

ROUND 1

Reviewer 1: The “orthosigmoid” changed to “rectosigmoid”

Reviewer 2:

- Insert bold in the columns titles: DONE
- The words are not centered and acronyms were not provided: DONE
- The year of some citations was not provided: I have checked and re-registered every citation in the manuscript. Now, every reference has the year of publication.
- Check the spaces between words. Some are clustered: DONE
- I found some typing errors and also grammatical issues. (e.g overall, wosh, etc..): Corrected
- In a quick search I found several reviews associated with the topic. However, I did not find a balanced presentation of these studies in the introduction or even the relevant reason for this mini-review. The difference (if any) between the conclusions of this mini-review with those already published must be presented. This will improve the interest of the specific and general audience:

- The several acronyms hamper the clear message of some sentences. I do suggest revisiting these sentences and verifying which acronyms are necessary. For instance, I did not find SDH in the manuscript rather than in the introduction. Check all of them: DONE

- “Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors (GISTs) are rare neoplasms with an estimated incidence of 0.78 patients per 100,000 in 2011” This sentence will be benefited with recent data: We have added two references providing recent information

- According to their primary location, GISTs could clinically present as gastrointestinal(GI)” The acronym here and throughout the document seems unnecessary: We removed it according to your suggestions.

- I’m aware of the KIT CD117, but this is not the case for all readers: We provided the necessary information. A reference was added.

- The IHC acronym was inserted two times: The second was removed.

- “The DOG1 and CD34 staining or the documentation of KIT or PDGFRA mutations are sufficient to institute a diagnosis” DOG1, CD34, PDGFRA?: We provided further information as you suggested.

- Why KIT and PDGFRA are in italic?: The italics have been removed.

- “This led in the development of a broad spectrum of anti-angiogenic treatments such as:” The use of : is not elegant. I do suggest removing it: We rephrased it into the following: “Thus, the necessity arose to develop a broad spectrum of anti-angiogenic treatments such as”

- Table 2 – I do not comprehend the reason for the last column. Insert the reference number along with the authors: DONE
- Moreover, this table is excessively simple. I would like to see more data regarding the patients, as well as the correlations coefficients: Patient data were added, as well the p value of the presented results.
- Lastly (and don't understand this as a suggestion) have authors verified any relationship between GISTs, angiogenesis, and vasohibins (VASH1 and 2): To the best of our knowledge, there is no literature to for the role of vasohibins in the GISTs.

ROUND 2

The authors adjusted the manuscript according to my suggestions. I only advise you to check the information presented in the table. In the document sent by the authors, the table was unconfigured.

- Tables already provided.