World Journal of *Hepatology*

World J Hepatol 2022 August 27; 14(8): 1530-1693





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

J H World Journal of *Hepatology*

Contents

Monthly Volume 14 Number 8 August 27, 2022

OPINION REVIEW

1530 Sexual dysfunctions and their treatment in liver diseases

Jagdish RK

MINIREVIEWS

1541 Long-term liver allograft fibrosis: A review with emphasis on idiopathic post-transplant hepatitis and chronic antibody mediated rejection

Vij M, Rammohan A, Rela M

1550 Outcomes of patients with post-hepatectomy hypophosphatemia: A narrative review

Chan KS. Mohan S. Shelat VG

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Basic Study

1562 Assessment of circulating levels of microRNA-326, microRNA-424, and microRNA-511 as biomarkers for hepatocellular carcinoma in Egyptians

Youssef SS, Elfiky A, Nabeel MM, Shousha HI, Elbaz T, Omran D, Marie MS, Elzahry MA, Abul-Fotouh A, Hashem A, Guda MF, Abdelaziz AO

Retrospective Cohort Study

1576 Missed opportunities for hepatitis C treatment at a tertiary care hospital in South Australia

Raja SS, Edwards S, Stewart J, Huynh D

1584 Survival outcomes and predictors of mortality, re-bleeding and complications for acute severe variceal bleeding requiring balloon tamponade

Keung CY, Morgan A, Le ST, Robertson M, Urquhart P, Swan MP

Retrospective Study

1598 Simple diagnostic algorithm identifying at-risk nonalcoholic fatty liver disease patients needing specialty referral within the United States

Alkhouri N, Aggarwal P, Le P, Payne J, Sakkal C, Polanco P, Harrison S, Noureddin M

1608 Real-life multi-center retrospective analysis on nivolumab in difficult-to-treat patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma

De Wilde N, Vonghia L, Francque S, De Somer T, Bagdadi A, Staub E, Lambrechts J, Bucalau AM, Verset G, Van Steenkiste C

Clinical Trials Study

1621 Iohexol plasma and urinary concentrations in cirrhotic patients: A pilot study

Carrier P, Destere A, Giguet B, Debette-Gratien M, Essig M, Monchaud C, Woillard JB, Loustaud-Ratti V



Monthly Volume 14 Number 8 August 27, 2022

Observational Study

1633 Higher cardiovascular risk scores and liver fibrosis risk estimated by biomarkers in patients with metabolic-dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease

Salgado Alvarez GA, Pinto Galvez SM, Garcia Mora U, Cano Contreras AD, Durán Rosas C, Priego-Parra BA, Triana Romero A, Amieva Balmori M, Roesch Dietlen F, Martinez Vazquez SE, Mendez Guerrero IO, Chi-Cervera LA, Bernal Reyes R, Martinez Roriguez LA, Icaza Chavez ME, Remes Troche JM

1643 Prevalence of sarcopenia using different methods in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

Almeida NS, Rocha R, de Souza CA, da Cruz ACS, Ribeiro BDR, Vieira LV, Daltro C, Silva R, Sarno M, Cotrim HP

1652 Metabolic-associated fatty liver disease is associated with low muscle mass and strength in patients with chronic hepatitis B

Santos CML, Brito MD, Castro PASV, Vries TP, Viana NL, Coelho MPP, Malheiro OB, Bering T, Gonzalez MC, Teixeira R, Cambraia RD, Rocha GA, Silva LD

Randomized Controlled Trial

1667 Effect of probiotics on hemodynamic changes and complications associated with cirrhosis: A pilot randomized controlled trial

Maslennikov R, Efremova I, Ivashkin V, Zharkova M, Poluektova E, Shirokova E, Ivashkin K

CASE REPORT

1678 Secondary sclerosing cholangitis after critical COVID-19: Three case reports

> Mayorquín-Aguilar JM, Lara-Reyes A, Revuelta-Rodríguez LA, Flores-García NC, Ruiz-Margáin A, Jiménez-Ferreira MA, Macías-Rodríguez RU

Hemorrhagic colitis induced by trientine in a 51-year-old patient with Wilson's disease waiting for liver 1687 transplantation: A case report

Schult A, Andersson M, Asin-Cayuela J, Olsson KS

CORRECTION

1692 Author affiliation addition: "Hepatitis B virus detected in paper currencies in a densely populated city of India: A plausible source of horizontal transmission?"

Das P, Supekar R, Chatterjee R, Roy S, Ghosh A, Biswas S



Contents

Monthly Volume 14 Number 8 August 27, 2022

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Hepatology, Dmitry Victorovich Garbuzenko, MD, PhD, DSc (Med), Professor, Department of Faculty Surgery, South Ural State Medical University, Chelyabinsk 454092, Russia. garb@inbox.ru

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Hepatology (WJH, World J Hepatol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WIH mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including chronic cholestatic liver diseases, cirrhosis and its complications, clinical alcoholic liver disease, drug induced liver disease autoimmune, fatty liver disease, genetic and pediatric liver diseases, hepatocellular carcinoma, hepatic stellate cells and fibrosis, liver immunology, liver regeneration, hepatic surgery, liver transplantation, biliary tract pathophysiology, non-invasive markers of liver fibrosis, viral hepatitis.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJH is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 Journal Citation Indicator (JCI) for WJH as 0.52. The WJH's CiteScore for 2021 is 3.6 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2021: Hepatology is 42/70.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Yi-Xuan Cai; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Xiang Li.

NAME OF JOURNAL World Journal of Hepatology	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204				
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS				
ISSN 1948-5182 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287				
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH				
October 31, 2009	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240				
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS				
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288				
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT				
Nikolaos Pyrsopoulos, Ke-Qin Hu, Koo Jeong Kang	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208				
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE				
https://www.wignet.com/1948-5182/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242				
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS				
August 27, 2022	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239				
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION				
© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com				

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WITH World Journal of Henatology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Hepatol 2022 August 27; 14(8): 1621-1632

DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v14.i8.1621

ISSN 1948-5182 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Clinical Trials Study Iohexol plasma and urinary concentrations in cirrhotic patients: A pilot study

Paul Carrier, Alexandre Destere, Baptiste Giguet, Marilyne Debette-Gratien, Marie Essig, Caroline Monchaud, Jean-Baptiste Woillard, Véronique Loustaud-Ratti

Paul Carrier, Baptiste Giguet, Marilyne Debette-Gratien, Véronique Loustaud-Ratti, Department of Specialty type: Biochemical Liver Disease, Limoges University Hospital Center, U1248, INSERM, F-87000, Limoges, research methods France Provenance and peer review: Alexandre Destere, Marie Essig, Caroline Monchaud, Jean-Baptiste Woillard, Department of Unsolicited article; Externally peer Pharmacology, Toxicology and Centre of Pharmacovigilance, Limoges University Hospital reviewed. Center, U1248, INSERM, F-87000, Limoges, France Peer-review model: Single blind Corresponding author: Véronique Loustaud-Ratti, MD, Professor, Department of Liver Disease, Peer-review report's scientific Limoges University Hospital Center, U1248, INSERM, F-87000, Limoges, France. veronique.loustaud-ratti@unilim.fr quality classification Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Abstract Grade C (Good): C, C Grade D (Fair): 0 BACKGROUND Grade E (Poor): 0 Renal failure is an independent prognostic factor for survival in patients with cirrhosis. Equations to calculate serum creatinine significantly overestimate the P-Reviewer: Ferrarese A, Italy; Ke glomerular filtration rate (GFR). Plasma clearance of direct biomarkers has been X, China used to improve the accuracy of evaluations of GFR in this population, but no

Received: March 16, 2022 Peer-review started: March 16, 2022 First decision: April 28, 2022 Revised: May 11, 2022 Accepted: June 24, 2022 Article in press: June 24, 2022 Published online: August 27, 2022



AIM

To study calculated plasma and urinary concentrations of iohexol, based on the kinetics of samples collected over 24 h from cirrhotic patients with three different grades of ascites.

study has simultaneously measured plasma and urinary clearance, which is the

METHODS

gold standard.

One dose of iohexol (5 mL) was injected intravenously and plasma concentrations were measured 11 times over 24 h in nine cirrhotic patients. The urinary concentration of iohexol was also measured, in urine collected at 4, 8, 12 and 24 h.

RESULTS

The plasma and urinary curves of iohexol were similar; however, incomplete urinary excretion was detected at 24 h. Within the estimated GFR limits of our population (> 30 and < 120 mL/min/1.73 m²), the median measured GFR (mGFR) was 63.7 mL/min/1.73 m² (range: 41.3-111.3 mL/min/1.73 m²), which was an accurate reflection of the actual GFR. Creatinine-based formulas for estimating



GFR showed significant bias and imprecision, while the Brochner–Mortensen (BM) equation accurately estimated the mGFR (r = 0.93).

CONCLUSION

Plasma clearance of iohexol seems useful for determining GFR regardless of the ascites grade. We will secondly devise a pharmacokinetics model requiring fewer samples andvalidate the BM equation.

Key Words: Cirrhosis; Glomerular filtration Rate; Iohexol; Pharmacokinetics; Brochner-Mortensen

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: Accurately evaluating glomerular filtration rate (GFR) in cirrhotic patients is critical to optimize their management and identify patients who should be prioritized for liver transplantation, and informs discussion of double liver–kidney transplantation. Until now, no formula or direct method for measuring GFR was available. This prospective pilot study is the first to systematically describe the plasma and urinary concentrations of iohexol, based on the kinetics of samples collected over 24 h from cirrhotic patients with three different ascites grades. The next step will be to construct a Bayesian estimator from a limited number of samples.

Citation: Carrier P, Destere A, Giguet B, Debette-Gratien M, Essig M, Monchaud C, Woillard JB, Loustaud-Ratti V. Iohexol plasma and urinary concentrations in cirrhotic patients: A pilot study. *World J Hepatol* 2022; 14(8): 1621-1632

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5182/full/v14/i8/1621.htm **DOI:** https://dx.doi.org/10.4254/wjh.v14.i8.1621

INTRODUCTION

Impaired renal function is an independent prognostic factor in patients with cirrhosis, particularly decompensated patients. In addition, chronic renal impairment after liver transplantation (prevalence = 15%) is an independent predictor of mortality[1]. Serum creatinine has been incorporated into the Model for End-stage Liver Disease (MELD) as a prognostic factor. The MELD predicts mortality at 3 mo.

Guidelines recommend double liver-kidney transplantation in cases where the measured glomerular filtration rate (mGFR) is < 30 mL/min/1.73 m²[2]. Accurate evaluation of the GFR is essential to optimize the management of cirrhotic patients and to identify those who should be prioritized for liver transplantation, and can also inform the discussion of double liver-kidney transplantation[3,4].

Serum creatinine and creatinine clearance, calculated using equations such as the Cockcroft and Gault, Modification of Diet in Renal Disease-4 (MDRD-4), Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI), and MDRD-6 equations, tend to overestimate the GFR of cirrhotic patients by approximately 23 ± 23 mL/min/1.73 m²[5,6], particularly in cases of advanced liver disease. The cystatin-C-based and combined cystatin C and creatinine equations show promise but have not been validated in cirrhotic patients. To date, no equation has shown efficiency for direct measurement of GFR based on inulin, iohexol, or ⁵¹Cr-EDTA, which are considered the gold standards in some liver transplantation centers. Iohexol has the advantages of being nontoxic (at the dose used to estimate the GFR), filtered by the glomerulus, and not reabsorbed or secreted by the tubules; it is also inexpensive compared to inulin and Cr-EDTA. However, only a few studies have assessed iohexol in compensated and noncirrhotic populations[7-9]. Moreover, those studies had several limitations, such as use of plasma samples only, or insufficient samples or a small collection time window. Finally, no study has measured iohexol urinary clearance, which remains the gold standard.

Study objectives

Our main aim was to determine the urinary and plasma concentrations of iohexol in a pilot population of nine cirrhotic patients with different grades of ascites, based on full pharmacokinetics (PK) profiles obtained over 24 h. The secondary objectives were to assess whether 24 h was sufficient to recover the entire administered dose from the urine, and to compare the plasma clearance of iohexol among different GFR evaluation methods [CKD-EPI, MDRD-4 and 6, the Royal Free Hospital (RFH) formula, and the Brochner–Mortensen (BM) formula]. Finally, we evaluated the influence of covariates on plasma iohexol clearance measurements, particularly ascites.

Zaishidene® WJH | https://www.wjgnet.com

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and samples

Eligible patients were > 18 years old and had advanced liver disease with different grades of ascites (three without ascites, three with grade I, and three with grade II or III) and a potential indication for liver transplantation. The inclusion and exclusion criteria are detailed in Supplemental material 1. This study was conducted in full compliance with the European and French guidelines of Good Clinical Practice, the most up-to-date Declaration of Helsinki (Seoul 2008), and the International Conference on Harmonization, Harmonized Tripartite Guideline for Good Clinical Practice in the European Community. This study was approved by the Independent Ethics Committee of Limoges and relevant authorities. All patients provided written informed consent to participate in this study and have their blood samples analyzed. This study was registered at EudraCT (2018-002778-35), and on ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT03769597).

Eligible patients were screened during routine medical consultations in the Hepato-Gastroenterology Department of Limoges University Hospital (V 1 visit). Patients were given time to decide on whether they wanted to participate in the study and, where applicable, consent was obtained. Whether patients met the inclusion and exclusion criteria was checked during a second visit (V0 visit). The inclusion of each patient was finalized during the V1 visit at the Clinical Investigation Center of Limoges. Clinical and biological data were collected before iohexol was injected.

Patients received a single 5 mL bolus of iohexol (Omnipaque®, 5 mL; GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA). Over the next 24 h, 11 blood samples were collected for measurement of iohexol plasma concentrations at 15, 30, 60 and 90 min, and 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12 and 24 h. In addition, urine was collected at 4, 8, 12 and 24 h, and voiding volumes were measured. Liquid consumption was quantified and 300 mL water was provided at 3 and 6 h. Liquids were provided by the attending physician according to the clinical condition of the patients. Diuretics were systematically withdrawn during the urine collections.

Iohexol was measured in serum and urine samples, using a sensitive and specific method based on liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry, in the Pharmacology Unit of the University Hospital of Limoges. The internal standard was ioversol and the limit of quantification was 1 mg/L.

Statistical analysis

A noncompartmental analysis was performed using PKanalix (Lixoft, Antony, France) to determine the plasma clearance of iohexol. Urinary clearance was measured using the formula U × V/P, where U is the urinary concentration, V is the urinary output, and P is the plasma concentration of the marker. The mean concentration of iohexol from three urine samples (collected at 0-4, 4-8 and 8-12 h) was used in the formula; the plasma concentration was measured in the middle of each urine collection (2, 6 and 10 h). As no sample was available at 10 h, it was calculated using the first-order process from samples taken at 8 and 12 h: $C_{10} = C_8 \times e^{-kt}$ with k being calculated using the same formula based on t8 and t12.

The reference iohexol clearance was analyzed using linear correlation and a Bland-Altman plot. The relationships between covariates and the reference clearance were studied using linear regression and a scatter plot (for continuous covariates) or the Mann-Whitney test and boxplots (for categorical covariates). The covariates of interest were the ascites grade, age, weight (at inclusion and 24 h), albumin, natriuresis, diuretics (type and dose) and other drugs that could affect the GFR, and biological markers of liver failure or portal hypertension (bilirubin, albumin, international normalized ratio, platelet count, Child-Pugh class, and MELD score).

RESULTS

Patients

Nine male patients were included in our study. Three other patients were screened and signed the informed consent form at the V0 visit, but subsequently withdrew their consent. The characteristics of the nine patients are shown in Table 1.

PK of the plasma and urinary iohexol concentrations

The results of noncompartmental analysis of iohexol blood concentrations and the urinary clearance results are presented in Table 2.

Overall, the plasma concentration decay was similar in all patients, with two distinct phases: a rapid distribution phase (phase 1; first 2 h) and a slower phase (phase 2; elimination phase) (Figure 1). Iohexol was not detected in the plasma after 24 h, which allowed us to extrapolate the area under the curve (AUC) for 0-24 h to AUC 0-∞.

Cumulative urinary curves were similar, and showed the opposite pattern to the plasma curves. However, the dose administered was not fully recovered from the urine after 24 h; the volume collected varied from 60% to 90% of the dose injected (Figure 1).



Carrier P et al. Iohexol plasma and urinary concentrations in cirrhotic patients

Table 1 Patient's main characteristics median (min-max)					
Characteristics	Median (min-max)				
Age (yr)	60 (47-70)				
Sex ratio (M:F)	1				
Etiology of cirrhosis	Alcohol 78% - mixed ¹ 22%				
MELD	17 (8-33)				
Child Pugh	7 (5-12)				
Serum creatinine (µmol/L)	87 (53-142)				
CKD-EPI (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	83 (46-120)				
MDRD 4 (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	78 (44-144)				
MDRD 6 (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	86 (46-134)				
RFH (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	55 (32-105)				
BM formula (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	70 (40-139)				
mCl plas (mL/min)	64 (41-111)				
mCl urin (mL/min)	59 (42-100)				
Serum albumin (g/L)	34.2 (26-37.7)				
SBP (mmHg)	120 (101-140)				
DBP (mmHg)	70 (60-89)				
Weight (kg)	96 (70-143)				
BMI (kg/m²)	32.8 (22.3-48.3)				
Natremia (mmol/L)	132 (118-139)				
Diuretics	56%				
Bilirubin (μmol/L)	29.9 (10.2-159)				
ALKP (UI/L)	136 (73-323)				

¹Mixed = alcoholic and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. RHF: Royal Free Hospital Formula; BM: Brochner-Mortensen formula, mCl plas: Measured plasmatic clearance; mCl urin: Extrapolated urinary clearance; SBP: Systolic Blood Pressure; DBP: Diastolic blood pressure; BMI: Body mass index; ALKP: Alkaline phosphatase.

> Notably, iohexol was measured in the ascites of Patient #1 at 24 h, who had grade 2-3 ascites and needed paracentesis: A low concentration (14 mg/L) was observed, suggesting negligible accumulation of iohexol in the ascites.

> The median plasma and urinary clearance iohexol concentrations over 24 h were 64 mL/min (range: 41-111 mL/min) and 59 mL/min (range: mL/min) respectively. Relative to the body surface area of each patient, the median plasma clearance was 61 mL/min/1.73 m².

> The Bland-Altman plots of the urinary clearance by plasma clearance of iohexol are shown in Figure 2. The correlation between urinary clearance and iohexol plasma clearance was strong (r = 0.84). The mean ± standard deviation (SD) difference between plasma clearance and urinary clearance was $3.46 \pm 11.5 \text{ mL/min.}$

Comparison of iohexol-measured plasma clearance with other formulas estimating GFR

The Bland-Altman plots of the plasma clearance of iohexol according to the creatinine-based equations, RFH equation and BM equation are presented in Figure 2. The BM formula produced the lowest mean ± SD difference from the measured plasma clearance of iohexol (-2.48/12.90 mL/min/1.73 m²).

The regression matrix and scatterplot for the plasma clearance and urinary clearance of iohexol, for the creatinine-based equations, RFH equation and BM equation, are presented in Figure 3. A weak correlation was detected between plasma and urinary iohexol clearance for the creatinine-based equations, while a strong correlation was observed for the BM formula (r = 0.93).

Influence of covariates on iohexol plasma clearance

None of the clinically or biologically relevant covariates significantly affected the measured plasma clearance of iohexol (Figure 4).



Table 2 Non compartmental analysis: iohexol pharmacokinetic parameters										
Patient no.	Cl plas (mL/min)	Cl urin (mL/min)	K plas (min-1)	Cmax (mg/L)	Vd (mL)	AUC 0-inf (mg/h/L)	AUC 0-24 h (mg/h/L)	%		
1	63.71	52.89	0.003211	284	19839.7	846.26	830.171	-1.9		
2	55.92	46.33	0.002345	196	23852.5	964.113	924.802	-4.1		
3	58.70	48.17	0.002031	148	28897.5	918.45	860.117	-6.4		
4	76.25	79.24	0.002644	154	28830.0	707.119	686.323	-2.9		
5	111.26	93.53	0.003635	227	30607.4	484.599	480.014	-0.9		
6	79.01	74.79	0.004057	202	19475.6	682.396	680.013	-0.3		
7	41.27	42.26	0.001994	173	20689.5	1306.38	1226.09	-6.1		
8	79.88	99.89	0.003817	247	20924.1	674.929	671.568	-0.5		
9	63.39	58.17	0.001947	140	32556.8	850.604	779.724	-8.3		

Cl plas: Measured plasma clearance of iohexol; Cl urin: Extrapolated urinary clearance of Iohexol; k plas: Plasmatic elimination constant; C max: Plasma maximal concentration; Vd: Distribution volume; AUC: Area under the curve.

> The distribution volume of iohexol estimated based on noncompartmental analysis of the nine patients was compared according to the presence versus absence of ascites (grade I vs II/III vs absence of ascites) (Supplementary Figure 1). A trend was observed graphically, but there was no significant difference among the three groups (Kruskal–Wallis, P = 0.8359).

DISCUSSION

This pilot study was the first to confirm the relevance of the plasma clearance of iohexol in a cirrhotic population, by comparison with urinary clearance (the gold standard). In addition, it also confirmed the low correlations between the estimates of creatinine-based equations and the measured GFR, and showed a good performance of the BM equation in cirrhotic patients.

Iohexol is a nonionic contrast agent that is replacing inulin as the marker of choice for determining GFR. Iohexol is characterized by low extrarenal excretion and weak protein binding, and is neither secreted nor reabsorbed by the kidney. Moreover, it is nontoxic and inexpensive. The safety of iohexol has been extensively studied and confirmed in numerous studies. The doses of injected iohexol (5 or 10 mL) are more than 20 times lower than those used for computed tomography (CT); the exclusion of patients with known contrast medium reactions explains the reportedly high safety [10].

We assessed both the plasma (11 samples/patient) and urinary clearance (four separate measurements) of iohexol, based on full PK profiles of a population of cirrhotic patients with different ascites grades. Few data are available regarding the utility of this biomarker for this population[8], and no study has validated the plasma clearance of iohexol as a direct measure of GFR by comparison with urinary clearance, which remains the gold standard. Other methodological limitations of previous studies included blood sample collection over only 5 h, plasma not being sampled before 1 h, and lack of consideration of the third compartment (ascites) in which iohexol may accumulate over time (except in one study, in which ascites samples were not available after 4 h)[8].

Urinary clearance is the most accurate method to determine the filtering capacity of the kidneys, particularly in patients presenting with ascites or voluminous edema. Ideally, urinary samples should be collected every hour, with a plasma sample obtained in the middle part of the measurement period. However, as this is difficult in routine clinical practice, we collected urine at 4-h intervals and extrapolated the plasma concentration at 10 h. This may have led to imprecision in the iohexol urinary clearance estimates, and could partially explain the difference between the plasma clearance and urinary clearance. In the future, we will use a urometer in all patients given the difficulties of collecting urine.

Surprisingly, the total dose of iohexol administered was not fully recovered in the urine at 24 h, regardless of ascites grade. Between 60% and 90% of the initial dose was eliminated from the urine, although only three patients achieved the 90% clearance rate and none had an estimated GFR (eGFR) < 30 mL/min/1.73 m² at baseline.

Three hypotheses are proposed based on the iohexol urinary elimination curves. The first is that iohexol is not a good marker to measure GFR. However, many studies have shown that the plasma clearance of iohexol provides similar results to inulin and ⁵¹Cr-EDTA measurements[11,12], except in patients with cirrhosis, and it is used as a reference for measuring GFR. Additionally, measurements of





Figure 1 For each patient studied, proportion of the iohexol administered dose detectable as a function of time in plasma and urine.

plasma clearance of these biomarkers are considered as accurate as urinary clearance measurements[10, 13].

The second hypothesis is that the collection of samples over more than 48 h, *i.e.*, until complete elimination of the iohexol in the urine, provides more accurate data, although this is almost impossible in routine clinical practice. Most studies focusing on the urinary clearance of other markers, such as inulin and Cr-EDTA, have demonstrated that systematic urine collection is difficult and rarely complete, such that interpretations of the results are prone to error. We had difficulty obtaining the entire urine output of Patient #1, and collected only approximately 60% of the initially injected dose of iohexol from this patient's urine at 24 h.

The third hypothesis is that iohexol is mainly stored in ascites or edema. Slack *et al*[8] analyzed iohexol concentrations in the ascites and plasma of three patients. Iohexol equilibrated between the blood and ascites compartments after 4 h, but blood and ascites samples were not available beyond 4 h, limiting the interpretability of their results. Those authors also compared iohexol and Cr-EDTA plasma clearance, and showed a small difference (1.3 mL/min/1.73 m²). Our study was not designed to analyze iohexol in ascites, but we evaluated the ascites concentration of iohexol in one patient with grade 2–3 ascites who had benefited from paracentesis, immediately after collecting the plasma and urine samples at 24 h. Iohexol was present, albeit at a low concentration (14 mg/L), indicating that ascites was not the main storage location for iohexol. A question raised by the present study is where is the iohexol stored in decompensated cirrhosis patients?

Iohexol plasma clearance was significantly different However, the distribution volume was not significantly affected by ascites (Supplementary Figure 1) within the GFR range estimated in our patients (30–120 mL/min/1.73 m²). This supports the hypothesis that the difference in plasma iohexol



Figure 2 Bland–Altman plots for creatinine-based formula, Royal Free Hospital formula, Brochner–Mortensen formula and iohexol urinary clearance in comparison to iohexol plasma clearance.

clearance observed between patients with and without ascites is attributable to a true difference in renal function, as opposed to iohexol clearance measurement error.

A strength of this study was that we collected a large number of plasma samples, allowing highly accurate plasma iohexol concentration curves to be constructed. The decrease in plasma iohexol concentrations during phase 2 (elimination phase) followed first-order elimination, and the amount of iohexol detected in blood at 24 h was at or below the detection limit (1 ng/mL). Thus, the half-life of iohexol did not vary significantly among ascites grades, or according to the presence or absence of ascites. This result suggests that the characteristics of cirrhotic patients, such as ascites, have a minor effect on iohexol clearance. The correlation coefficient between the complete plasma clearance of iohexol and estimated urinary clearance was strong ($R^2 = 0.846$). However, we were unable to draw conclusions regarding GFR values < 30 or > 120 mL/min/1.73 m², as these were not measured in this study. High-precision evaluation of the GFR in this range is useful, as patients with an eGFR < 30 mL/min/1.73 m² are considered as candidates for immediate double transplantation[14].

We conclude that measurements of plasma clearance of iohexol are probably as accurate (and less cumbersome and more feasible) as urinary clearance measurements to estimate the GFR in cirrhotic patients, with consideration of the limitations mentioned above. Therefore, we used plasma clearance as a reference for GFR assessment based on the comparisons conducted in this study.

The creatinine-based equations were not useful, with the possible exception of the RHF formula; the correlation coefficients between the complete plasma clearance of iohexol and GFR estimated by the serum creatinine-based formulas were small. The coefficients ranged from 0.634 (for the CKD-EPI equation) to 0.684 (for the MDRD-6 equation), which agrees with literature data indicating that the MDRD-6 equation is probably the most accurate[15]. The MDRD-6 was proposed as the reference (by

Carrier P et al. Iohexol plasma and urinary concentrations in cirrhotic patients



DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v14.i8.1621 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Figure 3 Matrix correlation and scatter plots for the iohexol plasma and urinary clearance, the creatinine-based equations, RHF and Brochner–Mortensen. CL-IOX-P: lohexol plasma clearance; CL-IOX-U: lohexol urinary clearance.

US consensus guidelines) to identify candidates for simultaneous liver and kidney transplantation[14]. The RFH formula, which was introduced more recently[16], was the most accurate creatinine-based equation for evaluating the GFR in our population ($R^2 = 0.841$) but showed large variability (SD = 8.44/14.79 mL/min/1.73 m². Moreover, the RFH formula has not been widely validated.

An unexpected result in our cirrhosis patients was the strong correlation between the measured plasma clearance and GFR estimated by the BM equation[17]; the difference between the estimated and measured GFR was lowest for this equation, for which dispersion on the Bland–Altman plot was also the smallest. The BM equation is not affected by the initial rapid phase of plasma clearance of iohexol (< 1 h; distribution phase). The BH equation requires fewer plasma samples (n = 4 in this study), which are obtained during phase 2 (elimination), and provides accurate estimates of the GFR. However, it has not been validated in terms of the third compartment (ascites).

The main limitation of this study was the small number of patients included. However, this was a descriptive pilot study aiming to elucidate the behavior of iohexol in the plasma and urine through full PK profiles (*i.e.*, with early and late samples obtained over a period of at least 24 h), as this has not been explored before in a cirrhotic population. The small number of patients may also explain why variables known to affect GFR were not significant (*e.g.*, diuretic intake).

Patients with different ascites grades were recruited prospectively and consecutively, but unfortunately, as all participants were male, there was a recruitment bias with respect to gender. Although cirrhosis mainly affects men, women are equally affected by overestimates of the GFR by serum creatinine-based formulas, particularly during the pretransplant period. Women have relatively low serum creatinine levels and are therefore likely to be disadvantaged by graft allocation systems based on the MELD score. As an illustration, after the MELD score was adopted to allocate liver transplants, the proportion of male transplant recipients increased, and the waiting list mortality rate for women was higher than for men. Women scored higher when creatinine was replaced with the mGFR in the MELD scoring system. Therefore, this is essential to ensure equal access to liver transplantation between





Figure 4 Scatter plot and boxplots between the different covariables including the grade of ascites (0 = no ascites, 1 = grade I, 2 = grade II or III) and the iohexol plasma clearance, *P* KW = *P* value for the Kruskal–Wallis test.

genders[15,18,19].

Patient #7 had a maximum concentration of iohexol higher than that measured at the end of perfusion. This may have been due to the iodine injection that this patient received for a CT scan 15 d previously. Thus, patients with severe cirrhosis are likely to benefit from radiological examinations involving an iodine injection that may interfere with iohexol plasma clearance.

Finally, no control group of healthy patients or patients without cirrhosis was included in this study. However, two previous studies that compared the performance of iohexol and inulin in terms of estimating plasma and renal clearance in healthy subjects obtained comparable results. If we assume that 100% of inulin is recovered in the urine in a healthy population, and that renal clearance is the same using iohexol, we can further assume that 100% of iohexol will be recovered[20,21].

Obtaining a full PK profile remains difficult in clinical practice, as 11 plasma samples are needed over 24 h. This can only be achieved in a small proportion of patients with highly complex profiles, particularly before liver transplantation. The next steps will be to investigate the performance of the BM equation in a larger cohort of cirrhotic patients, and to construct a PK model for cirrhotic patients for estimating iohexol plasma clearance based on a limited number of samples.

CONCLUSION

Accurate evaluation of GFR in cirrhotic patients is critical, but no formula or direct measurement method has been available until now. Even though urinary clearance is considered the gold standard, we showed that it requires urine to be collected over more than 24 h, which is not feasible in practice.



Our study suggests that the plasma clearance of iohexol is more valuable for determining the GFR in cirrhotic patients than urinary clearance is, and that specific patient characteristics, such as ascites, have a minor effect on mGFR. The next step will be to construct a PK model in a larger cirrhotic cohort that requires fewer samples, to simplify the mGFR estimate. In addition, the validity of the BM equation must be confirmed.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

To date, no method for measuring the glomerular filtration rate (GFR) based on either creatinine or an exogenous marker, which is both reliable and applicable in clinical practice in cirrhotic patients with different degrees of decompensation, is available.

Research motivation

We urgently need accurate methods to measure GFR in cirrhotic patients; renal failure being a key prognostic factor in decompensated cirrhosis, particularly in the pre and post-transplant period.

Research objectives

Describing the complete pharmacokinetic (PK) study of iohexol in blood and urine as an appropriate and inexpensive marker is essential to subsequently construct a PK model from a limited number of samples.

Research methods

This pilot study included nine patients with different ascites grades, who received a single 5-mL bolus of iohexol, with the collection of 11 blood samples and all the urine volume (in four samples) over a period of 24 h.

Research results

Iohexol was almost no longer detected in plasma at 24 h that allowed us to extrapolate the area under the curve (AUC) 0–24 h to AUC $0-\infty$. The dose recovery in urine varied from 60% to 90% of the dose injected. The correlation between urine clearance and iohexol plasma clearance was strong. As expected, a low correlation with the estimated GFR (eGFR) calculated by creatinine-based equations was observed contrary to the Brochner-Mortensen (BM) equation, which exhibited a high correlation.

Research conclusions

This study confirmed the relevance of the plasma clearance of iohexol in the cirrhotic population. It also suggests a high accuracy of the BM equation and confirms the low correlation with eGFR estimated by creatinine-based equations.

Research perspectives

A future study based on a larger cohort of cirrhotic patients with different ascites grades will be performed to devise a PK model allowing the estimation of iohexol plasma clearance from a limited number of samples and to investigate the performance of the BM equation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Sarah Demay, Karen Poole, Céline Rigaud and Ludovic Micallef for their precious help.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Carrier P, Essig M, Monchaud C, Woillard JB, and Loustaud-Ratti V designed the study; Carrier P, Debette-Gratien M, and Loustaud-Ratti V included the patients; Giguet B collected the data; Destère A and Woillard JB performed the statistical analysis; Carrier P, Destère A, Woillard JB, and Loustaud-Ratti V wrote the manuscript.

Institutional review board statement: The study was reviewed and approved by the French National Review Board and the Independent Ethics Committee of Limoges.

Clinical trial registration statement: This study was registered at EudraCT (2018-002778-35), and on ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT03769597).



Informed consent statement: All study participants, or their legal guardian, provided informed written consent prior to study enrollment.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors declare that they have no conflict of interest related to the subject.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

CONSORT 2010 statement: The authors have read the CONSORT 2010 statement, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the CONSORT 2010 statement.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: France

ORCID number: Paul Carrier 0000-0001-9750-2506; Marilyne Debette-Gratien 0000-0001-6039-1355; Marie Essig 0000-0002-2030-5616; Véronique Loustaud-Ratti 0000-0002-6951-0784.

S-Editor: Liu JH L-Editor: Kerr C P-Editor: Liu JH

REFERENCES

- Durand F, Francoz C, Asrani SK, Khemichian S, Pham TA, Sung RS, Genyk YS, Nadim MK. Acute Kidney Injury After 1 Liver Transplantation. Transplantation 2018; 102: 1636-1649 [PMID: 29847502 DOI: 10.1097/TP.00000000002305]
- Eason JD, Gonwa TA, Davis CL, Sung RS, Gerber D, Bloom RD. Proceedings of Consensus Conference on Simultaneous Liver Kidney Transplantation (SLK). Am J Transplant 2008; 8: 2243-2251 [PMID: 18808402 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2008.02416.x]
- Carrier P, Debette-Gratien M, Loustaud-Ratti V. Serum creatinine in cirrhotic patients: a cornerstone. AME Med J 2018; 3 3; Accessed 17 September 2021. Available from: https://amj.amegroups.com/article/view/4703
- Garcia-Pagan JC, Francoz C, Montagnese S, Senzolo M, Mookerjee RP. Management of the major complications of cirrhosis: Beyond guidelines. J Hepatol 2021; 75 Suppl 1: S135-S146 [PMID: 34039484 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2021.01.027]
- 5 Carrier P, Debette-Gratien M, Essig M, Loustaud-Ratti V. Beyond serum creatinine: which tools to evaluate renal function in cirrhotic patients? Hepatol Res 2018; 48: 771-779 [PMID: 29954046 DOI: 10.1111/hepr.13224]
- Francoz C, Prié D, Abdelrazek W, Moreau R, Mandot A, Belghiti J, Valla D, Durand F. Inaccuracies of creatinine and 6 creatinine-based equations in candidates for liver transplantation with low creatinine: impact on the model for end-stage liver disease score. Liver Transpl 2010; 16: 1169-1177 [PMID: 20879015 DOI: 10.1002/lt.22128]
- 7 Francoz C, Nadim MK, Baron A, Prié D, Antoine C, Belghiti J, Valla D, Moreau R, Durand F. Glomerular filtration rate equations for liver-kidney transplantation in patients with cirrhosis: validation of current recommendations. Hepatology 2014; 59: 1514-1521 [PMID: 24037821 DOI: 10.1002/hep.26704]
- Slack A, Tredger M, Brown N, Corcoran B, Moore K. Application of an isocratic methanol-based HPLC method for the determination of iohexol concentrations and glomerular filtration rate in patients with cirrhosis. Ann Clin Biochem 2014; 51: 80-88 [PMID: 23847035 DOI: 10.1177/0004563213487715]
- González-Alayón C, Porrini E, Luis-Lima S, Negrín-Mena N, Moreno M, Morales-Arráez D, González-Rinne F, Díaz-Martín L, Gaspari F, González-Delgado A, Ferrer-Moure C, Ortiz-Arduán A, Hernandez-Guerra M. Estimated glomerular filtration rate by formulas in patients with cirrhosis: An unreliable procedure. Liver Int 2022; 42: 884-895 [PMID: 34951102 DOI: 10.1111/liv.15134]
- 10 Delanaye P, Melsom T, Ebert N, Bäck SE, Mariat C, Cavalier E, Björk J, Christensson A, Nyman U, Porrini E, Remuzzi G, Ruggenenti P, Schaeffner E, Soveri I, Sterner G, Eriksen BO, Gaspari F. Iohexol plasma clearance for measuring glomerular filtration rate in clinical practice and research: a review. Part 2: Why to measure glomerular filtration rate with iohexol? Clin Kidney J 2016; 9: 700-704 [PMID: 27679716 DOI: 10.1093/ckj/sfw071]
- 11 Francoz C, Glotz D, Moreau R, Durand F. The evaluation of renal function and disease in patients with cirrhosis. J Hepatol 2010; 52: 605-613 [PMID: 20185192 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2009.11.025]
- Gaspari F, Perico N, Ruggenenti P, Mosconi L, Amuchastegui CS, Guerini E, Daina E, Remuzzi G. Plasma clearance of 12 nonradioactive iohexol as a measure of glomerular filtration rate. J Am Soc Nephrol 1995; 6: 257-263 [PMID: 7579093 DOI: 10.1681/ASN.V62257]
- Benz-de Bretagne I, Le Guellec C, Halimi JM, Gatault P, Barbet C, Alnajjar A, Büchler M, Lebranchu Y, Andres CR, 13 Vourc'h P, Blasco H. New sampling strategy using a Bayesian approach to assess iohexol clearance in kidney transplant recipients. Ther Drug Monit 2012; 34: 289-297 [PMID: 22585184 DOI: 10.1097/FTD.0b013e31824a6534]
- 14 Nadim MK, Sung RS, Davis CL, Andreoni KA, Biggins SW, Danovitch GM, Feng S, Friedewald JJ, Hong JC, Kellum JA, Kim WR, Lake JR, Melton LB, Pomfret EA, Saab S, Genyk YS. Simultaneous liver-kidney transplantation summit: current



state and future directions. Am J Transplant 2012; 12: 2901-2908 [PMID: 22822723 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2012.04190.x]

- 15 Francoz C, Nadim MK, Durand F. Kidney biomarkers in cirrhosis. J Hepatol 2016; 65: 809-824 [PMID: 27238754 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2016.05.025]
- 16 Kalafateli M, Wickham F, Burniston M, Cholongitas E, Theocharidou E, Garcovich M, O'Beirne J, Westbrook R, Leandro G, Burroughs AK, Tsochatzis EA. Development and validation of a mathematical equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate in cirrhosis: The royal free hospital cirrhosis glomerular filtration rate. Hepatology 2017; 65: 582-591 [PMID: 27779785 DOI: 10.1002/hep.28891]
- 17 Bröchner-Mortensen J. A simple method for the determination of glomerular filtration rate. Scand J Clin Lab Invest 1972; **30**: 271-274 [PMID: 4629674 DOI: 10.3109/00365517209084290]
- Yoo JJ, Kim SG, Kim YS, Lee B, Lee MH, Jeong SW, Jang JY, Lee SH, Kim HS, Kim YD, Cheon GJ. Estimation of renal 18 function in patients with liver cirrhosis: Impact of muscle mass and sex. J Hepatol 2019; 70: 847-854 [PMID: 30630010 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.12.030]
- Allen AM, Heimbach JK, Larson JJ, Mara KC, Kim WR, Kamath PS, Therneau TM. Reduced Access to Liver 19 Transplantation in Women: Role of Height, MELD Exception Scores, and Renal Function Underestimation. Transplantation 2018; 102: 1710-1716 [PMID: 29620614 DOI: 10.1097/TP.00000000002196]
- 20 Sterner G, Frennby B, Mansson S, Nyman U, Van Westen D, Almén T. Determining 'true' glomerular filtration rate in healthy adults using infusion of inulin and comparing it with values obtained using other clearance techniques or prediction equations. Scand J Urol Nephrol 2008; 42: 278-285 [PMID: 17943640 DOI: 10.1080/00365590701701806]
- Brown SC, O'Reilly PH. Iohexol clearance for the determination of glomerular filtration rate in clinical practice: evidence 21 for a new gold standard. J Urol 1991; 146: 675-679 [PMID: 1875470 DOI: 10.1016/s0022-5347(17)37891-6]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

