

### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 76164

Title: Effectiveness of six-step complex decongestive therapy for treating upper limb

lymphedema after breast cancer operation

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05387164 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-29 23:18

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-30 06:57

**Review time:** 7 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No



## **Baishideng** Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear author Thank you for the submission of your article to our journal. I've read your paper and felt many problems as follows; Major point You described the lymphatic drainage procedures in great detail in this paper. However, there are no photos or illustrations of the procedures. If you would like to emphasize the technical procedures, this paper needs some photos or illustrations. If you would like to emphasize the results, the details of the procedure should be described more simply. Minor points In the Table 2 Both arms are expressed as control group. Is the p value<0.01 true in the post-treatment extracellular moisture ratio? In the Discussion • The sentence "Upper limb lymphedema is one of the most common and serious complications of breast cancer surgery." was already described in the Introduction. • The expression "simple sentinel lymph node biopsy (sentinel lymph node biopsy, SLNB) SLNB group" is strange. • The sentence "The incidence of breast cancer associated lymphoedema in 2 groups and the incidence of 2 years BCRL in four groups were compared." is unnecessary. • The sentence "The results revealed that although the progress of radiotherapy technology will bring about the improvement of curative effect and the decrease of normal tissue injury, it will increase the risk of upper limb lymphedema in breast cancer patients." is very strange. • The sentence "Upper limb lymphedema is caused by lymphatic system damage that results in abnormal accumulation of fluid thereof, in tissue spaces in the ipsilateral upper arm; in turn, this leads to lymph flow obstruction and swelling, pain, fatigue, secondary infection, and extremity dysfunctions in the affected upper limb." Is also described in the Introduction.



### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 76164

Title: Effectiveness of six-step complex decongestive therapy for treating upper limb

lymphedema after breast cancer operation

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05689407 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-29 06:13

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-06 08:13

**Review time:** 8 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Institutional Review Board Approval Form or Document is not in English.



#### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 76164

Title: Effectiveness of six-step complex decongestive therapy for treating upper limb

lymphedema after breast cancer operation

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05817733 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-02 13:18

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-07 04:45

**Review time:** 4 Days and 15 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



# Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, the revised version is complete but you must expand your conclusion and discussion section.



#### RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 76164

Title: Effectiveness of six-step complex decongestive therapy for treating upper limb

lymphedema after breast cancer operation

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05387164 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-04

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Jie Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-30 07:44

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-30 22:00

**Review time:** 14 Hours

Scientific quality	[ Y] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ Y] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [ Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear author Thank your of the re-submission of your paper to our journal. I confirmed your well revised manuscript and judged your paper to be worth for publishing.