

Answering Reviewers

Reviewer #1:

1-The manuscript must be carefully proofread for grammar, spelling, and punctuation issues.

The manuscript has been revised.

2- For the P value, please write the exact values (not $p = 0.000?$). If the p values are too small, please write as a cut off values, eg. $p < 0.00001$.

P-values were corrected.

3- It is recommended that authors improve the design of diagrams.

Diagrams were designed in IBM SPSS Statistics 26 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL)

4- Use of newer references is recommended.

References were updated.

Reviewer #2:

1. There are many grammars structural errors and typos in this manuscript. The clarity of the writing should be improved, and typical English should be used in scientific articles.

The manuscript has been revised.

2. In this manuscript, the description of the examples used to prove the central point of the article is too simple, and it is recommended to expand it appropriately.

The manuscript has been revised. Appropriate examples were added to support the main objectives of the study.

3. In the manuscript, some parts of the description are too long, and it is recommended to reduce them appropriately.

The "Discussion" section was carefully revised, deleting information irrelevant to the objectives of the study.

4. The work is lack rational design and is due to bad organization. Attention should be paid to the logic of the full text.

The format of the manuscript has been changed in order to obtain a reasonable design, especially in the "Discussion" section.

5. It is suggested that authors increase the number of serum-specific markers detected in manuscripts.

Serum-specific markers are mentioned in the in the "Introduction section," as well as the "Discussion" section in a separate paragraph.

6. There is too little introduction to free iron in the manuscript, so it is suggested that the author add it appropriately.

More information about free iron was added in the "Introduction section," as well as the "Discussion" section in a separate paragraph.

7. The literature referred to by the author in the manuscript is too old, please pay more attention to the literature in the last five years.

References were updated.