

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76027

Title: Vascular complications of pancreatitis

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03452347

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: Doctor, PhD

Professional title: Associate Chief Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-26

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-28 01:13

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-11 06:06

Review time: 11 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A good job.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76027

Title: Vascular complications of pancreatitis

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05420387

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-26

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-13 09:53

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-13 10:44

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I thank the editor for the opportunity to revise this interesting paper. Acute pancreatitis is a leading gastric emergency and research on this field is welcome. The current manuscript regards the acute vascular complications arising in patients with pancreatitis. The paper is overall well written and organized. I suggest addressing some issues: 1) I suggest revising the nomenclature throughout the paper according to the revised Atlanta criteria. According to this, the pancreatic fluid collections should be named ANC (acute necrotic collections), APFC (acute peripancreatic fluid collection), and WON (walled-off necrosis). Similarly, please refer to IEP (interstitial edematous pancreatitis), and Necrotizing pancreatitis. 2) The figure in the introduction “it is estimated that one-quarter of patients may develop vascular complications...” seems overestimate. The reference cited is referred to a cohort of 210 patients from 2012 to 2014 in an eastern country. More reference should be given for such a statement. 3) Similarly, the first two references cited for Pseudoaneurysm are a case report and a paper written in 1993. This could be not appropriate for a review. 4) I suggest giving a more accurate description of the treatment options and most appropriate treatments of pseudoaneurysm. The current paragraph is vague and of little use for the clinicians. 5) In conclusion, the authors state that vascular complications from pancreatitis are rare. This is in contrast to what was stated in the introduction (see point 2). 6) Given the increasing aging of the population, the specific issues arising in the treatment of older people would be a nice addition to this review. See for instance the work of Quero et al. (Pubmed ID: 30905212).