



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

**Manuscript NO:** 75920

**Title:** IS PATIENT SATISFACTION SUFFICIENT TO VALIDATE ENDOSCOPIC ANTI-REFLUX TREATMENTS ?

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 06152883

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** United States

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-02-21

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-02-23 17:13

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-03-03 17:22

**Review time:** 8 Days

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The author raises thoughtful points of consideration in this letter to the editor for the evaluation of the effectiveness of endoscopic anti-reflux options and proposes to rely on metrics other than solely subjective criteria when evaluating these techniques. The brief letter is well written and should be published. I have only a few minor critiques: 1. I believe "anti-reflux mucosectomy" is listed twice in the introduction paragraph, before ARMA is listed 2. In "Core Tip", should be a period after examination and before "Furthermore" 3. There are multiple instances of double-spaced words in the body of the text, i.e., "or who refuse to take lifelong medication" - this should be corrected throughout. This occurs in the conclusion as well.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

**Manuscript NO:** 75920

**Title:** IS PATIENT SATISFACTION SUFFICIENT TO VALIDATE ENDOSCOPIC ANTI-REFLUX TREATMENTS ?

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 06211478

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Academic Fellow, Chief Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-02-21

**Reviewer chosen by:** Dong-Mei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-04-28 07:17

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-05-04 22:50

**Review time:** 6 Days and 15 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is an interesting summary focusing on the evaluation methods for the effectiveness of endoscopic anti-reflux treatments. A detailed table to analysis those studies listed in the letter is suggested.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

**Manuscript NO:** 75920

**Title:** IS PATIENT SATISFACTION SUFFICIENT TO VALIDATE ENDOSCOPIC ANTI-REFLUX TREATMENTS ?

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05458781

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Chief Physician, Doctor, Statistical Worker

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-02-21

**Reviewer chosen by:** Dong-Mei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-04-26 13:11

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-05-06 13:11

**Review time:** 9 Days and 23 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a Letter to the Editor that evaluates and compares the Endoscopic anti-reflux treatment: such as, Transoral incisionless fundoplication (TIF), Nonablative radio-frequency (STRETTA), Anti-reflux mucosectomy (ARMS). The new findings of this study is that evaluating the effectiveness of any endoscopic anti-reflux technique should be based on subjective criteria, rather than subjective symptoms used by most current studies. This letter presents a new point based on current research and does not involve research methods, research results, etc. It has a certain novelty point of view.