

Dear Editor,

We sincerely thank the reviews for the careful and important contributions.

We are glad to submit a new version of the manuscript "Prevalence of Sarcopenia by different methods in patients with Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease".

We changed the manuscript according to the reviewer's suggestions, and suggestions. All the modifications are in highlight in the text.

Sincerely,

Raquel Rocha, MScD

Reviewer Comments to Author:

Reviewer #1:

There are some typing errors. For example, under discussion in the first paragraph, you write "simple" instead of "sample."

A: This has been fixed in Discussion, line 245

Reviewer #2:

1.The authors should specify the diagnostic criteria for NAFLD. Was ultrasound the only diagnostic test in this study?

A: We described in lines 144 to 147 the NAFLD criteria: presence of hepatic steatosis on abdominal ultrasound; negative history of ethanol intake (<140g of ethanol per week); exclusion of others liver disease as B and C virus infection; hemochromatosis, autoimmune hepatitis.

2. The authors suggested that FIB4<1.45 identified no fibrosis, FIB4>2.67 identified fibrosis. How about the value between 1.45 and 2.67?

3. The authors suggested that APRI<0.5 identified fibrosis, APRI >1.5 identified fibrosis. How about the value between 0.5 and 1.5?

4. The results of liver fibrosis assessment of FIB4 and APRI are different (3.7% vs 16.6%). Why didn't the authors choose a more accurate method?

A: Thanks for the questions 2, 3 and 4, and the text was revised from line 262 to 279.