

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 75554

Title: Therapeutic strategies in Crohn's disease in an emergency surgical setting.

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02840060 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-05 03:43

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-11 04:11

Review time: 6 Days

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is written well. I recommend that reports on PNI (prognostic nutritional index) and Crohn's disease are included in the section of INITIAL OPTIMIZATION.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 75554

Title: Therapeutic strategies in Crohn's disease in an emergency surgical setting.

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06254312 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Germany

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-06 11:38

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-16 08:10

Review time: 9 Days and 20 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1 Title. Does the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript? - The title of the manuscript does reflect the main subject: "Therapeutic strategies in CD" / "emergency surgical setting" 2 Abstract. Does the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript? - Yes the abstract gives a good summary words. Do the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript? - Key words reflect the focus of the manuscript 4 Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study? - After the author discusses the clinical picture in general, the aspect of surgical intervention is brought to the fore. The study builds on this. 5 Methods. Does the manuscript describe methods (e.g., experiments, data analysis, surveys, and clinical trials, etc.) in adequate detail? - The method section in particular is missing; In the following, different aspects are mentioned (diagnosis, INITIAL OPTIMIZATION, etc). I would recommend to briefly describe the type of study (e.g. mini-review with corresponding previous recommendations as literature table). 6 Results. Are the research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this study? What are the contributions that the study has made for research progress in this field? - I think INDICATIONS TO EMERGENCY SURGERY is the result / end-point of the study, which gives a good overview with the different complications 7 Discussion. Does the manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically? Are the findings and their applicability/relevance to the literature stated in a clear and definite manner? Is the discussion accurate and does it discuss the paper's scientific significance and/or relevance to clinical practice sufficiently? - The discussion is incorporated into the results section and provides a good overview of the various indications for surgery



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

and complications. Corresponding literature references are provided and discussed

along with the most important points. 8 Illustrations and tables. Are the figures, diagrams and tables sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents? Do figures require labeling with arrows, asterisks etc., better legends? - I would suggest a small table within the mini-review with various references. Also, a graph (pie chart) with corresponding percentages of the various complication rates given in the literature would be helpful (e.g. presence of an abscess 20%; INTESTINAL OBSTRUCTION with ileo-colic colic localization (35-54%). The presented pictures give a good example of the different complications. 9 Biostatistics. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of biostatistics? - Yes 10 Units. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of use of SI units? - yes 11 References. Does the manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections? Does the author self-cite, omit, incorrectly cite and/or over-cite references? - The literature section reflects the current status of this desease. 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Is the manuscript well, concisely and coherently organized and presented? Is the style, language and grammar accurate and appropriate? - The presentation overall of the manuscript ist well done. 13 Research methods and reporting. Authors should have prepared their manuscripts according to manuscript type and the appropriate categories, as follows: (1) CARE Checklist (2013) -Case report; (2) CONSORT 2010 Statement - Clinical Trials study, Prospective study, Randomized Controlled trial, Randomized Clinical trial; (3) PRISMA 2009 Checklist -Evidence-Based Medicine, Systematic review, Meta-Analysis; (4) STROBE Statement -Case Control study, Observational study, Retrospective Cohort study; and (5) The ARRIVE Guidelines - Basic study. Did the author prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting? - Guidelines and statements are correct and complete 14 Ethics statements. For all manuscripts involving human



studies and/or animal experiments, author(s) must submit the related formal ethics documents - no comments