

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 77440

**Title:** Hypertension in kidney transplant recipients

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03655859 Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MBBS, MD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-01 13:36

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-01 13:49

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this review article about Hypertension in kidney transplant recipients, Alexandrou et al have summarized the etiology and management of HTN in kidney transplant recipients. It is well written and clear. However, it lacks novelty. There are 100's of papers in this field, so I am not sure what will be added in the field with this review article. --would focus more on the secondary causes of HTN, and work up pertinent to the kidney tx --Role of immunos and CNI minimization



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 77440

**Title:** Hypertension in kidney transplant recipients

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05566451 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: PhD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-22 01:18

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-22 13:53

**Review time:** 12 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



# Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

24-h ABPM outperforms office BP measurements for kidney transplant recipients. Dihydropyridine CCBs are recommended to treat hypertension of kidney transplant recipients. It has guiding significance to clinic.



## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 77440

**Title:** Hypertension in kidney transplant recipients

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 03655859 **Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: MBBS, MD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-30

Reviewer chosen by: Jing-Jie Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-15 20:52

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-06-15 20:55

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [Y] No



# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thanks for answering my questions. I have no new comments.