

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76871

Title: High Scored Thyroid Storm after Stomach Cancer Perforation: A Case Report and Review of the Literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06258735

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-07 03:22

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-07 03:37

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear author, your manuscript is neatly organized and systematic, but there are a few improvements to improve your manuscript. I suggest you add to the management of 'the other 17 cases reported in the literature' that you presented in this discussion. This can improve and open insight into the case further. Thank you

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76871

Title: High Scored Thyroid Storm after Stomach Cancer Perforation: A Case Report and Review of the Literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02832130

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-04 09:12

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-07 14:25

Review time: 3 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases Manuscript Type: CASE REPORT
Manuscript Title: High Scored Thyroid Storm after Stomach Cancer Perforation: A Case Report and Review of the Literature Manuscript No. 76871 Comments: Thyroid storm is a uncommon and life-threatening emergency. The author reported a high scored thyroid storm after stomach cancer perforation, and concluded that the clinical findings of thyroid storms resemble those of sepsis and require further investigation. Since an untreated thyroid storm results in a high mortality rate, it should be investigated when managing sepsis. The subject of this manuscript is of value, but there are a few of defects need to be modified.

1.Abstract section CASE SUMMARY section: On the 2nd day in the ICU, his body temperature (BT) increased to 41.3°C at 19:00, with the thyroid storm score peaking at 18:00 (BT; 41.2°C, pulse rate [PR]; 138/min, irritable status). CASE PRESENTATION section: On the 2nd day in the ICU, the patient's BT increased to 41.3°C at 19:00, and the thyroid storm score peaked at 18:00 (BT 41.2°C; PR 138/min); furthermore, he was irritable..... Score values/points should be described. Is the level highly associated with thyroid storms.

2.DISCUSSION section: Therefore, these symptoms may be considered as signs of sepsis. Should the author discuss the diagnosis and differential diagnosis of hyperthyroid crisis (differentiate thyroid storm from sepsis).

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76871

Title: High Scored Thyroid Storm after Stomach Cancer Perforation: A Case Report and Review of the Literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03816788

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACS, FICS, FRCS, MBBS, MS

Professional title: Associate Professor, Surgeon, Teacher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-09 18:01

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-09 18:20

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thyroid storm is well reported in literature. There is no correlation between the severity score and mortality neither the mentioned score has been externally validated. No new information is gained.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 76871

Title: High Scored Thyroid Storm after Stomach Cancer Perforation: A Case Report and Review of the Literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06225636

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-04 14:16

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-14 00:11

Review time: 9 Days and 9 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript (ID 76871, entitled "High Scored Thyroid Storm after Stomach Cancer Perforation: A Case Report and Review of the Literature"), the authors reported a case of thyroid storm with high BWPS score. The previously published cases of high scored thyroid storm were also reviewed in this manuscript. 1. What is the clinical significance of high scored thyroid storm? The authors only described that there was no correlation between high score and mortality. Please discuss about the possible impact of high score on clinical significance. Or, what can we learn from these high-scored cases of thyroid storm? 2. Since the reported case is likely a patient of sepsis superimposed on thyroid storm. Presentation of sepsis may impede early diagnosis of thyroid storm. How did the authors make differential diagnosis between sepsis and thyrotoxicosis? 3. The level of T3/T4/ft4 on postoperative day 11 was higher than the data on postoperative day 6, despite treatment of antithyroid agents. What was the possible cause? The discrepancy between the clinical presentation and the thyroid function test should also be at least discussed in the section of Discussion.