

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

Manuscript NO: 76922

Title: Water-jet versus traditional triangular tip knife in peroral endoscopic myotomy for esophageal dysmotility: a systemic review and meta-analysis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03767650

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Director, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-06

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-07 00:02

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-18 12:47

Review time: 11 Days and 12 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is the first study to assess TT and WJ knives in POEM. I read this paper with interest. The article has some problems. There is no description about differences of the structure, usage, and price between TT and WJ knives. Please elaborate in the Introduction or Discussion. If possible, please use a figure.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

Manuscript NO: 76922

Title: Water-jet versus traditional triangular tip knife in peroral endoscopic myotomy for esophageal dysmotility: a systemic review and meta-analysis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06109343

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-06

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-03 14:45

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-06 01:15

Review time: 2 Days and 10 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The comments on an original article entitled (Water-jet versus traditional triangular tip knife in peroral endoscopic myotomy for esophageal dysmotility: a systemic review and meta-analysis) 1- Title: The title reflects well the main subject of the manuscript. 2-Abstract: The abstract summarizes and reflects the aim. 3-Key words: The key words reflect the focus of the manuscript. 4-Introduction is well written. 5-Study design and methods are well described. 6- Results: It is meaningful and well prescribed. 7-Discussion: It is useful and focused. 8-References: They are well matched. 9-English editing is needed.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

Manuscript NO: 76922

Title: Water-jet versus traditional triangular tip knife in peroral endoscopic myotomy for esophageal dysmotility: a systemic review and meta-analysis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06109343

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Egypt

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-06

Reviewer chosen by: Li-Li Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-12 01:56

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-12 11:16

Review time: 9 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

No comments



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Manuscript NO: 76922 Title: Water-jet versus traditional triangular tip knife in peroral endoscopic myotomy for esophageal dysmotility: a systemic review and meta-analysis Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed Peer-review model: Single blind **Reviewer's code:** 03767650 **Position:** Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD Professional title: Director, Professor Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan Author's Country/Territory: United States Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-06 Reviewer chosen by: Li-Li Wang Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-12 12:42 Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-12 12:55 Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous





statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for your appropriate revision. I have no more comment.