

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Methodology*

Manuscript NO: 75673

Title: Telehealth has comparable outcomes to in-person diabetic foot care during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06109990

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MBChB, N/A

Professional title: Academic Research, Full Professor, Senior Editor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iraq

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-09 15:06

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-10 21:18

Review time: 1 Day and 6 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, Thank you for conducting this study entitled " Digital Health and remote healthcare applications in the management of Diabetic Foot: A rapid review during the COVID-19 pandemic" for possible publication in the esteemed journal "World Journal of Methodology". You need to correct the following points: 1. The title is relatively long. Besides, I see the following words are starting with capital letters (Health, Diabetic, and Foot), which is against the journal style in the writing the title. 2. The abstract is well written and gives a nice overview of the topic. But, in the enumeration process, you should add a comma before the word "and". 3. Each keyword should be started with a capital letter. 4. Introduction: gives a nice overview on the topic and the aim of the study was clearly stated but the following should be corrected: a. Each paragraph should be started by an appropriate space. b. The citation of the reference should follow the journal style like this [1] for the reference number 1. c. Why you write the full words rather than the abbreviations despite you mentioned them as abbreviations?. d. The definition of diabetic foot needs a reference. 5. Methods a. Please mention the timing of starting the collection of the studies. b. "To identify relevant peer-reviewed publications and gray literature the authors searched", should be written like this "To identify relevant peer-reviewed publications and gray literature, the authors searched". c. The literature was searched and reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA Sc). Is your study a mini review or Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis?. d. You should mention that you search studies before COVID-19 pandemic as control studies. 6. Results a. The legend of the table 1 should be mentioned just above that table. b. Write



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

the full term of the abbreviations in the table 1. 7. Discussion a. Paragraph 2: please specify the countries from the Europe. b. The last sentence in the discussion "The latter calls for multidisciplinary research and initiatives involving digital health and network specialists apart from healthcare professionals, patients and" is missing word/s. 8. References a. I think the reference number 5 is the same as reference number 6. b. The following reference is useful for your work "Management of diabetes- related foot disease in the outpatient setting during the COVID-19 pandemic", <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34278684/>

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Methodology*

Manuscript NO: 75673

Title: Telehealth has comparable outcomes to in-person diabetic foot care during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06140863

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Academic Research, Assistant Professor, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-16 08:31

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-28 12:35

Review time: 12 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present an interesting proposal, with an acceptable methodological development. I believe that the wording of the text should be revised to improve the quality of the manuscript. In addition, I include several suggestions: Please include the exact sequence of words used in the bibliographic search to introduce it in the academic search engines and verify that the methodology is correct. Table 1 should include the bibliographic citation instead of the year of publication to facilitate reading. Homogenize the style of bibliographic citations included in the text.