

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 78008

Title: Dementia-related contact experience, attitudes, and the level of knowledge in medical vocational college students

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03769068

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Adjunct Professor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-03

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-03 14:39

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-10 03:45

Review time: 6 Days and 13 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an article with a specific and important perspective on the topic. The content is presented with clarity and coherence, with the contribution of figures, tables and the structural organization for this. In addition, the language level is satisfactory. However, some points of the manuscript could be improved. Abbreviations must be defined upon first appearance in the main text. Words like "unfortunately" and "regrettably" can denote impartiality in the text and should be replaced. Possible explanations for the finding "the proportion of medical-related students who had knowledge about AD and interest and concern for AD was 91.0% and 90.6%, respectively, which would be higher than that of medical students" should be briefly explored in the discussion of the manuscript. If the level of the course that the students were in during the application of the questionnaires influenced the findings of the study, this should be mentioned in the text in limitations. I suggest that the role of medical-related majors in the identification and management of dementia is better elucidated in the study. Finally, the term "health professionals" in the conclusion may suggest that professionals and non-students were included in the study, therefore it should be replaced.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 78008

Title: Dementia-related contact experience, attitudes, and the level of knowledge in medical vocational college students

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06257301

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Croatia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-03

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-04 19:55

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-12 09:56

Review time: 7 Days and 14 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In my opinion the paper is well written and structured. This is an interesting study and discusses an important topic. In the introduction was explained importance of research, prevalence of dementia and study's aim. Unfortunately, hypotheses were not clear stated in the introduction. Please write the hypotheses. This research concluded that further resources should be provided to promote dementia literacy in medical vocational colleges, not only in terms of dementia-related knowledge, but also the emotional features of dementia patients. This conclusion is important for addressing the problem in a healthcare and is first step for improving a healthcare. The main limitation of the present study was that respondents were from only one province. I would like to encourage authors to continue to research this topic and to find more respondents, from different provinces. Overall, the manuscript requires minor revision.