

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 78017

Title: Aortic Dissection with Epileptic Seizure: A Case Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00233953

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-07 10:34

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-09 12:31

Review time: 2 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comment #1: nice case, however it remains unclear if and how the seizure is related to the aortic dissection it may just have been coincidence or triggered by the acute illness please discuss



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 78017

Title: Aortic Dissection with Epileptic Seizure: A Case Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03846820 Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACC, FESC, MD

Professional title: Academic Research, Assistant Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Netherlands

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-02

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-11 06:08

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-11 06:18

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous



https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, The article represents a case report wich is focused on aortic dissection with a seizure. I have a few suggestions to optimize the paper: 1. You mentioned: In the myocardial enzyme spectrum test, the value of troponin I was 94.188 µg/L, the value of creatine kinase isoenzyme was 109.810 ug/L, the value of creatine kinase was 2078.00 IU/L, the value of myohemoglobin was 3717.750 ug/L and the value of B type natriuretic peptide was 206.650 pg/ml. Please, explain, is that myocardial infarction? Please provide ECG and if you have - CT angio or invasive angio. You have explain how MI was ruled out! If there is MI, it should be properly characterized. 2. Fogure 1B: it looks like the brain was not comprehensively described. Which lesions exactly do we see there? Please explain how normal is that brain scan!



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 78017

Title: Aortic Dissection with Epileptic Seizure: A Case Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06116605 Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MBBS, MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-02

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-12 12:16

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-12 17:47

Review time: 5 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The case report is good, and adds meaningfully to literature. However, much refinements need to be done, including English refinements. There is no mention of 'ACUTE AORTIC SYNDROMES', of which Aortic dissection is an entity.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 78017

Title: Aortic Dissection with Epileptic Seizure: A Case Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03846820 Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACC, FESC, MD

Professional title: Academic Research, Assistant Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Netherlands

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-02

Reviewer chosen by: Ji-Hong Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-31 11:44

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-31 11:52

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, Thank you for your substantial efforts to improve the paper. I have a few suggestions though. 1) Please, elaborate your discussion on the option of the potential mechanisms how AoD and seizures might be related. This is clear that there are a few potential mechanisms. Please discuss them all. 2) Regarding elevanted cardiotropic enzymes, there is a MI type 2 phenomenon for such cases. You technically recognize the fact of acute myocardial injury most probably without ischemia. You must elaborate on it!



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 78017

Title: Aortic Dissection with Epileptic Seizure: A Case Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06116605 Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MBBS, MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-06-02

Reviewer chosen by: Ji-Hong Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-01 03:12

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-01 04:18

Review time: 1 Hour

Language quality [] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [Y] Grade D: Rejection	Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [<mark>Y</mark>] Grade E: Do not publish
	Language quality	
Conclusion [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection	Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection
Peer-reviewer Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No		



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Pertinent professional points given in the Reviewer's Comments' not addressed to.