

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 77510

Title: A rare case of autoimmune encephalitis with PRES.

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05446731

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACC, FACP, FAHA, FESC, MD, PhD

Professional title: Director

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-06

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-06 09:33

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-10 15:22

Review time: 4 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statementsConflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [**Y**] No**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Although this case report may be valuable, there is a serious problem. #1 The submission format does not conform to the WJCC format at all. The authors should read the submission rules carefully and refer to other case reports. #2 The authors should describe what immunosuppressive therapy was used in this case. #3 The authors should summarize the course of treatment in a progress chart. Also, what symptoms have improved with treatment? #4 What aspects of the images of this case did you consider similar to those of PRES? #5 The authors should describe the prognosis after transfer. #6 CARE Checklist-2016 is only checked and there is no description of PAGE at all.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 77510

Title: A rare case of autoimmune encephalitis with PRES.

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03769687

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Attending Doctor, Reader (Associate Professor), Research Fellow

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Germany

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-06

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-15 06:54

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-16 05:53

Review time: 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Were there any clinical hints that led you to PRES? Do you believe that PRES was a consequence of AE or did you consider it a distinct diagnosis? That is not clear from the manuscript. You talk about BBB breakdown. Was contrast agent administered? Did you consider tumor staging? I don't understand why vasospasm has something to do with PRES or AE. Please explain. The edema is not reversed, it is reduced. Please be more specific about the microbleeds. Were they resolved? Or less prominent? I can see that they are still present.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 77510

Title: A rare case of autoimmune encephalitis with PRES.

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00631867

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Australia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-06

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-01 07:10

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-18 02:00

Review time: 16 Days and 18 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The language used is less than ideal, such as is the case with the following quotation from the abstract, "Patients...are frequently manifested by...". This deserves revision. At the end of this sentence the author(s) use 'etc' which is short hand for 'I could not be bothered to think of anything else' and should be avoided in scientific writing. The author(s) use first person rather than third person, as per "We here report a patient..." and scientific writing should be in third person to obviate any perception of bias. There should not be a comma before conjunctives, such as 'and' or 'but' as appears in the following quotation taken from the abstract, "manifestations, and course of PRES are atypical..." or "clinical manifestations, and course...". The word 'past' is omitted from the following quotation, without which the statement makes no sense as the author(s) are publishing a 'medical history, " He had no medical history..". Acronyms should be given in full the first time they are used as per Glasgow Coma Scale in "GCS" or Magnetic Resonance Imaging for "MRI". "increased muscular tension" should read 'increased muscle tone' and "hyperactivity of tendon reflexes" should read 'hyperreflexia'. Words like 'meanwhile', 'however', 'nevertheless' or 'thus' should be avoided as unnecessary, as per "Meanwhile, spot-like microbleeds...", "However, due to economic problem...", "Nevertheless, patients with normal BP..." or "Thus, these cascades result...". In the same sentence "can be found" should read either 'were found' or 'were apparent'. What does partly resolved mean? This demands further explanation. In the following quotation "also perform classic PRES radiologically" I am sure the author(s) meant to say 'produce features of' rather than 'perform'. The following is not a valid sentence, "Clinical observations should also be emphasized when a patient original with PRES neuroradiological features." In the following quotation, the article 'the'



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

should appear before the word 'patient', "In the present case, patient was diagnosed.." Such a paper is not an idle chat in a bar and comments "Last but not the least,..." should be strictly avoided. Having made the above comments, the paper does report something of note and if the author(s) address the language issues it should be accepted for publication. I find it strange that a case report such as this should have support funding which raises a host of ethical questions as case reports are not a research exercise and hence this aspect requires explanation from an ethical perspective. Similarly to need ethics approval to publish a case is likewise questionable but approval from the patient is usual and seems absent from this submission. I trust that these comments assist in your deliberations.