

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 75977

Title: Is long-term follow-up without surgical treatment a valid option for hepatic alveolar echinococcosis?

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02440467

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Academic Research, Adjunct Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-24

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-24 08:07

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-27 09:41

Review time: 3 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this letter in response to the article by Schuhbaur et al. entitled "Long-term follow-up of liver alveolar echinococcosis using echinococcosis multilocularis ultrasound classification", the authors raise many questions about missing data and other methodological questions. I believe that the authors of the paper may have an opportunity to clarify the unclear aspects raised in the letter. I recommend revising the English. Some sententences: -we think should discuss with authors; should be: we think should be discussed with Authors -many experts; should be: many experts suggest -If these patients are indeed necessary to use of albendazole, we think the authors should have given an explanation. Should be: We think the authors should have provided a more detailed explanation if these patients are indeed necessary for the use of albendazole.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 75977

Title: Is long-term follow-up without surgical treatment a valid option for hepatic alveolar echinococcosis?

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00069988

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor, Research Associate, Senior Scientist, Staff

Physician, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Croatia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-24

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-17 09:23

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-17 09:31

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection



Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The letter is of great importance for the clarification of the problem. There are only several spelling errors.