

Authors' responses:

**DEAR EDITOR IN CHIEF OF WORLD JOURNAL OF EXPERIMENTAL MEDICINE,  
AND DEAR REVIEWERS,**

**THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR GETTING BACK TO US WITH COMMENTS. WE APPRECIATE YOU AND YOUR TIME AND COMMENTS. UNDOUBTFULLY, THOSE COMMENTS WILL IMPROVE THE QUALITY OF THIS ARTICLE AND WE HOPE THIS STUDY WILL BE OF INTEREST TO YOUR READERS. WE HAVE ADDRESSED YOUR REMARKS AND HOPE THE REVISED VERSION OF THE MANUSCRIPT MEETS YOUR EXPECTATIONS.**

**BESTS,**

---

Reviewers' comments:

It is well written mini review article, but you can summarize the result in one or 2 tables you can shorten it and be to the point

This is an important topic in the field of obesity medicine. However, there are already a few good review, scoping review, and meta-analysis on this topic in 2021. At minimum, authors should consider include these relevant manuscript. To be a good mini-review article that is attractive to readers, although being concise, it is still crucial to have some critical and in-depth discussion of recent advances and findings. This is not done to a satisfactory level in this manuscript. Further language polishing is needed to make this manuscript clearer and more concise before the publication.

**AN ATTEMPT WAS MADE TO SUMMARIZE CLINICAL COMMUNICATION VISUALLY AND PRESENT MORE TABLES IN THE ARTICLE INCLUDING AN ARTICLE FOR SUMMARIZING THE OUTCOMES OF BARIATRIC SURGERY IN PATIENTS WITH HISTORY OF ORGAN TRANSPLANTATION. THEN WE DESIGNED ANOTHER TABLE SHOWING THE IMMUNOSUPPRESSIVE DRUGS THAT PATIENTS RECEIVED IN DIFFERENT STUDIES. WE HAD ANOTHER ARTICLE FOR POTENTIAL RISKS BUT AT THE END WE DECIDED TO MERGE THAT TABLE WITH THE TABLE OF OUTCOMES OF BARIATRIC SURGERY.**

**WE ALSO PROVIDED MORE INFORMATION ON SOME PARTICULAR SECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT AND REVISED THE GRAMMAR AND LANGUAGE OF THE TEXT.**

**FURTHERMORE, WE ALSO PROVIDED MORE RECENTLY PUBLISHED STUDIES ON ORGAN TRANSPLANTED PATIENTS WHO UNDERWENT BARIATRIC SURGERY.**

---