



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

Manuscript NO: 77412

Title: ANTIBIOTIC PROPHYLAXIS TO PREVENT COMPLICATIONS IN ENDOSCOPIC RETROGRADE COLANGIOPANCREATOGRAPHY: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS OF RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIALS

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05098925

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor, Lecturer, Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: Brazil

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-27 14:50

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-03 10:33

Review time: 6 Days and 19 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection



Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

ANTIBIOTIC PROPHYLAXIS TO PREVENT COMPLICATIONS IN ENDOSCOPIC RETROGRADE CHOLANGIOPANCREATOGRAPHY (ERCP): A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS OF RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIALS This is an exciting manuscript examining an important topic, especially for endoscopists facing this question. Approaching this condition is a common problem nowadays. The authors should be commended on their work. There are a few areas where additional information would enhance the manuscript. 1. The affiliation 1 was incorrect, please adjust it. 2. In the results subsection of the abstract section, the author used (ERCP). I thought it was a mistake. 3. The citation pattern was wrong. For example, please use [1-3] instead [1], [2], [3]. 4. To enhance the readiness, please provide the definition of endpoint namely "septicemia". 5. As author defined "bacteremia" as "positive culture or fever". This means that the patient developed fever with or without positive culture was labeled as the positive event of bacteremia, is it correct? 6. In the "discussion" section, the author discussed the results of the study are inappropriate. Especially regarding the lack of discussion on the effect of antibiotics on septicemia. Please take the discussion more intensively. 7. English editing is recommended.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

Manuscript NO: 77412

Title: ANTIBIOTIC PROPHYLAXIS TO PREVENT COMPLICATIONS IN ENDOSCOPIC RETROGRADE COLANGIOPANCREATOGRAPHY: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS OF RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIALS

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06120769

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Brazil

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-28 05:39

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-05 22:55

Review time: 8 Days and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Up to now, there were two meta analyses about prophylactic use of antibiotics in ERCP, i.e. in 2009 and 2010, respectively. In particular, the publication in 2010 concluded the same result as the present manuscript. More importantly, the both nearly included the same studies except for only one study (Finkelstein 1996) in the present manuscript. Since 2006, no additional literature has been published about this. Thus, the submission seems not innovative. Additonally, there was an error in the study name of "Van den Hazel S J 1999", which should be corrected as "Van den Hazel S J 1996".



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

Manuscript NO: 77412

Title: ANTIBIOTIC PROPHYLAXIS TO PREVENT COMPLICATIONS IN ENDOSCOPIC RETROGRADE COLANGIOPANCREATOGRAPHY: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS OF RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIALS

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05098925

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor, Lecturer, Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: Brazil

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-27

Reviewer chosen by: Ze-Mao Gong

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-18 16:13

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-18 16:27

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for editing the manuscript and resubmitting. The changes have strengthened the work. Now, I project the decision as "accept". Hope to work together in the future.