are likely to occur *via* several pathways, such as changing maladaptive cognitive biases[18], improving emotion self-regulation[49] and shifting the sympathetic/parasympathetic balance[50]. The current findings which point to body-oriented, behavioral interventions such as relaxation through breathing and guided imagery as effective in decreasing distress (and consequently loneliness), but not in decreasing depression, contradict some previous findings but align with others. The same can be said for the finding which indicated that relatively more complex techniques such as cognitive restructuring and mindfulness meditation effectively reduced distress and depression but not loneliness. It should be noted that a meta-analysis study concluded that interventions that address maladaptive social cognitions present the greatest potential for reducing loneliness[28]. This notion was partially supported by the current results, in that the study's entire protocol was indeed found to reduce loneliness[25], although the specific techniques that addressed social cognitions (*e.g.*, cognitive restructuring) were not necessarily found to do so. It is therefore possible to assume that the latter techniques indeed contributed to reducing loneliness in the specific context of the current intervention (the first COVID-19 wave in Israel) and population (older adults isolated in their homes) but that their contribution was smaller compared to that of other techniques identified. Previous evidence has indicated the effectiveness of mindfulness-based[51] as well as cognitive restructuring techniques[52,53] in interventions treating depression. The current findings align with this evidence and highlight the importance of combining these two techniques together in

programs to treat depression, specifically among older individuals.

Finally, it is also worth mentioning once again the unique setting of the current group intervention—which was internet-based, short-term and guided—and discussing the abovementioned insights in this context. Indeed, the current program was not designed as a classic therapeutic intervention, but rather as a study program aimed to provide participants with a toolkit that would be available to them, and which would be at their disposal during a period marked by social isolation, lockdowns, and other dire circumstances. As such, the effect of learning and practicing new skills in a digital environment may also have contributed to the beneficial changes observed *via* empowering the participants, perhaps by increasing their self-efficacy[54] and enhancing social inclusion[55]. Future research should explore the effects of online learning as an independent mechanism that enhances older adults' coping capacity during periods of crisis and uncertainty.

The current study had several limitations. First, as the intervention was delivered in a group setting, thus enabling discussion between participants during sessions, we cannot rule out a possible effect of participants' interactions on the outcomes obtained. Second, the effectiveness of the techniques learned was evaluated through a proxy measure: Changes in levels of psychological distress. It is possible that this measure does not fully reflect the effect of the intervention on the participants as it was self-reported and subjected to potential bias. Future studies should incorporate objective measures, such as monitoring facial expressions, as part of online interventions[56,57]. Third, the present study examined the group effect of the techniques learned and did not focus on individual-level preferences. Fourth, the small sample size may also compromise the study's conclusions. Larger studies in the future would allow for subgroup analyses and enable the determination of effectiveness for different program elements in a more robust manner.

---

## CONCLUSION

The current study examined in depth the mechanisms underlying the beneficial changes in mental health outcomes among older individuals who participated in an internet-based group intervention during the early part of the COVID-19 pandemic. Findings indicated that different intervention components had different effects on psychological distress, loneliness and depression, and that each component may enhance the proactive coping abilities of older individuals in different ways. From a theoretical perspective it is important to understand the specific pathways by which distinct techniques affect mental capacities[49]. The frameworks of cognitive-behavioral and mindfulness interventions need to be dissected into segments as a way to better understand the role of each interventional strategy. Doing so would support the design of more concise and efficient interventions tailored to the needs of different populations and mental states. From a clinical perspective, the findings shed light on potential paths by which different therapeutic techniques might affect mental health outcomes among older adults specifically, and thus have implications for future intervention design. These insights may help in the enhancement of older individuals' resilience during future outbreaks, as well as during other large public health emergencies.

## ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

### **Research background**

Older adults have been considered a primary at-risk population during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. Recent evidence has shown that enhancing proactive coping abilities through psychological interventions can support older adults throughout the pandemic. However, the underlying mechanisms by which specific intervention components affect various mental states among older adults remain unclear and warrant investigation.

### **Research motivation**

We previously reported the results of a short-term, internet-based intervention which was found to alleviate symptoms of loneliness and depression among older adults during the initial COVID-19 outbreak and the first general lockdown in Israel. We focused then on the effectiveness and acceptability of the intervention as a whole, but did not explore whether the mechanisms of change in mental states were related to the use of those specific techniques that constituted the full protocol. We believe that a better understanding of the role of each interventional strategy can support the design of more concise and efficient interventions tailored to the needs of different populations and mental states.

### **Research objectives**

To determine the effect of an intervention using cognitive-behavioral and mindfulness techniques on changes in distress, depression and loneliness. Furthermore, we explored the links between the different techniques that were learned in terms of changes in psychological distress during sessions, as well as the

effect of these changes (in distress) on post-intervention depressive symptoms and loneliness.

### **Research methods**

We performed a secondary analysis on data from the original intervention described above. The intervention included seven sessions during which various cognitive-behavioral and mindfulness techniques were learned and practiced. In-session changes in psychological distress were measured using the Subjective Units of Distress Scale (SUDS) which participants rated at the beginning and end of each session. In addition, levels of depression (Patient Health Questionnaire) and loneliness (UCLA Loneliness Scale) were assessed prior to and after the entire intervention process. The effect of in-session changes in the SUDS on changes in post-intervention depression and loneliness levels were assessed as a proxy for distinct technique effectiveness.

### **Research results**

The findings indicated in-session differences in terms of decreases in psychological distress. Sessions during which the techniques of relaxation exercises and guided imagery were learned, and sessions during which cognitive restructuring and mindfulness meditation were learned, led to the highest reduction in distress, and these reductions were related to significant changes in levels of post-intervention loneliness and depression, correspondingly.

### **Research conclusions**

Different psychological techniques seem to have different effects on the specific mental states that were assessed in the current study. The findings shed light on potential paths by which different therapeutic interventions might affect mental health outcomes among older adults specifically, and thus have implications for future intervention design. These insights may help in the enhancement of older individuals' resilience during future outbreaks and other emergencies.

### **Research perspectives**

Larger studies are needed to allow for subgroup analyses that would enable the determination of effectiveness for different program elements in a more robust manner.

---

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This project was initiated through an exceptional presidential initiative of Ben-Gurion University of the Negev which supported the development and implementation of this study, and for which we are grateful. We would also like to thank Ganit Goren, Adi Vilenski, Shachar Michael, and Milca Hanukoglo—our dedicated moderators—and Ayellet Yogev, our research coordinator, for her valuable work and devotion to this project. We also extend our thanks to the participants who volunteered to take part in our program.

---

## **FOOTNOTES**

**Author contributions:** Shapira S, Yeshua-Katz D and Sarid O designed and performed the research; Shapira S and Sarid O analyzed the data; Shapira S wrote the first draft of the manuscript; all authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

**Institutional review board statement:** The study protocol was approved by the institutional review board of Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, No. 1885-1.

**Informed consent statement:** All study participants or their legal guardian provided informed written consent about personal and medical data collection prior to study enrolment.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

**Data sharing statement:** Technical appendix, statistical code and dataset are available from the corresponding author at [stavshap@bgu.ac.il](mailto:stavshap@bgu.ac.il). The data available include no identifiers.

**STROBE statement:** The authors have read the STROBE Statement—checklist of items, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the STROBE Statement—checklist of items.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-

commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country/Territory of origin: Israel

ORCID number: Stav Shapira 0000-0001-6258-4935; Daphna Yeshua-Katz 0000-0001-7976-1934; Orly Sarid 0000-0002-6967-8755.

S-Editor: Fan JR

L-Editor: Filipodia

P-Editor: Fan JR

## REFERENCES

- 1 Kobayashi LC, O'Shea BQ, Kler JS, Nishimura R, Palavicino-Maggio CB, Eastman MR, Vinson YR, Finlay JM. Cohort profile: the COVID-19 Coping Study, a longitudinal mixed-methods study of middle-aged and older adults' mental health and well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic in the USA. *BMJ Open* 2021; **11**: e044965 [PMID: 33568377 DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-044965]
- 2 Robb CE, de Jager CA, Ahmadi-Abhari S, Giannakopoulou P, Udeh-Momoh C, McKeand J, Price G, Car J, Majeed A, Ward H, Middleton L. Associations of Social Isolation with Anxiety and Depression During the Early COVID-19 Pandemic: A Survey of Older Adults in London, UK. *Front Psychiatry* 2020; **11**: 591120 [PMID: 33132942 DOI: 10.3389/fpsy.2020.591120]
- 3 Kotwal AA, Holt-Lunstad J, Newmark RL, Cenzer I, Smith AK, Covinsky KE, Escueta DP, Lee JM, Perissinotto CM. Social Isolation and Loneliness Among San Francisco Bay Area Older Adults During the COVID-19 Shelter-in-Place Orders. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2021; **69**: 20-29 [PMID: 32965024 DOI: 10.1111/jgs.16865]
- 4 Stolz E, Mayerl H, Freidl W. The impact of COVID-19 restriction measures on loneliness among older adults in Austria. *Eur J Public Health* 2021; **31**: 44-49 [PMID: 33338225 DOI: 10.1093/eurpub/ckaa238]
- 5 van Tilburg TG, Steinmetz S, Stolte E, van der Roest H, de Vries DH. Loneliness and Mental Health During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Study Among Dutch Older Adults. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2021; **76**: e249-e255 [PMID: 32756931 DOI: 10.1093/geronb/gbaa111]
- 6 Vahia IV, Jeste DV, Reynolds CF 3rd. Older Adults and the Mental Health Effects of COVID-19. *JAMA* 2020; **324**: 2253-2254 [PMID: 33216114 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2020.21753]
- 7 Shockley KM, Clark MA, Dodd H, King EB. Work-family strategies during COVID-19: Examining gender dynamics among dual-earner couples with young children. *J Appl Psychol* 2021; **106**: 15-28 [PMID: 33151705 DOI: 10.1037/apl0000857]
- 8 Fuller HR, Huseth-Zosel A. Lessons in Resilience: Initial Coping Among Older Adults During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Gerontologist* 2021; **61**: 114-125 [PMID: 33136144 DOI: 10.1093/geront/gnaa170]
- 9 Pearman A, Hughes ML, Smith EL, Neupert SD. Age Differences in Risk and Resilience Factors in COVID-19-Related Stress. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2021; **76**: e38-e44 [PMID: 32745198 DOI: 10.1093/geronb/gbaa120]
- 10 Seifert A, Cotten SR, Xie B. A Double Burden of Exclusion? *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2021; **76**: e99-e103 [PMID: 32672332 DOI: 10.1093/geronb/gbaa098]
- 11 Andersson G. Internet interventions: Past, present and future. *Internet Interv* 2018; **12**: 181-188 [PMID: 30135782 DOI: 10.1016/j.invent.2018.03.008]
- 12 Andersson G, Titov N, Dear BF, Rozental A, Carlbring P. Internet-delivered psychological treatments: from innovation to implementation. *World Psychiatry* 2019; **18**: 20-28 [PMID: 30600624 DOI: 10.1002/wps.20610]
- 13 Mahlo L, Windsor TD. Feasibility, Acceptability, and Preliminary Efficacy of an App-Based Mindfulness-Meditation Program Among Older Adults. *Gerontologist* 2021; **61**: 775-786 [PMID: 32663286 DOI: 10.1093/geront/gnaa093]
- 14 Rodrigues NG, Han CQY, Su Y, Klainin-Yobas P, Wu XV. Psychological impacts and online interventions of social isolation amongst older adults during COVID-19 pandemic: A scoping review. *J Adv Nurs* 2022; **78**: 609-644 [PMID: 34625997 DOI: 10.1111/jan.15063]
- 15 Tomasino KN, Lattie EG, Ho J, Palac HL, Kaiser SM, Mohr DC. Harnessing Peer Support in an Online Intervention for Older Adults with Depression. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2017; **25**: 1109-1119 [PMID: 28571785 DOI: 10.1016/j.jagp.2017.04.015]
- 16 Boulton E, Kneale D, Stansfield C, Heron P, Sutcliffe K, Hayanga B. Rapid review of reviews: what remotely delivered interventions can reduce social isolation and loneliness among older adults? National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Policy Research Programme; 2020. [cited 10 January 2022]. Available from: <https://tinyurl.com/2p8zn55e>
- 17 Goldberg SB, Tucker RP, Greene PA, Davidson RJ, Kearney DJ, Simpson TL. Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy for the treatment of current depressive symptoms: a meta-analysis. *Cogn Behav Ther* 2019; **48**: 445-462 [PMID: 30732534 DOI: 10.1080/16506073.2018.1556330]
- 18 Hickin N, Käll A, Shafraan R, Sutcliffe S, Manzotti G, Langan D. The effectiveness of psychological interventions for loneliness: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2021; **88**: 102066 [PMID: 34339939 DOI: 10.1016/j.cpr.2021.102066]
- 19 Tang YY, Jiang C, Tang R. How Mind-Body Practice Works-Integration or Separation? *Front Psychol* 2017; **8**: 866 [PMID: 28603513 DOI: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00866]
- 20 Jang A, Hwang SK, Padhye NS, Meiningner JC. Effects of Cognitive Behavior Therapy on Heart Rate Variability in Young Females with Constipation-predominant Irritable Bowel Syndrome: A Parallel-group Trial. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2017; **23**: 435-445 [PMID: 28480684 DOI: 10.5056/jnm17017]

- 21 **Shikatani B**, Antony MM, Kuo JR, Cassin SE. The impact of cognitive restructuring and mindfulness strategies on postevent processing and affect in social anxiety disorder. *J Anxiety Disord* 2014; **28**: 570-579 [PMID: 24983798 DOI: 10.1016/j.janxdis.2014.05.012]
- 22 **Sims T**, Hogan C, Carstensen L. Selectivity as an Emotion Regulation Strategy: Lessons from Older Adults. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2015; **3**: 80-84 [PMID: 25914897 DOI: 10.1016/j.copsyc.2015.02.012]
- 23 **Yeshua-Katz D**, Shapira S, Aharonson-Daniel L, Clarfield AM, Sarid O. Matching Digital Intervention Affordances with Tasks: The Case of a Zoom and WhatsApp Mental Health Intervention for Seniors during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Health Commun* 2021; 1-13 [PMID: 34325581 DOI: 10.1080/10410236.2021.1956071]
- 24 **Shapira S**, Yeshua-Katz D, Goren G, Aharonson-Daniel L, Clarfield AM, Sarid O. Evaluation of a Short-Term Digital Group Intervention to Relieve Mental Distress and Promote Well-Being Among Community-Dwelling Older Individuals During the COVID-19 Outbreak: A Study Protocol. *Front Public Health* 2021; **9**: 577079 [PMID: 33898369 DOI: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.577079]
- 25 **Shapira S**, Cohn-Schwartz E, Yeshua-Katz D, Aharonson-Daniel L, Clarfield AM, Sarid O. Teaching and Practicing Cognitive-Behavioral and Mindfulness Skills in a Web-Based Platform among Older Adults through the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021; **18** [PMID: 34682309 DOI: 10.3390/ijerph182010563]
- 26 **Shapira S**, Yeshua-Katz D, Cohn-Schwartz E, Aharonson-Daniel L, Sarid O, Clarfield AM. A pilot randomized controlled trial of a group intervention via Zoom to relieve loneliness and depressive symptoms among older persons during the COVID-19 outbreak. *Internet Interv* 2021; **24**: 100368 [PMID: 33527072 DOI: 10.1016/j.invent.2021.100368]
- 27 **Pandya SP**. Meditation program mitigates loneliness and promotes wellbeing, life satisfaction and contentment among retired older adults: a two-year follow-up study in four South Asian cities. *Aging Ment Health* 2021; **25**: 286-298 [PMID: 31755300 DOI: 10.1080/13607863.2019.1691143]
- 28 **Masi CM**, Chen HY, Hawkey LC, Cacioppo JT. A meta-analysis of interventions to reduce loneliness. *Pers Soc Psychol Rev* 2011; **15**: 219-266 [PMID: 20716644 DOI: 10.1177/1088868310377394]
- 29 **Daitch C**. Cognitive Behavioral Therapy, Mindfulness, and Hypnosis as Treatment Methods for Generalized Anxiety Disorder. *Am J Clin Hypn* 2018; **61**: 57-69 [PMID: 29771217 DOI: 10.1080/00029157.2018.1458594]
- 30 **Vanhuffel H**, Rey M, Lambert I, Da Fonseca D, Bat-Pitault F. [Contribution of mindfulness meditation in cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia]. *Encephale* 2018; **44**: 134-140 [PMID: 28213988 DOI: 10.1016/j.encep.2016.12.001]
- 31 **Goren G**, Schwartz D, Friger M, Banai H, Sergienko R, Regev S, Abu-Kaf H, Greenberg D, Nemirovsky A, Ilan K, Lerner L, Monsonego A, Dotan I, Yanai H, Eliakim R, Ben Horin S, Slonim-Nevo V, Odes S, Sarid O. Randomized Controlled Trial of Cognitive-Behavioral and Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction on the Quality of Life of Patients With Crohn Disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2022; **28**: 393-408 [PMID: 33847758 DOI: 10.1093/ibd/izab083]
- 32 **Bigham E**, Mcdannel L, Luciano I, Salgado-Lopez G. Effect of a Brief Guided Imagery on Stress. *Biofeedback* 2014; **42**: 28-35 [DOI: 10.5298/1081-5937-42.1.07]
- 33 **Johnco C**, Wuthrich VM, Rapee RM. The impact of late-life anxiety and depression on cognitive flexibility and cognitive restructuring skill acquisition. *Depress Anxiety* 2015; **32**: 754-762 [PMID: 26014612 DOI: 10.1002/da.22375]
- 34 **Simhi M**, Cwikel J, Sarid O. Treatment Preferences for Postpartum Depression Among New Israeli Mothers: The Contribution of Health Beliefs and Social Support. *J Am Psychiatr Nurses Assoc* 2021; 10783903211042084 [PMID: 34459257 DOI: 10.1177/10783903211042084]
- 35 **Goldberg SB**, Tucker RP, Greene PA, Davidson RJ, Wampold BE, Kearney DJ, Simpson TL. Mindfulness-based interventions for psychiatric disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2018; **59**: 52-60 [PMID: 29126747 DOI: 10.1016/j.cpr.2017.10.011]
- 36 **Kroenke K**, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. *J Gen Intern Med* 2001; **16**: 606-613 [PMID: 11556941 DOI: 10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016009606.x]
- 37 **Neria Y**, Besser A, Kiper D, Westphal M. A longitudinal study of posttraumatic stress disorder, depression, and generalized anxiety disorder in Israeli civilians exposed to war trauma. *J Trauma Stress* 2010; **23**: 322-330 [PMID: 20564364 DOI: 10.1002/jts.20522]
- 38 **Hughes ME**, Waite LJ, Hawkey LC, Cacioppo JT. A Short Scale for Measuring Loneliness in Large Surveys: Results From Two Population-Based Studies. *Res Aging* 2004; **26**: 655-672 [PMID: 18504506 DOI: 10.1177/0164027504268574]
- 39 **Palgi Y**, Shirra A, Ring L, Bodner E, Avidor S, Bergman Y, Cohen-Fridel S, Keisari S, Hoffman Y. The loneliness pandemic: Loneliness and other concomitants of depression, anxiety and their comorbidity during the COVID-19 outbreak. *J Affect Disord* 2020; **275**: 109-111 [PMID: 32658811 DOI: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.06.036]
- 40 **Israel Central Bureau of Statistics**. Society in Israel. Chapter 5: Health. Jerusalem, Isreal; 2011. [cited 10 January 2022]. Available from: [http://www.cbs.gov.il/webpub/pub/text\\_page.html?publ=54&CYear=2009&CMonth=1](http://www.cbs.gov.il/webpub/pub/text_page.html?publ=54&CYear=2009&CMonth=1)
- 41 **Kim D**, Bae H, Chon Park Y. Validity of the Subjective Units of Disturbance Scale in EMDR. *J EMDR Pract Res* 2008; **2**: 57-62 [DOI: 10.1891/1933-3196.2.1.57]
- 42 **Segal-Engelchin D**, Sarid O. Brief Intervention Effectiveness on Stress among Nepalese People Indirectly Exposed to the Nepal Earthquake. *Int J Ment Health Addict* 2016; **14**: 1-5
- 43 **Barhorst-Cates EM**, Rand KM, Creem-Regehr SH. Let me be your guide: physical guidance improves spatial learning for older adults with simulated low vision. *Exp Brain Res* 2017; **235**: 3307-3317 [PMID: 28803374 DOI: 10.1007/s00221-017-5063-8]
- 44 **Johnco C**, Wuthrich VM, Rapee RM. The influence of cognitive flexibility on treatment outcome and cognitive restructuring skill acquisition during cognitive behavioural treatment for anxiety and depression in older adults: Results of a pilot study. *Behav Res Ther* 2014; **57**: 55-64 [PMID: 24828838 DOI: 10.1016/j.brat.2014.04.005]
- 45 **Tonarely NA**, Hirlemann A, Shaw AM, LoCurto J, Souer H, Ginsburg GS. Validation and Clinical Correlates of the Behavioral Indicator of Resiliency to Distress Task (BIRD) in a University- and Community-Based Sample of Youth with Emotional Disorders. *J Psychopathol Behav Assess* 2020; **42**: 787-798 [DOI: 10.1007/s10862-020-09830-7]
- 46 **Czamanski-Cohen J**, Sarid O, Huss E, Ifergane A, Niego L, Cwikel J. CB-ART—The use of a hybrid cognitive behavioral and art based protocol for treating pain and symptoms accompanying coping with chronic illness. *Arts Psychother* 2014; **41**:

- 320-328 [DOI: [10.1016/j.aip.2014.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aip.2014.05.002)]
- 47 **Irmak Vural P**, Aslan E. Emotional freedom techniques and breathing awareness to reduce childbirth fear: A randomized controlled study. *Complement Ther Clin Pract* 2019; **35**: 224-231 [PMID: [31003663](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31003663/) DOI: [10.1016/j.ctcp.2019.02.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctcp.2019.02.011)]
- 48 **Juslin PN**, Västfjäll D. Emotional responses to music: the need to consider underlying mechanisms. *Behav Brain Sci* 2008; **31**: 559-75; discussion 575 [PMID: [18826699](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18826699/) DOI: [10.1017/S0140525X08005293](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X08005293)]
- 49 **Berking M**, Wupperman P, Reichardt A, Pejic T, Dippel A, Znoj H. Emotion-regulation skills as a treatment target in psychotherapy. *Behav Res Ther* 2008; **46**: 1230-1237 [PMID: [18835479](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18835479/) DOI: [10.1016/j.brat.2008.08.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2008.08.005)]
- 50 **Innes KE**, Selfe TK. Meditation as a therapeutic intervention for adults at risk for Alzheimer's disease - potential benefits and underlying mechanisms. *Front Psychiatry* 2014; **5**: 40 [PMID: [24795656](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24795656/) DOI: [10.3389/fpsy.2014.00040](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2014.00040)]
- 51 **Hofmann SG**, Sawyer AT, Witt AA, Oh D. The effect of mindfulness-based therapy on anxiety and depression: A meta-analytic review. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2010; **78**: 169-183 [PMID: [20350028](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20350028/) DOI: [10.1037/a0018555](https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018555)]
- 52 **Clarke GN**, Hornbrook M, Lynch F, Polen M, Gale J, Beardslee W, O'Connor E, Seeley J. A randomized trial of a group cognitive intervention for preventing depression in adolescent offspring of depressed parents. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2001; **58**: 1127-1134 [PMID: [11735841](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11735841/) DOI: [10.1001/archpsyc.58.12.1127](https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.58.12.1127)]
- 53 **Wagner B**, Horn AB, Maercker A. Internet-based vs face-to-face cognitive-behavioral intervention for depression: a randomized controlled non-inferiority trial. *J Affect Disord* 2014; **152-154**: 113-121 [PMID: [23886401](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23886401/) DOI: [10.1016/j.jad.2013.06.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2013.06.032)]
- 54 **Alqurashi E**. Self-Efficacy In Online Learning Environments: A Literature Review. *Contemp Issues Educ Res* 2016; **9**: 45-52
- 55 **Hill R**, Betts LR, Gardner SE. Older adults' experiences and perceptions of digital technology: (Dis)empowerment, wellbeing, and inclusion. *Comput Human Behav* 2015; **48**: 415-423 [DOI: [10.1016/j.chb.2015.01.062](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.01.062)]
- 56 **Ramanathan V**. Newsmaker interview: M. S. Swaminathan. A guru of the green revolution reflects on Borlaug's legacy. Interview by Pallava Bagla. *Science* 2009; **326**: 361 [PMID: [19833937](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19833937/) DOI: [10.1126/science.326\\_361](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.326_361)]
- 57 **Cheong JH**, Brooks S, Chang LJ. FaceSync: Open source framework for recording facial expressions with head-mounted cameras. *F1000Res* 2019; **8**: 702 [PMID: [32185017](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32185017/) DOI: [10.12688/f1000research.18187.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.18187.1)]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**  
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-3991568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**Help Desk:** <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

