

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Manuscript NO: 76264

Title: Nanomedicine approaches for the treatment of hematologic and oncologic

malignancies

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05429043 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-11 08:53

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-11 10:45

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is very interesting, but I have a few concerns 1. The title should be specific for oncologic malignancies, It is better to mention separately pre-clinical evidence and clinical evidence for each type of formulation. The abstract can be included with details of type of formulation mentioned in the content. The graphical extract will be helpful for understanding the content. Not found any details on liver cancer based formulation in the table or content?



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Manuscript NO: 76264

Title: Nanomedicine approaches for the treatment of hematologic and oncologic

malignancies

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06275113 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-11 02:09

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-21 07:48

Review time: 10 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Overall the article lack a main logistic flow. The title clearly state "nanomedicine", but a considerable portion of the article describes immunotherapy and checkpoint blockade therapy, give an impression of lack of focus. The entire immune checkpoint inhibitor section appeared had no relevance with nonamedicine. The NK cell section included CAR-T therapy, which seems mixed content. At least, the concept of nanomedicne needs a clear definition in the beginning. Introduction section, first paragraph, the last sentence, "ultimately hindering the cell cycle", hindering cell cycle? Please explain.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Manuscript NO: 76264

Title: Nanomedicine approaches for the treatment of hematologic and oncologic

malignancies

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05429043 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-09

Reviewer chosen by: Li-Li Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-07 05:29

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-07 06:44

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please upload the figures. Now the file uploaded is manuscript file