

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 77117

Title: The Effects of Medically Generated Electromagnetic Interference from Medical

Devices on Cardiac Implantable Electronic Devices: A Review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03413692

Position: Editor-in-Chief

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-16

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-25 18:58

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-27 16:35

Review time: 1 Day and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

the paper is good and well written



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 77117

Title: The Effects of Medically Generated Electromagnetic Interference from Medical Devices on Cardiac Implantable Electronic Devices: A Review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03846820

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACC, FESC, MD

Professional title: Academic Research, Assistant Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Netherlands

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-16

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-25 03:28

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-01 06:50

Review time: 7 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear author, The article represents the review aiming to provide a general overview of PPM/ICD function, review documented EMI effect on these devices, and acknowledge current management of CIED interference. The article is written with good English-speaking adduction of the arguments. The article is sufficiently novel and very interesting to warrant publication. All the key elements are presented and described clearly. The most discussable options in the article are: 1) Please correct all your minor grammar errors and typos. 2) I would generally suggest to draw a table with Guidelines-style findings to navigate physicians with some daily practice recommendations.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 77117

Title: The Effects of Medically Generated Electromagnetic Interference from Medical

Devices on Cardiac Implantable Electronic Devices: A Review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05916273

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MBBS

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Nigeria

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-16

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-25 04:32

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-04 06:14

Review time: 10 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Refer to the review text