

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77089

Title: Multiple tophi deposits in the spine: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06271151 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACS, MD

Professional title: Academic Fellow, Research Fellow, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Ecuador

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-21 02:52

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-27 03:46

Review time: 6 Days

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The clinical case described is very interesting. Of unusual presentation but can have deleterious complications, as it is not diagnosed. The title of the abstract as well as the description of the case and discussion are practical and clear. I have no observations. no corrections. Congratulations



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77089

Title: Multiple tophi deposits in the spine: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00505859 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-14

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-26 10:36

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-26 13:47

Review time: 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is well written and there is no need to comment on individual sections. That being said, grammar needs to be reviewed to assure complete sentences, the words "hawk" and "cervical medulla" need replacement amd benzbromarone should be deleted (as withdrawn due to hepatic effects in most countries). The authors should comment on the risk of collapse from removal/dissolution of gouty tophi - that may be providing structural integrity.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77089

Title: Multiple tophi deposits in the spine: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05850740 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-14

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-26 02:30

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-07 04:18

Review time: 12 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

-The manuscript requires English language polishing -Please use generally accepted term when describing gout; 'gout stone' should be replaced with 'tophus' or 'tophi' -The conclusion (in both abstract and main text) did not make sense. The case focuses mostly on surgical intervention of spinal gout. But the conclusion instead stated that pharmacological intervention (rather than surgical) is important to relieve neurological deficit. -In the first paragraph of the discussion. The cause of gout described was wrong. Gout was not cause by decreased uric acid metabolism, nor impaired purine metabolism.