

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 76754

Title: Intranasal sufentanil combined with intranasal dexmedetomidine: A promising method for sedation during endoscopic ultrasonography without the need for an anesthesiologist

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04351310 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-13 03:37

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-13 04:27

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection



Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, Thank you for your submission (Letter to the Editor) with novel points and different insights on an article published regarding Intranasal DEX + SUF.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 76754

Title: Intranasal sufentanil combined with intranasal dexmedetomidine: A promising method for sedation during endoscopic ultrasonography without the need for an anesthesiologist

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03025524
Position: Editorial Board
Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-20 01:44

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-28 12:43

Review time: 8 Days and 10 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection



Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a letter to the editor. Overall, it is O.K.