



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 78012

Title:Granulocytic sarcoma with long spinal cord compression: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code:03805084

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Verygood <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Prioritypublishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor languagepolishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept(General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewerstatements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

As an extramedullary form of proliferating myeloblasts, granulocytic sarcoma (GS) is common in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML). GS in the central nervous system is rare, and intraspinal space-occupying lesion caused by GS is even rarer. The authors present concise clinical case with all the relevant diagnostic and therapeutic information. Could be of interest to specialists working in this field.

Response:Thank you very much for your professional suggestion and approval.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 78012

Title:Granulocytic sarcoma with long spinal cord compression: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code:05764344

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Verygood[<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Prioritypublishing[<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Grade B: Minor languagepolishing[] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing[] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept(General priority) [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	[<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewerstatements	Peer-Review:[<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>]Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest:[<input type="checkbox"/>]Yes[<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

- The manuscript needs throughout grammar revision.- Re-upload figure 1 in (English).-
The role of radiology is not clear at the manuscript.- At figure 2 and figure 5: kindly
write the used MRI sequences and replace image (A) with better quality ones.

Response: Thank you very much for your professional comments. In combination with the comments of
the peer review and through the MedE Editing Servic modification,we revised the article to achieve the
Grade A .We re-uploaded the clearer pictures, and marked the figure 1 and figure 4 with the used MRI
sequences. Thank you again for your professional suggestion.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 78012

Title:Granulocytic sarcoma with long spinal cord compression: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code:05112366

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent[<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y] Grade B: Verygood[] Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair[] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Prioritypublishing[<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y] Grade B: Minor languagepolishing[] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing[] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority)[] Accept(General priority) [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y]Minor revision[]Major revision[] Rejection
Re-review	[<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y]Yes [] No
Peer-reviewerstatements	Peer-Review:[<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y]Anonymous[] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest:[]Yes[<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is well written and very interesting. Nevertheless, I have a few suggestions for authors which might be helpful for improving the scientific quality of the manuscript. Language improvement is required. Further, I understand that authors are non-native English speakers, so minor language polishing will enrich the content of the manuscript.

Response: Thank you very much for your professional comments. In combination with the comments of the peer review and through the MedE Editing Servic modification,we revised the article to achieve the



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone:+1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Grade A . Thank you again for your professional suggestion.