



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76300

Title: Is every microorganism detected in the intensive care unit a nosocomial infection?
Isn't prevention more important than detection?

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05743807

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Austria

Author's Country/Territory: Turkey

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-12 17:54

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-14 10:36

Review time: 1 Day and 16 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

REVIEW REPORT Title: Is every micro-organism detected in the intensive care unit a nosocomial infection? Isn't prevention more important than detection? Article type: Letter to the editor NO: ID: 76300 Journal: WJCC Date: 14.03.2022 Conflict of interest statement for peer reviewer: None. Opinion: In their letter to the editor, the authors voice their concerns regarding the distinction between nosocomial infections and infections that happened prior to ICU care, or rather a lack thereof. I echo this statement and believe that the authors of the primary article have omitted an important aspect of antimicrobial surveillance (nosocomial infections in the ICU vs other departments vs pre-hospital infections). This aspect is especially important in the ICU setting. Furthermore, I believe that the primary article, despite stating that "These findings provide a theoretical basis for hospital control of drug-resistant infections, so as to improve the efficacy of antibiotics and safety of diagnosis and treatment of patients, rational use of antibiotics, ..." I fail to see how their article has given any practical information on the prevention of infections. The points that have been made in the letter to the editor are valid. Minor language associated remark: - Taxonomy terms of bacteria should be written in italic - Double spacing in certain locations - Spelling mistakes (e.g. "In the study of Han ce ark (1) ...") An additional quick language and formatting check should be performed prior to publishing.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76300

Title: Is every microorganism detected in the intensive care unit a nosocomial infection?
Isn't prevention more important than detection?

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05562720

Position: Associate Editor

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Mexico

Author's Country/Territory: Turkey

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-16 05:13

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-21 05:05

Review time: 4 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This letter to the editor entitled “ Is every micro-organism detected in the intensive care unit a nosocomial infection? Isn’t prevention more important than detection? by Fatma YILDIRIM et al. raises important and valid points to the manuscript by Han Y, published in last issue of World J Clin Cases. The main two points they emphasize is the lack of definition of infections according to CDC criteria, and the other one was the lack of inclusion of the control precautionary packages and the rates of compliance with the precautionary packages. These important points raised by the authors in this letter would significantly contribute to an improvement of the information provided by the manuscript of Han Y, et al. The letter is well organized, however, it does contain some grammar errors that would need to be revised.