John Erikson L Yap Augusta University Medical College of Georgia Augusta, GA – 30912 (jyap@augusta.edu)

Editor-in-Chiefs

Joo Young Cho MD, PHD

Cha University College of Medicine Seoul, South Korea

Bing Hu MD

Sichuan University Sichuan Province China

Anastasios Koulaouzidis, MD, PhD

Pomeranian Medical University Szczecin EH16 4SA, Poland

Sang Chul Lee, MD, PhD

Daejeon St. Mary's Hospital

Daejeon, South Korea

April 25, 2022

Dear Dr.Cho, Dr.Hu, Dr.Koulaouzidis & Dr.Lee,

Please find point-by point responses to reviewers comments. We have made changes accordingly to the manuscript.

Reviewer #1:

Very interesting and important study pointing out that it is not necessary to wait prior endoscopy procedures. This information can help to prevent potential diagnostic and therapeutic delay in these patients.

Our response:

Thank you for the review

Reviewer #2:

The article is within the scope of the journal, and deals with an interesting topic. It is well written and easy to read. However, it is necessary to make some improvements to be accepted:

a) The state of the art must be extended in the introduction of the article. Likewise, the objectives of the experiment carried out should be clarified.

Our response:

Thank you for the review. As per your review we have completely rewritten the introduction to improvise the language and have made changes to clarify our objectives in the aims of the study.

b) The conclusions should essentially describe the scientific contribution of the work presented. Likewise, a set of lines of future work should be included.

Our response:

Thank you for your suggestion. We have re-written the conclusion of the study to describe the scientific contribution of the work more clearly.

Additionally, we have stated course for future work in the conclusion.

(1) Science editor:

The author evaluated the risks of endoscopy in patients on antiangiogenic agents from 2015 to 2020, and found that the rate of endoscopic procedure-related adverse events and death within 28 days of antiangiogenic agents administration appears to be low. The results are interesting, but manuscript needs improvement. The introduction needed to be rewritten. It should be brief and clear. In the most concise language, the context and results of studies on the safety of endoscopy in patients treated with antiangiogenic drugs are presented.

Thank you for your reviews. We have rewritten the introduction as per your suggestion. Similarly, we have made changes to the manuscript to improvise on the language and contents of writing.

(2) Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content.

Thank you for reviewing our article. As per the guidelines for the tables we have recreated it to suit standard three-line tables. The contents of each cell have conformed editing specifications. We made sure to not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines.

Thank you for continued consideration of our manuscript for publication in your prestigious journal.

Sincerely,

John Erikson L Yap Augusta University Medical College of Georgia Augusta, GA – 30912 (jyap@augusta.edu)