

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Methodology

Manuscript NO: 75808

Title: Prevalence of Precancerous lesions and conditions in India: A Systematic Review

and Meta-Analysis

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05524138 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Consultant Physician-Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Kazakhstan

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-17 02:47

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-17 02:52

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Excellent work.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Methodology

Manuscript NO: 75808

Title: Prevalence of Precancerous lesions and conditions in India: A Systematic Review

and Meta-Analysis

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06035444

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MSc

Professional title: Academic Research, Research Assistant

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Ethiopia

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-23 04:38

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-02 08:34

Review time: 7 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

First of all, thank you for inviting me to review a manuscript entitled "Prevalence of Precancerous Lesions and Conditions in India: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis". Comments Title; The authors conducted a study on the "Prevalence of Precancerous Lesions and Conditions in India: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis." However, a title is not self-explanatory. Which precancerous lesion? Please specify it. Abstract: Introduction: Please write about precancerous lesions, and then state the problem and reason for conducting this study. Conclusion: Write a feasible, doable recommendation. Methods Quality assessment The authors should list the domains of quality assessment tools. Moreover, they should state the source for these domains. Literature search Fig. 1: The authors excluded 30 studies after review of abstracts and 3 studies after review of full texts. Please write the reason for the exclusion. Data analysis How did the authors estimate the statistical heterogeneity among the studies? And how did they declare the level of statistical significance? Did the authors check the publication bias? Results The authors have reported the pooled prevalence of precancerous lesions in the age group from childhood up to adulthood. Why? Did the author think of the variation in prevalence between the childhood and adulthood periods? What was the author's recommendation? "Heterogeneity was high." How much? The authors failed to provide the characteristics of the included studies, such as study tools, population, sample size, and sampling procedures. Discussion: The authors should compare and contrast the pooled prevalence of precancerous lesions with studies from different regions and countries and formulate a solution and possible doable recommendation.