

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 77583

Title: Follicular carcinoma of the thyroid with a single metastatic lesion in the lumbar spine: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06250974

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Instructor, Lecturer, Staff Physician, Teacher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-12

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-19 04:25

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-21 17:20

Review time: 2 Days and 12 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

**Peer-reviewer
statements**

Peer-Review: [☒] Anonymous [☐] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [☐] Yes [☒] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for the opportunity to review this work. -1 Title. Does the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript? Yes -2 Abstract. Does the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript? Yes -3 Key words. Do the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript? Yes -4 Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study? Yes -5 Methods. Does the manuscript describe methods (e.g., experiments, data analysis, surveys, and clinical trials, etc.) in adequate detail? Not applicable. -6 Results. Are the research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this study? Yes -7 Discussion. Does the manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically? -Most of the discussions were good. -To date, the prognosis of differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC) patients with bone metastasis is the generally poor and low survival rate. Please discuss why this patient seems to have a good prognosis. -Please provide the conclusion of this case report after the discussion. -8 Illustrations and tables. Good -9 Biostatistics. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of biostatistics? Not applicable. -10 Units. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of use of SI units? Yes -11 References. Please improve the journal titles / abbreviation of journals of the reference 2, 5, 6, 7, 10, 13, 14, and 17. -12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Yes -13 Research methods and reporting. Did the author prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting? -The CARE checklist mentions the "strengths and limitations in your approach to this case." Therefore, please state the strengths and limitations of this case in the manuscript in the discussion section. -14



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Ethics statements. Yes

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 77583

Title: Follicular carcinoma of the thyroid with a single metastatic lesion in the lumbar spine: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06183516

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Syria

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-12

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-26 17:32

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-03 07:12

Review time: 7 Days and 13 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

**Peer-reviewer
statements**

Peer-Review: [☒] Anonymous [☐] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [☐] Yes [☒] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for sharing us this case. I really appreciate your efforts in academic writing and management such a case. The discussion section is rich in information which strengthens your paper. The paper needs some revision mainly in medical terminology ("interspace claudication", "bilateral 4-word test", "L4 pyramidal anterior and posterior", "artificial pyramidal implantation", "armpits"). The paper should be revised by native speaker.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 77583

Title: Follicular carcinoma of the thyroid with a single metastatic lesion in the lumbar spine: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05400038

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-12

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-30 12:09

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-06 05:55

Review time: 6 Days and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

**Peer-reviewer
statements**

Peer-Review: [☒] Anonymous [☐] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [☐] Yes [☒] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a rare case report of a single bone metastasis of follicular carcinoma of the thyroid, and I think it is a very useful paper. Please answer some of the questions below.

1.Is the medical procedure mentioned in the abstract's conclusion a mistake for a surgical procedure? And as for treatment of bone metastases, for example, surgical procedure may be indicated as a temporary measure in cases of spinal compression symptoms, so it is an exaggeration to say that it is contraindicated. 2.Please let me know if you know the change of Tg before and after bone metastasis treatment. 3.Please describe in detail any information on bone metastasis of DTC, especially the characteristics of a single metastasis.