

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77772

Title: Successful transcatheter arterial embolization treatment for chest wall haematoma

following permanent pacemaker implantation: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06203961 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-12 03:38

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-12 05:34

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

<General impressions> As the authors mentioned in this article, hematomas are one of the major complications after pacemaker implantation. Most are caused by venous hemorrhage, but arterial hemorrhage is not uncommon. In the discussion, the authors suggested that injury of branches from the subclavian artery after pacemaker implantation is relatively rare, but literature citations are needed to prove this. If this complication is not rare, this report is not novel, and its publication value is questionable. <Title> The authors detected hematoma. Therefore, using indetectable is incorrect. The title should be "Successful transcatheter arterial embolization for chest wall hematoma following permanent pacemaker implantation: a case report." <Abstract> Paragraph 1, sentence 2; As I mentioned above, the authors have to prove that pseudoaneurysm after pacemaker implantation is relatively rare. <Introduction> Paragraph 1, sentence 2; Reference is necessary. Paragraph 2, sentence 1; As I mentioned above, using indetectable is incorrect. < Imaging and laboratory examination > Paragraph 1, sentence 2; CT angiography is a technique to visualize blood vessels transvenously, and it is inappropriate to name specific arteries. "Axillary artery CT angiography" should be replaced with "Computed tomography angiography (CTA)". This modification is needed elsewhere as well. <Final diagnosis> Since pseudoaneurysms are caused by arterial injury, it is necessary to mention that the pseudoaneurysm was caused by an accidental intraoperative injury to a branch of the subclavian artery. "Intraoperative" and "during operation" mean the same thing; only one should be described. <Treatment> Possibly my lack of knowledge, but I've never heard of an embolic substance called Onys. Could it be an error for Onyx? Also, please add a brief



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com **https:**//www.wjgnet.com

description of the embolic substance. <Discussion> As mentioned above, literature citations are needed to prove this complication is relatively rare. Paragraph 2, sentence 3; Pseudoaneurysms are not precisely hematomas. It is a ruptured artery surrounded by an unstable connective tissue containing a hematoma. This sentence should be deleted or modified to a more accurate description. <Figure> Figure 1B; The pseudoaneurysm cannot be identified on this CT image due to artifacts. Replacement is necessary. Figure 2C; No embolic material is seen in this image, nor is there any contrast effect. It is a pre-treatment image. Replacement is necessary. <Grammatical error> The authors use instead of '. This is a grammatical error. The first letter of the sentence must be capitalized. At least three corrections are required. Abbreviations need to be formally named the first time they are used in writing: MRI, CT, CTA, and DSA.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77772

Title: Successful transcatheter arterial embolization treatment for chest wall haematoma

following permanent pacemaker implantation: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00233953 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-10 10:22

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-18 10:43

Review time: 8 Days

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

there are no specific comments



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 77772

Title: Successful transcatheter arterial embolization treatment for chest wall haematoma

following permanent pacemaker implantation: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06203961 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-10

Reviewer chosen by: Ze-Mao Gong

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-31 02:20

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-31 08:54

Review time: 6 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

[Content Corrections] <Introduction> Reference 2 should be changed as it is considered inappropriate as a reference. <Laboratory examinations> The second sentence is about treatment, so it should be moved to the first sentence of Treatment. examination> The contents after "which" should be deleted because it is about treatment and is redundant. <History of present illness> The last sentence should be deleted because it is redundant with Physical examination. < Chief complaints > The current sentence should be moved before the first sentence of History of present illness. Chief complaints should describe the patient's symptoms related to hematoma and should <DISCUSSION> Literature references include tenderness and pain at the right armpit. that pseudoaneurysms are a rare condition and can cause a variety of problems if not treated should be needed. [Grammatical Corrections] < All sections > Hematoma and haematoma are mixed, so one or the other should be used. The newly added reference numbers are superscripted and need to be corrected. <Core Tips> sentence 1; pacemakers \rightarrow pacemaker sentence 2; We reports \rightarrow We report \prec INTRODUCTION> Paragraph 1, sentence 6; et al reported \rightarrow et al. reported Paragraph 2, sentence 1; an pseudoaneurysm haematoma → a pseudoaneurysm and haematoma examination> Sentence 2; Computed tomography angiography → Computed tomography angiography (CTA) that a small branch of the right subclavian artery was rupture haemorrhage → a pseudoanerysm of a small branch of the right subclavian artery <DISCUSSION> Paragraph 1, sentence 4; as follows.. → as follows. Paragraph 1, sentence 5; Remove unnecessary line breaks. <Figure legends> Fig.2 A; aneurysm image of \rightarrow aneurysm of Fig.2 B; Transcatheter \rightarrow transcatheter Fig.2 C; no aneurysm image of right subclavian artery \rightarrow no residual aneurysm